October 31, 2011

VIA U.S. Mail and electronically

Richard H. Morse, Esq.
American Civil Liberties Union / Foundation of Delaware
100 West 10th Street, Suite 803
Wilmington, DE 19801

Dear Mr. Morse:

Please accept this letter as the Delaware Department of Justice’s (hereafter “DDOJ”) response to the American Civil Liberties Union/Foundation of Delaware’s (hereafter “ACLU”) FOIA request dated September 22, 2011, for information under the State of Delaware’s Freedom of Information Act (hereafter “FOIA”), 29 Del. C. § 10001, et seq. DDOJ appreciates ACLU’s interest in the issues relating to the topic of cell phone location information. We understand that ACLU’s request is part of its nationwide effort to provide the public information about how law enforcement entities obtain and use certain types of electronic data possessed by private communications carriers. Even though not required by FOIA, DDOJ’s response includes an explanation of its operations that touch upon the subjects contained in ACLU’s request. In compiling its response, DDOJ has strived to provide maximum transparency without compromising compelling state interests relating to effective law enforcement.

Overview

In reviewing ACLU’s request, DDOJ conducted a reasonable search to locate any and all responsive records. Certain categories of information you have requested are qualified by the term “sufficient to show” a specific subject or category of responsive document. DDOJ has made its best efforts to understand ACLU’s intentions in using this qualification. DDOJ’s response is based on the plain meaning of each specific request.

To fully understand DDOJ’s response, it may be helpful to understand how DDOJ’s attorneys and employees obtain cell phone location records. First, many of
ACLU’s requests appear to be based on the supposition that DDOJ employees or Deputy Attorneys General (“DAGs”) personally access cell phone location records for specific individuals. This information is obtained from communications services providers pursuant to lawful process such as a court order or appropriate Attorney General’s Subpoena. It is important to note that DDOJ does not as a matter of course independently conduct these types of criminal investigations. State and municipal police agencies conduct such investigations and provide information necessary to an investigation and prosecution. There are occasions when an investigation initiated by the DDOJ’s Fraud Division has required access to certain electronic data in the possession of private communications providers. If the information being sought includes content (such as in the form of conversations, text messages, or location information) an application to the appropriate court for an order requiring the relevant service provider to produce the information is sought. Second, any determination about how and when to obtain cell phone location information is based on a DAG’s professional legal judgment and an application to a court of appropriate jurisdiction. When a police agency or a DAG has identified the need for cell phone location information in an individual case, the DAG makes an appropriate application to the court for an order requiring the relevant cell phone provider to produce such information. The application for an order is an ex parte, sealed filing. Any resulting order requiring production of the information is also sealed. Generally-speaking, the applications and orders remain sealed even when the assigned DAG must provide the records to opposing counsel during the discovery process. Except in emergency circumstances (e.g., missing persons, violent suspect at large), no information regarding cell phone location information is sought or provided absent a specific order by a court of competent jurisdiction. If the information being sought does not call for the content of communications, such information may be obtained through an Attorney General’s Subpoena. These operations are dictated by Delaware law. See 11 Del. C. §§ 2402(c); 2405; 2406, 2407, 2422, 2423, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434; 29 Del. C. §§ 2504, 2508A, and 2514.

Because DDOJ believes that the investigative need for electronic information relating to criminal investigations is not subject to a “one size fits all” policy or procedure, we have determined that each case’s investigative strategy should be decided by the assigned DAG, as guided by their professional judgment and the needs of each particular matter. For this reason, there are very few records which address this issue in a global way. To the extent you are seeking records relating to individual cases, such records are located within individual civil, criminal investigative and prosecution files maintained by DDOJ or are in the possession of the police agency which initiated a criminal investigation. Any and all responsive non-exempt records are attached hereto as identified below.
Records Requested

DDOJ has reproduced each of ACLU’s requests and provided its response to each such request below:

