















Slip Copy, 2011 WL 900120 (E.D.Va.)  
(Cite as: 2011 WL 900120 (E.D.Va.))

there is no First Amendment justification for unsealing the 10-gj-3793 documents.

Concerning petitioners' request for public docketing of 10-gj-3793, this requires further review and will be taken under consideration.

Regarding case number 1:11-dm-00003, the Court has reviewed the redactions requested by the government as to docket numbers 22 and 24. As to the Government's Response in Opposition to the Real Parties' in Interest Motion for Unsealing of Sealed Court Records (Dkt.22), the Court finds that the proposed redactions do not reveal any sensitive investigatory facts which are not already revealed by the Twitter Order. Therefore, it shall be unsealed. The government's remaining proposed redaction is the email address of a government attorney appearing on Twitter, Inc.'s Motion for Clarification. (Dkt.24). The Court finds that this redaction is appropriate, and the redacted version of Twitter Inc.'s motion shall be released.

#### *CONCLUSION*

For the foregoing reasons, petitioners' Motion to Vacate is DENIED. Petitioners' Motion to Unseal is DENIED as to docket 10-gj-3793, and GRANTED as to the 1:11-dm-00003 docket, with the exception of the government attorney's email address in Twitter's Motion for Clarification (Dkt.24), which shall be redacted. Petitioners' request for public docketing of the material within 10-gj-3793 shall be taken under consideration. An Order shall follow.

E.D.Va.,2011.  
In re |2703(d)  
Slip Copy, 2011 WL 900120 (E.D.Va.)

END OF DOCUMENT