Everything is attached and should be up to code (the letters are single spaced, I double checked the name in the memo and it is indeed (b)(6)).

CBP routinely provides the immigration status when they provide the TECS records, so I think we have it covered. If the inspection is about the traveler’s admissibility, the remarks in TECS tend to be quite robust on the subject. Regardless, I added more specificity about receiving related documentation.

Ok, now I’ve read (b)(6) memo, and I take the point to be that we need to make sure we get relevant information about immigration status, too.

So, here’s a slightly more edited version of the request for information. Thoughts?

Also, on the other documents, there was an item or two that needed finishing – a spelling question, etc.

Thanks,
Margo

Margo Schlanger
Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

http://www.dhs.gov/crcl
Here are edited versions. There's a reference in the letter to a comment by (b) (6) but no comment. Once I get that part resolved, this can go to OGC. I've got nothing on the letters except that the spacing isn't all single space.

Thanks,
Margo

Margo Schlanger
Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

http://www.dhs.gov/crcl

Margo,

I took an extra day to get Program’s input into the retention memo. Attached is the retention packet, it’s usually one document, but this complaint has so many moving parts it was easier (and faster) for me to keep things separate. You will notice the number of retention letters does not match the number of complaints, the engagement team is working on getting addresses from their complainants.

I’ve also attached (b) (6) comment on the memo, for your consideration.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns. I will be here tomorrow.

Best,

(b) (6)

Margo,
I finished a rough draft of the retention letters, request for information and investigative plan this evening. I'd like to give Jeff and Dana a chance to review, and to look at them again myself, to make sure I'm making sense. You should have something COB Wednesday.

From: Schlanger, Margo [mailto](b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2011 5:28 PM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: Kessler, Tamara; Blumberg, Jeffrey; (b) (6)
Subject: RE: CBP Religious Questioning Retention Memo

Ok, see attached.

We need the request for information, don't we?

Margo

Margo Schlanger
Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
http://www.dhs.gov/crcl

Homeland Security

From: (b) (6) [mailto](b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2011 5:50 PM
To: Schlanger, Margo
Cc: Kessler, Tamara; Blumberg, Jeffrey; (b) (6)
Subject: CBP Religious Questioning Retention Memo

Margo,

At the moment, there are 19 individual complaints (10 CAIR + 5 DHS OIG + 2 NPR + 1 from (b) (6) + 1 pre-existing) associated with this investigation. I've attached the ACLU/Muslim Advocates letter to the DHS OIG, a transcript of the NPR story, and the CAIR complaints. I also referenced the memo that Engagement wrote for your briefing book. Compliance is working diligently to get all of these complaints numbered and processed. I am aware that (b) is collecting names/dates/POEs that may also be added to this investigation.

You may know this already, but the DHS OIG has not made a decision on how they plan to respond to the ACLU/Muslim Advocates letter from December. I believe CRCL has been in contact with them for a few days now, at multiple levels, on this issue.

(b) (5)

BQ_000388
I wasn’t sure if you wanted to incorporate the conversations you’ve been having with Port Directors on religious questioning, and if you did, I wasn’t sure where to incorporate, so the memo is silent on this front.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Policy Advisor
Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
Tel. (b) (6)
Fax: (b) (6)

http://www.dhs.gov/crcl