Copies of records relating to your acquisition of cell phone location records, encompassing records regarding real-time tracking and records regarding where cell phones have been in the past, and all available methods of locating cell phones, including “cell site,” triangulation, and GPS, as follows:

- Records stating the policies, procedures and practices you follow in determining how to obtain cell phone location records

  Response: Attached please find an email to DAGs regarding the method for filing applications for court orders requiring the production of electronic evidence and other records which explains how DAGs and police agencies should contact cell phone providers when seeking such information. Please also find guidelines for law enforcement agencies for obtaining AG Subpoenas for non-content information. Any other information relating to specific practices arising out of a civil investigation or criminal prosecution is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

- Records sufficient to show the dates when these policies, procedures, and practices for obtaining cell phone records were first put into place.

  Response: Please see the above response.

- Records sufficient to show the data retention policies you use, including how long cell phone location records are kept, the databases in which they are placed, and the agencies (federal, state, and local) with which you share those records or have agreed to share those records they are shared.

  Response: Please visit the following website for a detailed listing of all applicable data retention policies relating to State agencies: http://archives.delaware.gov/govsvcs/general_records_retention_schedules/index.shtml#TopOfPage Regarding your specific request, you are directed to the link entitled “police.” DDOJ is not a party to any specific agreement addressing cell phone location information sharing. Such information may be shared amongst law enforcement agencies working jointly in relation to specific investigations or prosecutions as dictated by the needs of a specific case. Any information relating
to specific prosecutions or civil investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

- **Records sufficient to show your use of cell phone location records to identify “communities of interest (detailing those persons who have been called, or called by a target)” in investigations.**

  **Response:** Other than the potential content of specific criminal prosecution or civil investigative file, no such information exists. Any information relating to specific prosecutions or investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

- **Records sufficient to show your use of cell phone records to identify all of the cell phones at a particular location.**

  **Response:** Other than the potential content of specific criminal prosecution or civil investigative files, no such information exists. Any information relating to specific prosecutions or investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

- **Records sufficient to show your use of “digital fences” (systems whereby you are notified whenever a cell phone comes within a specific geographic area).**

  **Response:** Other than the potential content of specific criminal prosecution or civil investigative files, no such information exists. Any information relating to specific prosecutions or investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

- **Records stating the legal standard (e.g. probable cause, relevance) you use to decide whether to issue or use subpoenas to obtain cell phone location records, or otherwise indicating the legal standard you use.**

  **Response:** Any information relating to specific prosecutions or civil investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g). In general, the standard for issuance and court enforcement of Attorney General’s subpoenas are found in the cases interpreting that authority under 29 Del. C. c. 25. See, e.g., In re Hawkins, 123 A.2d 113 (Del. 1956); In re Henry C. Eastburn & Son, Inc., 147 A.2d 921 (Del. 1959); In re Blue Hen Country Network, 314 A.2d 197 (Del. 1973); Matter of Acierio, 1990 WL 16272 (Del. Aug. 24, 1990). Individual DAGs, therefore, are expected to comport their subpoena and motion practice with those well-established standards.
• All judicial decisions and orders you have received in response to your use or issuance of subpoenas to obtain cell phone location records.

Response: As stated above, orders to produce such information in relation to specific investigations are sealed. DDOJ is not aware of any unsealed Delaware court decision in the form of an opinion or substantive legal order. The individual sealed orders granting access to cell phone location records, as noted above, are kept by DDOJ in the several prosecution or civil investigative files for which they are sought and not otherwise tracked or compiled. Any information relating to specific prosecutions or civil investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

• Records sufficient to show the number of occasions on which you have used or issued subpoenas to obtain cell phone location records.

Response: No index or list regarding the “number of occasions” exists. Any information relating to specific prosecutions is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

• Records sufficient to show the forms in which cell phone location records are provided (hard copy, through specific online databases).

Response: Other than the content of specific criminal prosecution or civil investigative files, no such information exists. Any information relating to specific prosecutions is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g). We suggest that you contact the relevant cell phone service providers for this information.

