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Following up on our brief phone conversation, I recommend reaching out to the ICE Privacy Office to let them know what the use case and data set being used are. That will give ICE Privacy a chance to digest the information and provide guidance as appropriate.

The ICE privacy analyst who has been working with S&T on Venntel is  and the ICE Acting Privacy Officer is  Contacting both is advised.

Directorate Privacy Officer | Science & Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
Hi [b](6)

A few weeks ago you were over at S&T for an overview of the VennTel advertiser ID location tracking tools.

You indicated ICE has a set of Rules of Behavior that could be adapted to some VennTel use cases.

Can you share those ROBs with us?

Best wishes,

[b](6)
A few days ago a Border Patrol Agent was shot while patrolling a remote area in Arizona.

CBP asked Data Analytics team to use the VennTel cell phone app location data aggregator to identify possible uses of cell phones in the area of the shooting.

The attached PTA describes the actions S&T has taken.

Please review this PTA and send me your comments. This PTA takes priority over all of your other assignments.

Let me know if you have questions.

Best wishes,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sender</strong></th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient</strong></td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date</strong></td>
<td>2018/06/14 12:42:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date</strong></td>
<td>2018/06/14 12:42:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
did contact me.

She said ICE Privacy is okay with using VennTel as long as it is narrowly tailored to support a specific investigation.

I asked her to provide the guidance and “rules of behavior” ICE Privacy is issuing to the operational staff, and that S&T would follow the same guidance and rules for this investigation. I’ll pass along those items when I get them.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender:</th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong></td>
<td>2018/07/03 10:25:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong></td>
<td>2018/07/03 10:25:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi (b)(6)

Here’s the first draft of the PTA – where CBP and S&T propose using VennTel to identify patterns of illegal immigration.

Send your questions/comments back sometime this week and S&T will work on addressing those items.

Best wishes,

(b)(6)

Directorate Privacy Officer | Science & Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, June 6, 2018 8:10 PM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Alexander Data
That is fine. I have a personal matter and will be out of the office tomorrow and I am off on Friday. I will review first thing Monday morning.
See attached for my comments, most of which were cosmetic. Nothing jumped out to me on S&T's end.

Let me know if there is anything else that I can do to help.

A few days ago a Border Patrol Agent was shot while patrolling a remote area in Arizona.

CBP asked the Data Analytics team to use the VennTel cell phone app location data aggregator to identify possible uses of cell phones in the area of the shooting.

The attached PTA describes the actions S&T has taken.
Please review this PTA and send me your comments. This PTA takes priority over all of your other assignments.

Let me know if you have questions.

Best wishes,

(b)(6)

Directorate Privacy Officer | Sc
Thanks (b)(6) – do we have the ok to move out on the MS13 work or do we need to wait for those items?

Best,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, July 3, 2018 10:25 AM
To: W (b)(6)
Cc (b)(6)
Subject: RE: PTA - CBP and Alexander

(b)(6) did contact me.

She said ICE Privacy is okay with using VennTel as long as it is narrowly tailored to support a specific investigation.

I asked her to provide the guidance and “rules of behavior” ICE Privacy is issuing to the operational staff, and that S&T would follow the same guidance and rules for this investigation. I’ll pass along those items when I get them.

(b)(6)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sender</strong></th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong></td>
<td>2018/07/03 11:11:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong></td>
<td>2018/07/03 11:11:45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Here are my initial questions.

Privacy Analyst (MPA)
Privacy Division
Privacy & Diversity Office (PDO)
Office of the Commissioner
US Customs & Border Protection (CBP)
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, 3.3D
Washington, DC
TELEWORK: M/W/F (AWS 2nd Friday)
Hi

Here's the first draft of the PTA – where CBP and S&T propose using VennTel to identify patterns of illegal immigration.

Send your questions/comments back sometime this week and S&T will work on addressing those items.

Best wishes,

(b)(6)

Directorate Privacy Officer | Science & Technology Directorate | Department
Hi [b](6)

Here’s a diagram that briefly describes how commercial app tracking works (borrowed from a British news site).

