	Case 3:19-cv-00290-EMC	Document 18	Filed 03/25/19	Page 1 of 9	
1 2	JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO (D.C. Bar No. 418925) Deputy Branch Director ELIZABETH TULIS (NY Bar) Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 514-9237 Facsimile: (202) 616-8460 E-mail: elizabeth.tulis@usdoj.gov				
3					
4 5 6					
7 8					
9	Attorneys for Defendants				
10					
11 12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
13					
14 15	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNIO FOUNDATION; AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA,	) )	19-cv-290-EMC		
16	Plaintiffs,	)			
17	V.	$) \qquad \underline{ANS}$	<u>SWER</u>		
18	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; FEDERA BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION;	AL )			
19 20	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY; U.S. CUSTOMS AND				
21	BORDER PROTECTION; U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES; U.S. IMMIGRATION ANI				
22	CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT; DEPARTMENT OF STATE,				
23	Defendants.				
24			1.D. CI		
25	Defendants Department of Justice				
26	Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"), U.S.				
27	Citizenship and Immigration Services ("	USCIS <sup>-</sup> ), U.S. I	mmigration and C	Jusioms Enforcement	
28					
	ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC	1			

("ICE"), and Department of State ("State Department") (together, "Defendants"), by and through
 their undersigned attorneys, answer the complaint on information and belief as follows:

Paragraph 1 consists of a characterization of this action, to which no response is
 required.

2. The allegations in paragraph 2 do not set forth a claim for relief or aver facts in support of a claim to which a response is required.

3. The allegations in paragraph 3 do not set forth a claim for relief or aver facts in support of a claim to which a response is required.

4. Admit that Plaintiffs submitted a FOIA request dated May 24, 2018, to the
Defendants. The remaining allegations in paragraph 4 consist of a characterization of Plaintiffs'
FOIA request, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and
complete statement of its contents.

5. Admitted.

6. The allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 6 do not set forth a claim for relief or aver facts in support of a claim to which a response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, Defendants deny the allegations. The second and third sentences in paragraph 6 are denied. The fourth sentence of paragraph 6 consists of a legal conclusion regarding Plaintiffs' purported entitlement to relief, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief sought or any relief.

7. Paragraph 7 consists of legal conclusions regarding the Court's jurisdiction, to which no response is required.

8. Paragraph 8 consists of a legal conclusion regarding venue, to which no response is required.

9. Paragraph 9 consists of a legal conclusion regarding venue, to which no response is required.

10.Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to thetruth of the allegations in paragraph 10.

ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC 11. Admit that DOJ is an agency of the federal government. The remaining allegations in paragraph 11 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

12. Admit that FBI is a component of DOJ. The remaining allegations in paragraph 12 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

13. Admit that DHS is an agency of the federal government. The remaining allegations in paragraph 13 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

14. Admit that defendant CBP is a component of DHS. The remaining allegations in paragraph 14 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

15. Admit that USCIS is a component of DHS. The remaining allegations in paragraph 15 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

16. Admit that ICE is a component of DHS. The remaining allegations in paragraph 16 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

17. Admit that the State Department is an agency of the federal government. The remaining allegations in paragraph 17 consist of a legal conclusion, to which no response is required.

18-31. The allegations in paragraphs 18 through 31 do not set forth a claim for relief or aver facts in support of a claim to which a response is required.

32. Admit that the ACLU submitted a FOIA request dated May 24, 2018, to Defendants. The remaining allegations in paragraph 32 characterize the ACLU's FOIA request, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

33. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 33 to the extent they purport to describe the ACLU's actual reasons for seeking expedited processing. Paragraph 33 otherwise characterizes the ACLU's FOIA request, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

34. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 34 to the extent they purport to describe the ACLU's actual ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3

## Case 3:19-cv-00290-EMC Document 18 Filed 03/25/19 Page 4 of 9

reasons for seeking fee waivers. Paragraph 34 otherwise characterizes the ACLU's FOIA
 request, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete
 statement of its contents.

35. Admit that Defendants have not yet released any records in response to the ACLU's FOIA request; otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 35.

36. Admit that DOJ has acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 36 characterize a June 13, 2018, letter from DOJ, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

37. The allegations in paragraph 37 characterize a June 13, 2018, letter from DOJ, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

38. Admit that the ACLU's FOIA request was dated May 24, 2018, and that DOJ has not released any records in response to that request; otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 38.

39. Admit that the FBI has acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request; the remaining allegations in paragraph 39 characterize a letter from the FBI dated June 8, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

40. The allegations in paragraph 40 characterize a June 8, 2018, letter from the FBI, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that letter for a true and complete statement of its contents.

