Last word was that we couldn't do the linking of these complaints the way that we wanted, so we
are doing it the old fashioned way - with the same sentence leading the allegation summary so
that we can search the allegation field and pick up all 22 complaints in one report.

Ergo, [b] could you start this write up with, "This complaint has been added to the CBP Religious
Questioning investigation; complaint number 10-10-CBP-137 is serving as the anchor complaint."

Many thanks,

[b]
Last Friday I forwarded you electronic copy of the incoming correspondence forwarded to CRCL by DHS Exec Sec of a letter from private attorney(b) (6) writing on behalf of Mr.(b) (6)

This matter is now being opened as a Complaint, with regard to the allegation about religious questioning of (b) (6) by CBP (asking whether he is a Sunni or Shiite Muslim) upon his return to the U.S. from Algeria on February 26, 2010, at an unidentified location. The complaint will be assigned to(b) (6) as part of her group of retained bundled complaints involving CBP religious questioning of Muslim travelers. In the Complaint summary, state that CRCL’s complaint concerns the CBP religious questioning issue. (Separately, I’ll forward you the email with the latest CRCL draft response letter. You already have an earlier draft of the response letter, which includes a summary of(b) (6) other allegations, which do not involve CBP.)

The Primary Issue selection should be Profiling/Discrimination, based on religion (Islam).

Secondary Issue should be due process violations, with regard to his allegations involving the then-INS while he was in INS custody from Dec. 1999 to Jan. 2001.

(b) (6) has input in the database the other new CBP religious profiling complaints, those arising from three different sources: a 12/16/2010 ACLU and Muslim Advocates letter to DHS OIG, an NPR news report, and a 3/24/2011 letter to CRCL from CAIR Michigan. We should note in the database that this new (b) (6) complaint is being bundled with those. (It won’t be technically linked with them in the system, but we should make note of that connection in a d-base Note, and in the Comments field of the Complaint Summary Form.)

Thanks,
Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 11:05 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: S2 Correspondence from [redacted] re: Solution to Alleged Harassment of Client

Deputy Director Compliance Branch
Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

This message may contain attorney-client communications, attorney work product, and agency deliberative communications, all of which may be privileged and not subject to disclosure outside the agency or to the public. Please consult with the Department of Homeland Security, Office of General Counsel before disclosing any information contained in this email.

From: Blumberg, Jeffrey
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2011 4:37 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Fw: S2 Correspondence from [redacted] re: Solution to Alleged Harassment of Client

Let's discuss this on Monday.

Jeff

From: Schlanger, Margo
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2011 04:15 PM
To: Shora, Kareem; Blumberg, Jeffrey; [redacted]
Subject: FW: S2 Correspondence from [redacted] re: Solution to Alleged Harassment of Client

See the attached, which is a proposed response to a letter to S2. It has three items left to resolve:
Thanks,
Margo

Margo Schlanger
Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
http://www.dhs.gov/crcl
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