<http://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=images&cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwid0eq-ntbbAhUHm1kKHdqWDJYQjRx6BAqBEAU&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dailymail.co.uk%2Fscience%2Farticle-4996540%2FFor-760-track-location.html&psig=AOvVaw2ZDaTzmJy2QWkaz6eOYg-1&ust=1529171634078174>

3rd party companies obtain the app advertiser data (made freely available by Apple and Google), “hash” the unique id, and then sell the data to anyone with a credit card.

See attached responses for additional details.

On related matters, Border Patrol reached out to S&T for assistance after the Border Patrol agent in Arizona was shot. Border Patrol wanted to know what other cell phones were in the vicinity of the shooting, using the VennTel tools described in the PTA.
To keep on top of this issue, I had the S&T team add to the Project Alex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender:</th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date:</td>
<td>2018/06/15 15:01:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date:</td>
<td>2018/06/15 15:01:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi (b)(6)

I’m playing catchup on my email!

I believe (b)(6) indicated ICE is going to go operational with VennTel, without waiting to do additional testing. If that’s the case, S&T can provide the attached PTA draft to ICE Privacy and let them use it as a basis to draft their own operational PTA. In return, S&T can request the ROBs.

On related matters, (b)(6) indicated CBP is looking into VennTel. So, we may have to revise the PTA to address potential CBP use cases.

I’m looping in (b)(6) who started as an S&T privacy support contractor a couple of weeks ago. He has a deep understanding of social media analytics and privacy issues from his time as a DHS Ops Center support contractor/analyst. (b)(6) will be helping me with a lot of the privacy compliance issues regarding social media and big data going forward.
Thanks, just to check - did you hear from (ICE Privacy) boss? I asked her about the Rules of Behavior and she said her boss was supposed to be in touch with you about that. I wasn’t quite sure what that meant...

Best,

Hi

I’m playing catchup on my email!

I believe indicated ICE is going to go operational with VennTel, without waiting to do additional testing. If that’s the case, S&T can provide the attached PTA draft to ICE Privacy and let them use it as a basis to draft their own operational PTA. In return, S&T c
The article below argues that smart phone app location tracking is a greater privacy risk than the recent Cambridge Analytica scandal – where millions of social media accounts were scraped and targeted ads were sent to voters to allegedly influence the outcome of the 2016 U.S. Presidential election as well as the U.K. Brexit (British Exit from the EU) referendum.

Last week the WashPost ran an article on an ICE social media vetting contract: https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2018/05/17/ice-just-abandoned-its-dream-of-extreme-vetting-software-that-could-predict-whether-a-foreign-visitor-would-become-a-terrorist/?utm_term=.a27653bf00c5

With ICE is operationalizing work with VennTel, I suggest S&T write its own PTA primarily focused on RDT&E activities.

I’m cc’ing a new S&T Privacy support contractor. He doesn’t have his computer yet, but will be helping with social media and privacy issues.
FYSA. Please see below. Thank you.

---

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2019 12:51 PM
To: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;; STPRIV Tasking &lt;STPRIVTASKING@HQ.DHS.GOV&gt;; STPrivacy &lt;stprivacy@HQ.DHS.GOV&gt;;
Subject: RE: DUE 2/13 COB: Newtown Ohio Police MOU Electrons (19-0092)--Privacy edits

Good morning,

Please thank the front office for recommending Privacy review as well, I appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback.

I provided Privacy language under section VI. Data Security and Accuracy. If there is a standard template MOU the below Privacy language should be added in moving forward. If there is not a standard document then please ensure this Privacy language is added in moving forward.

- S&T Privacy will determine the need for completing Department System of Records Notices (SORN) and other appropriate Privacy Act documents; the Privacy Threshold Analysis (PTA) and if necessary, the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) shall be completed before the project begins and no data shall be collected without Privacy document adjudication.

Thank you.

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

---

From: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2019 11:54 AM
To: STPRIV Tasking &lt;STPRIVTASKING@HQ.DHS.GOV&gt;; STPrivacy
Good morning,

Due 2/13 COB:

Please see the attached MOU. The Front Office requested that Privacy review it before Andre signs.

