

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF RELIGION;
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITY
PROFESSORS; PEN AMERICAN CENTER; TARIQ
RAMADAN,

ECF CASE

Plaintiffs,

06-cv-0588 (PAC)

against

MICHAEL CHERTOFF, in his official capacity as
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security;
CONDOLEEZZA RICE, in her official capacity as
Secretary of State,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW OF *AMICI CURIAE*

**AMERICAN ANTHROPOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, COLLEGE ART ASSOCIATION,
LATIN AMERICAN STUDIES ASSOCIATION, MIDDLE EAST STUDIES ASSOCIATION,
ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN UNIVERSITY PRESSES, AMERICAN STUDIES
ASSOCIATION, HISTORY OF SCIENCE SOCIETY, ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN
LAW SCHOOLS, NATIONAL COALITION AGAINST CENSORSHIP, AMERICAN
BOOKSELLERS FOUNDATION FOR FREE EXPRESSION, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SLAVIC STUDIES, AND AMERICAN FOLKLORE
SOCIETY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Charles S. Sims (CS0624)
Jennifer A. O'Brien (JO7801)
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
1585 Broadway
New York, NY 10036-8299
Phone: (212) 969-3000
Attorneys for *Amici Curiae*

Dated: March 2, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE.....	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
I. IDEOLOGICAL EXCLUSION AMOUNTS TO AN IMPERMISSIBLE INFRINGEMENT OF A FUNDAMENTAL FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT	3
A. The First Amendment Guarantees The Right to Receive Information and Ideas from Speakers In Person	3
B. The Ideological Exclusion Provision Cannot Withstand Strict Scrutiny	5
C. The Ideological Exclusion Provision Violates the <i>Kleindienst</i> Standard.....	10
D. The Ideological Exclusion Provision Is Unconstitutionally Vague	12
II. HISTORY REFLECTS THE GOVERNMENT’S TENDENCY TO EXCLUDE SPEAKERS ON IDEOLOGICAL GROUNDS ANTITHETICAL TO THE FIRST AMENDMENT.....	14
CONCLUSION.....	22
ADDENDUM 1	A-1
<i>DESCRIPTION OF INDIVIDUAL AMICI CURIAE</i>	A-2
ADDENDUM 2	A-9
<i>TEXT OF 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(3)(B)(i)(VII)</i>	A-10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Abourezk v. Reagan</i> , 592 F. Supp. 880 (D.D.C. 1984).....	7, 11
<i>American Academy of Religion v. Chertoff</i> , 463 F. Supp. 2d 400 (S.D.N.Y. 2006).....	2, 11
<i>Ashcroft v. ACLU</i> , 542 U.S. 656 (2004).....	10
<i>Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition</i> , 535 U.S. 234 (2002).....	6, 9
<i>First Nat’l Bank v. Bellotti</i> , 435 U.S. 765	8
<i>Grayned v. City of Rockford</i> , 408 U.S. 104 (1972).....	13
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i> , 542 U.S. 507 (2004).....	7
<i>Harvard Law School Forum v. Shultz</i> , 633 F. Supp. 525 (D. Mass. 1986).....	11, 12
<i>Keyishian v. Bd. of Regents</i> , 385 U.S. 589 (1967).....	13
<i>Kleindienst v. Mandel</i> , 408 U.S. 753 (1972).....	4, 5, 10, 11, 12
<i>Martin v. City of Struthers</i> , 319 U.S. 141 (1943).....	3, 4
<i>R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul</i> , 505 U.S. 377 (1992).....	6, 7
<i>Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC</i> , 395 U.S. 367 (1969).....	4, 5
<i>Regan v. Time, Inc.</i> , 468 U.S. 641 (1984).....	6
<i>Reno v. ACLU</i> , 521 U.S. 844 (1997).....	9, 13