• Records reflecting your communications with cell phone companies and providers of location-based services regarding cell phone location records, including

- the company manuals, pricing, and data access policies you have received
- the invoices reflecting payments you made for cell phone location records
- records reflecting the instances in which cell phone companies have refused to comply with a request or subpoena for cell phone location records.
Response: No index or list reflecting “communications with cell phone companies and providers” exists. As explained above, other than the content of specific criminal prosecution or civil investigative file, no such information exists. Any information relating to specific prosecutions or investigations is exempt from the definition of “public record” as set forth at 29 Del. C. §10002(g).

DDOJ is not in possession of any “company manuals, pricing, and data access policies” from a cell phone service provider. Please visit the following websites for information reflective of the methods by which DAGs and/or police agencies might make contact with the relevant service providers for the purpose of acquiring cell phone location records for individual cases:

http://info.publicintelligence.net/TelephoneInvestigationGuide.pdf;

Attached please find any and all invoices relating to cell phone records, which would include cell phone location records – but might also include requests for non-cell-phone-location records - for the time period from July 1, 2010, to the present. As you will note, these records have been redacted to protect the identities of the investigative officers, carrier employees, DDOJ employees, witnesses, and suspects involved in these activities. Such redacted information is outside the definition of public record for the reasons set forth at 29 Del. C. § 10002(g). DDOJ has no records reflecting “instances in which cell phone companies have refused to comply with a request or subpoena for cell phone location records.”

DDOJ Response

As set forth above and included as attachments hereto, DDOJ has located and produced all public records responsive to your request. DDOJ has determined that records, documents, correspondence, and/or memoranda between the DDOJ, other State agencies, and outside individuals and bodies relating to civil investigations or the criminal prosecution of specific individuals and which are contained within the prosecution or investigative file for such investigations or prosecutions are exempt from the definition of “public record” pursuant to 29 Del. C. §§ 10002(g)(3)(exempting investigatory files compiled for civil or criminal law enforcement purposes), 10002(g)(4)(exempting criminal files and criminal records, the disclosure of which would constitute an invasion of personal privacy), 10002(g)(5)(exempting intelligence files compiled for law enforcement purposes), and 10002(g)(6)(exempting any records specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute or common law). In addition to these statutory bases for non-disclosure, communications to and from a DAG to clients or agents of the DAG are protected by the attorney/client and work-product privileges. These communications and any relating documents are also protected by the common law privilege set forth in Beckett v. Trice, 1994 WL 319171, at * 3 (Del. Super. June 6,

DDOJ appreciates the important role that the ACLU plays in ensuring that law enforcement practices are appropriately constrained by our nation's traditional notions of personal liberty. We believe that each of our practices is consistent with the requirements of the law and appropriately tailored to the circumstances of each individual investigation.

Thank you for your interest in the State of Delaware Department of Justice.

Very truly yours,

Timothy P. Mullaney, Sr.
Chief of Staff

Enclosures
A while back I was approached by Judge Cooch, who is the wiretap judge for New Castle County. He said that he thought too many deputies were going to him as wiretap judge with applications that should have been taken to the office judge for New Castle County. We agreed that the Court would indicate which applications should go to which judge. With the help of DAG _, I submitted a proposed list to Judge Cooch, and, after a period of time, Judge Cooch indicated that Superior Court (not just for NCC, but for the entire State) had approved the list.

I attach the “approved list.” It’s pretty simple. If the application cites as authority for its issuance some part of Title 11 § 2407, it should go to the wiretap judge. Anything else should go to the office judge.

Let me know if you run into any problems with this.

Thanks.
#1. **LEISS Police Complaint Number:** ____________________________
   (Report must be approved or fax a copy of the unapproved report to 577-8426.)

#2. **Crime:** ____________________________
   (Reports classified as Miscellaneous Investigations are not eligible for subpoenas.)

