
From: [REDACTED]@ijis.org>
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 7:15 PM
To: [REDACTED] Lewis, David
Cc: O'Reilly, Thomas
Subject: RE: Mapping eGuardian codes to PM-ISE SAR

[REDACTED]
Lets add this to the agenda with [REDACTED] on Weds. There may be a policy resolution that can be implemented later.
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
SAR Program Manager
IJIS Institute
44983 Knoll Square Ashburn, VA 20147
Office: [REDACTED]
Remote Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]
Fax: 703-726-3557
email: [REDACTED]@ijis.org
www.ijis.org

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@tetruscorp.com]
Sent: Mon 6/1/2009 11:37 AM
To: [REDACTED] Lewis, David
Cc: O'Reilly, Thomas
Subject: Mapping eGuardian codes to PM-ISE SAR

[REDACTED] David, [REDACTED]

I completed the ETL for mapping the eGuardian Incident records into ISE-SAR. While the data elements themselves map quite nicely, I am a little concerned about the mapping of the incident types into ISE-SAR threat and activity types. At a high level, the basic thrust of the eGuardian incident seem to be criminal incidents and overt terrorism incident that are take on more of an imminent threat sense. The ISE-SAR on the other hand places greater emphasis on incidents that are NOT criminal in nature but are indicative of back-burner type activities.

I have modified the ETL to be configurable about how these mappings are done. But I wanted you to take a look at the mapping. The first column in the spreadsheet, called the Code is the code used to identify the field called Activity-SubType. The second column documentation what the code stands for. The Third column indicates a higher level classification ActivityType. This complicates the mapping somewhat, when the activity-subtype seems to map to ISE-SAR, the high level activity description tends to blur the line somewhat. The last two columns are the PM-ISE threat and activity codes. I have also attached the documentation for the ISE SAR codes.

Should we ask eGuardian to send only those codes that map somewhat to the ISE-SAR codes? Please note that the ETL is set up in such a way that the mapping could be altered by FBI after they have the machine in their site. Even if you (or PM-ISE) disagree with the mapping, we can alter it later w/o any software changes.

--

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@tetruscorp.com
[REDACTED]