Thank you,

Correspondence Analyst
Support Contractor
Science and Technology Directorate

Good morning,

Gladly, please see the documents attached. If you need any additional information, please let us know.

V/R,

All foreign national meetings require approval 20 days in advance for unclassified visits and 30 days in advance of classified visits. Please coordinate with me in advance.

From: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2019 11:24 AM
To: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;
Cc:
Subject: Newtown Ohio Police MOU Electrons (19-0092)

Good morning,

Would you mind sending us the Newtown Ohio Police MOU electrons?

Thank you,

Correspondence Analyst
Support Contractor
Science and Technology Directorate

All foreign national meetings require approval 20 days in advance for unclassified visits and 30 days in advance of classified visits. Please coordinate with me in advance.

From: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2019 11:32 AM
To: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;
Cc:
Subject: RE: Newtown Ohio Police MOU Electrons (19-0092)

Good morning,

Gladly, please see the documents attached. If you need any additional information, please let us know.

V/R,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell:</th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sender:</strong></td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong></td>
<td>2019/02/11 12:51:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong></td>
<td>2019/02/11 12:52:39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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We are unsure (at this point) about what support they need. We were going to circle back with them to clarify what they needed and what our role could be. Will circle back with you as soon as we know.

Best,

mentioned to me that has had discussions with the Cincinnati Police Department about a wave of recent opioid overdoses there, and using data analytics to address the crisis.

would like to know if thinks the Cincinnati project should be the new focus of the OSCAR PTA?

Thanks,
Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

Sent Date: 2018/08/15 09:24:31

Delivered Date: 2018/08/15 09:24:45
Hi

Attached is the presentation we discussed. Please review and let us know if you have any concerns.

Feel free to reach out to Monica with any questions.

I hope you enjoy your time away!

V/r,

Homeland Security Advanced Research Project Agency
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

DHS-001-10083-000352

DHS-001-10083-000352
All foreign national meetings require approval 20 days in advance for unclassified visits and 30 days in advance of classified visits. Please coordinate with me in advance.
Hi [b](5)

Thanks for the additional feedback – see attached for edits and changes responding to your comments.

Best,

[b](5)

---

From: [b](5)
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:16 AM
To: [b](5)
Cc: [b](5)
Subject: RE: OSCAR PTA (Opioids and trafficking)
From: [b](5)
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 10:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender</th>
<th><a href="5">b</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date:</td>
<td>2018/06/18 10:30:24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date:</td>
<td>2018/06/18 10:30:56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi

I don’t know if S&T has the to conduct the T&E proposed in the attached PTA. Looping in for comment.

At the same time, I believe conduct this type of search.

To move forward with the proposed plan, I think you’re going to have to figure out a way

One alternative may be for

Conducting for this project.

Directorate Privacy Officer | Science & Technology Directorate | Department of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date</td>
<td>2018/06/11 17:26:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date</td>
<td>2018/06/11 17:26:12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sender</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi,

We are conducting capability testing. After our meeting this afternoon, maybe we can discuss a few mins so I understand the concerns. I am sure we can address them as we have in other projects. Also, any USPS data would be for aggregate characterization at this point. Thank you!

VR,

Department of Homeland Security
Science and Technology Directorate
Hi

I don’t know if S&T has the time to conduct the T&E proposed in the attached PTA. Looping in for comment.

At the same
Hi all –

Updated Oscar PTA. Per our conversation yesterday, this PTA focuses just on open source information – emphasis on companies, references to other data sources/MOUs omitted. Additional information added in on the importance of the opioid crisis.

Everything is in track changes.

Best,

(b)(6)
Here are initial comments from S&T Privacy.

Hi all –

Updated Oscar PTA. Per our conversation yesterday, this PTA focuses just on open source information – emphasis on companies, references to other data sources/MOUs omitted. Additional information added in on the importance of the opioid
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sent Date:** 2018/06/15 10:15:37
Let's gather some more info before submitting this PTA.

(b)(6) had discussions with the Cincinnati police department about a wave of opioid overdoses that have recently hit the city. He is looking at an aggressive schedule to use data analytics to address the crisis.