#3. **Target Info:** (Phone number**; account number, IP Address, etc): **
   For any phone Call Data Records you must include a date & time range for the info you are seeking.**

#3a. **Reason info is needed & how it will tie into your investigation:**

#4. **Company/Entity your wish to have subpoenaed:** (Phone company data can be researched at: www.searchbug.com or at www.phonevalidator.com :

#4a. **To whom the subpoena should be addressed and contact information:**
   (Be sure to supply the person’s full name, complete address, office and Fax telephone numbers.)

#5. **State how the entity/company to be subpoenaed will accept subpoenas:**
   (By fax, certified mail or personal service-which will only be done in Delaware.)

#6. **Investigating Officer’s name, IBM #, desk and cell phone numbers:**
   (Your cell phone info is very important in order to avoid multiple emails & phone messages. Cell phone info is NOT shared with anyone.)
SUBPOENA REQUEST

Please lay out all requests by email for subpoenas in the following format:

#1- LEISS Case Number (Report must be approved or you need to fax an unapproved copy to us at 577-8426.)
#2- Crime Classification (Cases classified as “Miscellaneous Investigation” will not receive a subpoena.)
#3- State specifically the “Target” information being sought and how the info being sought will tie into your investigation.
#4- Name of the company or entity the officer desires to be subpoenaed, including the company’s full name (the one to which they want they subpoena addressed), full & complete address, and contact person (or unit like “Subpoena Compliance or Legal Department” etc) with that person’s office phone number and fax number. Phone company data can be researched on www.searchbug.com or at www.phonevalidator.com.
#5- How the entity will accept subpoena service- If by fax, please provide a fax number. If by certified mail, please provide the exact address where they want the subpoena sent. If by personal service (in Delaware only), the exact name, address and phone number of the registered agent to whom service is to be sent.
#6- The officer’s cell phone number so if there are any questions we may call them. Their number is NOT shared with anyone else. It is only used to expedite the officer’s request to obtain missing information.

If all of the above info is not provided, your request may be returned to you without a subpoena being issued until all information is received by our office.

Below is an example of how the request should look when it is submitted.

1. 00-00-000000 (Your LEISS Case Number)
2. Theft
3. Re: Savings Account #00000000
   I need the bank account records to show how much money was deposited into a trust fund for the victims versus how much they received in payment when the account was closed to show how much was taken by the suspect.
4. Wilmington Trust
   Attn: Allison Berl – Office-302-555-5555 Fax-302-555-5555
   Mail Drop 500
   100 N. Market St.
   Wilmington, De. 19890
   All records from 08/06 to present
5. Regular Mail
6. Det. John Q. Smith IBM # 0000-Office-302-555-5555 and Cell Phone-(302) 555-5555
REQUEST FOR SUBPOENA CHECK LIST

PLEASE ENSURE ALL ITEMS ARE PROVIDED BEFORE SUBMITTING YOUR REQUEST FOR A SUBPOENA.

The below listed information must be provided to us in order for us to be able to assist you with your request for subpoenas:

☐ Please provide the police complaint number-Is the LEISS report approved? **If not, fax a copy of the unapproved report to 577-8426.** (Subpoenas are only permitted to be issued in the furtherance of a criminal investigation &/or prosecution. Subpoenas will not be issued for LEISS reports classified as Miscellaneous Investigations.)

☐ Please supply “target” phone number or account number info. The more info you supply, the quicker the source can respond to the subpoena.

☐ State specifically the info you request to be subpoenaed and how that info will tie into your investigation to justify your request. (We are not permitted to assume what information you want subpoenaed.)

☐ Specify the type of info you are requesting-subscriber, billing, &/or payment info, incoming/outgoing Call Data Records, or any other info you desire. **Please supply the date and time range for the information you request to be subpoenaed.** Please keep in mind; the longer you make the date range, the longer it will take the subpoenaed entity to respond. (Note: “Content” of text messages is not available via an AG Subpoena- you will need to obtain a SEARCH WARRANT for that info. Historical or current Cell Tower Site information must be obtained via a Court Order.) Most cell phone providers only hold content of text messages for a very short time period so I recommend you immediately send the phone company a “Letter of Preservation” requesting the date range of text messages you need saved and explain the appropriate court orders will be forthcoming.

