	Case 3:19-cv-00290-EMC Document 11	6 Filed 04/26/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	EUREKA DIVISION	
7		
8	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION	Case No. 19-cv-00290-EMC (RMI)
9	FOUNDATION, et al.,	
10	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
11	v.	Re: Dkt. Nos. 114, 115
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		

14 On March 26, 2021, the court entered an order directing the Department of Homeland 15 Security ("DHS") to adhere to a certain schedule regarding the processing and production of documents in this Freedom of Information Act case. See Order of March 26, 2021 (dkt. 110). DHS 16 was warned that the "deadlines are firm and no extensions or relief will be entertained by the 17 18 undersigned absent extraordinary circumstances; and, any failures in compliance may result in 19 sanctions." Id. at 2. Instead of complying, DHS has filed a lengthy "status report" that does little 20 more than to enumerate the details of its failure to comply with the schedule set by the court's previous order. See Status Report (dkt. 114). The court is running out of patience, and DHS's 22 continued failure to abide by the provisions set forth below will most certainly result in sanctions.

23 In light of Plaintiffs' objections to DHS's continued delays, the court now orders as follows: 24

25 (1)DHS is **ORDERED** to *immediately* complete all actions necessary to generate reasonably specific, reliable estimates of the pages of records potentially responsive to parts 2, 3, and 5— 26 27 including identifying the number of pages associated with particular components, de-duplication, 28 page imaging, and/or accounting for the extent to which Excel files, embedded objects and

21

## Case 3:19-cv-00290-EMC Document 116 Filed 04/26/21 Page 2 of 2

images, or other artifacts of the record search have artificially inflated the number of potentially 2 responsive records.

(2)DHS is FURTHER ORDERED to communicate to Plaintiffs, within 14 days of the date of this Order, estimates of the volume of potentially responsive records attributable to specific document types, components, date ranges, and any other reasonably ascertainable categories of information that could aid the Parties in identifying ways to further adjust search parameters in order to reduce the volume of responsive records to a manageable amount.

8 (3) If DHS fails to meet its 14-day deadline, Plaintiffs are directed to promptly inform the 9 court such that a sanctions hearing may be quickly set. If DHS does meet its 14-day deadline, the Parties are ORDERED to submit a status report setting forth their respective positions as to the 10 appropriate processing rate and schedule no later than 28 days of the date of this order.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

1

3

4

5

6

7

## **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 26, 2021

**ROBERT M. ILLMAN** United States Magistrate Judge

Northern District of California United States District Court

2