41. Admit that the ACLU administratively appealed the FBI's response to its FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 41 characterize a July 18, 2018, letter from the ACLU, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC 42. Deny. Aver that the letter dated July 23, 2018 is a letter from DOJ's Office of Information Policy ("OIP"), not the FBI. Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

43. Deny. Aver that OIP responded to Plaintiffs' administrative appeal and remanded the matter to the FBI.

44. Admit that the State Department acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 44 characterize a letter from the State Department dated June 22, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

45. Admit that the ACLU filed an administrative appeal with the State Department and that the State Department acknowledged receipt of that appeal. The remaining allegations in paragraph 45 characterize a letter from the ACLU dated September 19, 2018, and a letter from the State Department dated September 27, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to those documents for true and complete statements of their contents.

46. Deny that the State Department rejected the ACLU's administrative appeal. Aver that the State Department processed the appeal. Admit that the State Department upheld the original decision. The remaining allegations in paragraph 46 characterize a letter from the State Department dated October 30, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that letter for a true and complete statement of its contents.

47. Admit that DHS acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 47 characterize a letter from DHS dated May 30, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

48. Admit that the ACLU filed an administrative appeal with DHS. The remaining allegations in paragraph 48 characterize a letter from the ACLU dated June 29, 2018, and a letter from DHS dated July 2, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to those documents for true and complete statements of their contents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC 49. Paragraph 49 characterizes a letter from DHS dated December 18, 2018, and
 Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that letter for a true and complete statement of its
 contents.

50. Admit that DHS has not released any records in response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request. Aver that the remand of Plaintiffs' FOIA request was issued three days before relevant DHS employees were furloughed due to the lapse in appropriations, and that Plaintiffs filed this lawsuit on January 19, 2019, while the furlough was still ongoing.

51. Admit that CBP acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 51 characterize a letter from CBP dated May 25, 2018, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

52. Admit that the May 25, 2018, letter was the last communication from CBP to the ACLU regarding the ACLU's FOIA request. Admit that CBP has not released any records in response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 52 are denied.

53. Admit that ICE acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 53 characterize a May 31, 2018, letter from ICE, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that document for a true and complete statement of its contents.

54. Admit that ICE has not yet released any records in response to the ACLU's FOIA request and that ICE has not communicated with the ACLU regarding the FOIA request since May 31, 2018; otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 54.

55. Admit that USCIS acknowledged receipt of the ACLU's FOIA request. The remaining allegations in paragraph 55 characterize a June 6, 2018, letter from USCIS, and Defendants respectfully refer the Court to that letter for a true and complete statement of its contents.

56. Deny the ACLU's characterization of the telephone communications between USCIS and the ACLU, and aver that during a phone call on January 7, 2019, the ACLU agreed that USCIS would limit its search for items 3 and 4 in the FOIA request to USCIS's Office of ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC

Information Technology ("OIT") and Contracting office. The remaining allegations in paragraph
 56 characterize email correspondence between USCIS and the ACLU, and Defendants
 respectfully refer the Court to the referenced emails for true and complete statements of their
 contents.

57. Admit that USCIS has not yet released any records in response to the ACLU's FOIA request; otherwise deny the allegations in paragraph 57.

58. The allegations in paragraph 58 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 58.

59. The allegations in paragraph 59 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 59.

60. The allegations in paragraph 60 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 60.

61. The allegations in paragraph 61 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph
61.

62. The allegations in paragraph 62 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph
62.

63. The paragraph beginning "WHEREFORE" and subparagraphs numbered (1) through (5) consist of Plaintiffs' prayer for relief, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief sought or to any relief.

## DEFENSES

Any allegations not specifically admitted, denied, or otherwise answered are hereby denied. For further defenses, Defendants allege as follows:

ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7

1	<u>First Defense</u>			
2	FBI, USCIS, ICE, and CBP are not "agencies" for purposes of FOIA, and the Court			
3	therefore lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims against those Defendants.			
4	Second Defense			
5	Plaintiffs' FOIA request does not reasonably describe the records sought from one or			
6	more Defendants. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A).			
7	Third Defense			
8	Whether or not one or more Defendants possesses documents responsive to Plaintiffs'			
9	FOIA request is information that is exempt from public disclosure. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).			
10	Fourth Defense			
11	Defendants have exercised due diligence in processing the FOIA request, and exceptional			
12	circumstances exist that necessitate additional time for Defendants to complete their processing			
13	of the FOIA request. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C).			
14	<u>Fifth Defense</u>			
15	Plaintiffs are not entitled to compel production of records exempt from disclosure by one			
16	or more exemptions of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552.			
17				
18	DATED: March 25, 2019 Respectfully submitted,			
19	JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General			
20	ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO (D.C. Bar No. 418925) Deputy Branch Director			
21	/s/ Elizabeth Tulis			
22	ELIZABETH TULIS (NY Bar) Trial Attorney			
23 24	U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street, NW			
25	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 514-9237			
26	Facsimile: (202) 616-8460 E-mail: elizabeth.tulis@usdoj.gov			
27	Attorneys for Defendants			
28				
	ANSWER Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC			

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on March 25, 2019, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notification of electronic filing to the parties.

<u>/s/ Elizabeth Tulis</u> ELIZABETH TULIS

ANSWER – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Case No. 19-cv-290-EMC