☐ The complete name, address, office and fax telephone numbers of the entity of which you seek to subpoena information. **Phone company data can be researched on www.searchbug.com or at www.phonevalidator.com.** Please include the name of the person or unit that will receive the subpoena. Ascertain what type of subpoena service the company will require or will accept. Please specify whether the entity will accept a faxed copy of the subpoena or if they require a certified mail copy sent. Also, some companies require personal service. If this is the case, we need to know who their registered agent in Delaware is and the exact location where the service is to be executed.

☐ If seeking IP Address information (subscriber, billing, payment info, connectivity logs, etc.), please ensure you provide the exact date, time and time zone along with the IP Address for which you seek information.

☐ Please obtain & provide the complete Internet Service Provider (ISP) Company’s name for the IP Address you are subpoenaing, the complete mailing address, office & fax telephone numbers. As listed two bullets above, please ascertain to whom and how they want subpoena service to be executed before you make your request.

☐ Please supply your cell phone number and your desk phone number (if applicable) so we may contact you to clarify any questions that may arise & to notify you when your info is received. Refusal to comply with this request could delay the time it takes you to receive the info you need for your investigation. Your cell phone numbers are not shared with anyone. They are simply used to expedite communications between you and us.
Office Judge or Wiretap Judge?

Criminal Division, August 24, 2011

| Application for Pen Register & Caller ID | 11 §§ 2432 & 2433 | Office judge |
| Application for Interception of Wire Communications | 11 § 2407 | Wiretap judge |
| All other applications relating to "traditional" wiretaps | 11 §§ 2406(e) & 2407(g) | Wiretap judge |
| Application for Disclosure of Historical Cellular Site Information (includes text messages); and/or Motion for Cell Tower Information | 18 U.S.C. § 2703 | Office judge |
| Search Warrant for Cell Phone (includes stored text messages and stored voice mails) | (Search Warrant) | Office judge |
| Search Warrant for Cell Tower Site | (Search Warrant) | Office judge |
| Search Warrant for Social Network Site | (Search Warrant) | Office judge |
| Search Warrant for GPS (Mobile)Tracking Device | (Search Warrant) | Office judge |
| Search Warrant for Computer | (Search Warrant) | Office judge |
| Motion to Compel AG's Subpoena | 29 § 2508; in re Hawkins, 123 A.2d 113 (Del. 1956) | Office judge |
| Motion for Material Witness Warrant | Office judge |
| Application for cell tower "pings" | If it cites 11 § 2407 as authority for the app. | Wiretap judge |
| Retroactive application for cell tower "pings" | 11 § 2407(e)(5) | Wiretap judge |
| application (see Application for Pen Register & Caller ID) | 11 §§ 2432 & 2433 | Office judge |
### Summary of Outstanding Invoices

**Account # DE17158**

**Payment Due upon receipt**

**Outstanding Invoice Date Range:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invoice</th>
<th>Invoice Date</th>
<th>VZ Case#</th>
<th>File/Docket#</th>
<th>Attorney/Agent</th>
<th>Receiver Tel#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011101003</td>
<td>05/24/2011</td>
<td>11270393 000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Special Computer Search Dates:</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payments/Credits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance Due</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please return a copy of this summary with your payment. Please reference invoice number on your remittance check.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Target Number</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Text Message Retrieval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Make Checks Payable To / Remit To:
MetroPCS Wireless, Inc.
P.O. Box 842067
Dallas, TX 75284-2067

Customer ID: 6586
Invoice Number: 82029
Invoice Date: 01-AUG-11
Invoice Total: 100.00

Invoices are generated only after requested information has been sent to the agent by the preferred means of delivery.
If you have not received the information for which you have been invoiced or have billing questions, please send an email to leainvoicing@metropcs.com referencing the Invoice Number, Case Number, & Tracking ID Number.
When remitting a Payment, please reference the Invoice Number to ensure proper payment application.
Marconi Lisa F (DOJ)

From: Lagaccia Irina (DOJ)
Sent: Tuesday, November 09, 2010 9:11 AM
To: Minka Leon (DOJ); Marconi Lisa F (DOJ)
Subject: RE: 

Lisa:

Please pay — 60128. Thanks!

Irina LaGaccia
Grant Administrative Officer
Department of Justice
820 N. French St., 6th Fl.
Wilmington, DE 19801
ph: (302)577-8381
fax: (302)577-6630

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 9:10 AM
To: Minka Leon (DOJ); Lagaccia Irina (DOJ)
Subject: 

Good Morning:

Attached you will find an invoice from MetroPCS for phone records regarding the above matter. If you need any other information, please let me know.

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic message and any attachment(s) are confidential and may be subject to the attorney/client privilege and/or work product immunity. This e-mail is only for the use of the intended recipient(s). If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail, then delete this message and any attachment(s) from your system. Any unintended transmission expressly shall not waive the attorney/client privilege or any other privilege.

This Email Logo Is Green...Let's Keep Our Planet That Way
Make Checks Payable To / Remit To:
MetroPCS Wireless, Inc.
P.O. Box 842067
Dallas, TX 75284-2067

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Target Number</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call Details</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Invoice Total: 100.00
Invoice Number: 69220
Invoice Date: 19-OCT-10
Customer ID: 9431

Terms: DUE ON RECEIPT

Customer ID: 9431
Invoice Number: 69220
Invoice Date: 19-OCT-10
Invoice Total: 100.00

Invoices are generated only after requested information has been sent to the agent by the preferred means of delivery.

If you have not received the information for which you have been invoiced or have billing questions, please send an email to les invoicing@metropcs.com. Please reference the Case/LEMS number for better assistance.

When remitting a Payment, please reference the Case/LEMS number to ensure proper payment application.
Lisa, print out the attachment then OK PV 60128. Thanks.

Leon/Jackie,

Attached is the invoice from CRICKET.COMMUNICATIONS for cell phone information we needed in the State v. homicide. It is assigned to Please let me know if you need more info. Thanks!

Please find attached the Call Detail Records and Subscriber Information that you requested. Please be sure to open all attachments.

Please find attached an invoice from Cricket Communications Inc. for the records we produced. This invoice is DUE UPON RECEIPT, with late fees assessable if not paid within 30 days. If you are not the person responsible for paying invoices like this, please ensure you forward this to the appropriate person.
Please call if you have any questions. PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS E-MAIL; THERE WILL BE NO OTHER RESPONSE FROM CRICKET REF THIS REQUEST.

If you requested text messages, please note that Cricket does not retain the typed content of phone-to-phone text messages. Upon service of acceptable legal process, we can provide text message data that shows the to/from telephone numbers and the time/date of the message, but no content. Please see the attached Procedural Guidelines document for methods of acceptable legal process.

As indicated in the attached disclaimer, upon sufficient notice, Cricket will also provide certified/authenticated hard copies of these records should the underlying matter go to trial and certified records can be used in lieu of a live witness. If a witness is needed, our witness will bring documents from which to testify.

If you have a need to convert the MEID to a pESN for equipment use, etc., you can link to generate a HEX or DEC MEID and validate:


Please note that as of 1 Jan 2011 LCW Wireless, LLC will cease to exist as a unique entity, affiliated with Cricket. As of this date, all previous LCW Wireless subscribers will become Cricket subscribers, and Cricket will no longer accept service directed to LCW Wireless, LLC.

Thank you,

Cricket Communications
Subpoena Compliance
Direct: (858) 882-9301
Fax: (858) 882-9237
compliance@cricketcommunications.com