Ask (b)(6) to check with (b)(6) to see if the Cincinnati project should be the new focus of this PTA.

Also, schedule time with (b)(5) to get his advice on covering the various opioid projects S&T is working on. I can think of a handful that various PMs are looking into. The challenge is (b)(5)

We won't know what we'll find until we've been on the ground and had several weeks to explore. And, quite often the original hypotheses on what data will be helpful gets disproved, requiring new datasets to be examined. Ask (b)(6) what he suggests is the best
Let also know about the question. Pls keep me copied. I also want to learn about this one. Thank you!

Adding for visibility

Sure, I will reach out to and provide as response asap.

Can you please work on responding these questions? would be a good resource. Thank you.

Best Regards,
Good afternoon,
Can you briefly discuss or characterize the difference between this and Venntel?
Are the signals similar to the way C_UAS technologies are working where it is known a
signal is being picked up but it is unknown if it is volunteer 1 or volunteer 2?
Respectfully,

Associate Director, Compliance
DHS Privacy Office

From: Thursday, February 13, 2020 2:54 PM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: PTA, ST - HawkEye 360

Good Day!
Please see the captioned PTA attached. This document will describe a T&E exercise intended to assess the viability of a specified geolocation dataset. Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.
Best Regards,

Support Contractor
Privacy Office | S&T | DHS
Desk
Cell

Sender:
Recipient:
Sent Date: 2020/02/19 07:50:37
Delivered Date: 2020/02/19 07:50:39
Hi

Can you please send me a copy of the PTA?

Thanks!

Support Contractor
Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

All,

DHS PRIV is currently reviewing the HE360 PTA for adjudication but they have the following unanswered comments/questions:

1. • Briefly discuss or characterize the difference between HE360 and Venntel.

2. • Are the signals similar to the way C_UAS technologies are working where it is known a signal is being picked up but it is unknown if it is volunteer 1 or volunteer 2 (in this case phone 1 or phone 2)?

We would like to get a response back to DHS PRIV quickly so please let me know if there are any points of clarification needed.

Best Regards,

Support Contractor
Privacy Office | S&T | DHS

Sender:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient:</th>
<th><a href="b">b</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong></td>
<td>2020/02/19 08:10:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong></td>
<td>2020/02/19 08:10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From:</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>RE: HE360 PTA Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>2020/02/19 08:17:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thanks, I will let you if there are additional questions.
Best Regards,

Support Contractor
Privacy Office | S&amp;T | DHS Desk
Cell (b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2020 8:11 AM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6)

Subject: RE: HE360 PTA Questions

S/f,
(b)(6)
Support Contractor
Privacy Office | S&T | DHS
Desk (b)(6)
Cell (b)(6)

Subject: HE360 PTA Questions

All,
DHS PRIV is currently reviewing the HE360 PTA for adjudication but they have the following unanswered comments/questions:

1. Briefly discuss or characterize the difference between HE360 and Venntel.

2. Are the signals similar to the way C_UAS technologies are working where it is known a signal is being picked up but it is unknown if it is volunteer 1 or volunteer 2 (in this case phone 1 or phone 2)?

We would like to get a response back to DHS PRIV quickly so please let me know if there are any points of clarification needed.

Best Regards,

Support Contractor
Privacy Office | S&T | DHS
Desk (b)(6)
Cell (b)(6)
Thanks! I’ll rework this tomorrow afternoon or next week based on everyone’s comments.

Attorney, Technology Programs
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Summary of Moonshot Call

Yes – that is one additional question that could be asked of Moonshot.

Again, though, it might be difficult to disconnect the end product being requested from the collection itself, if that makes sense.

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:44 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Summary of Moonshot Call

comments remind me of another question we discussed—

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:31 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Summary of Moonshot Call

Some additional considerations in green below.

Thanks,

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 1:56 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Summary of Moonshot Call

Thank you. I plan to adopt all of your comments and considerations. These are excellent points.
This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

Hi [b](6)—thanks for putting this together. Please see my thoughts in red below.

[Attorney-Advisor]
Operations and Enforcement Law Division
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Desk:  [b](6)
Mobile:  [b](6)

*** Warning *** Attorney/Client Privilege *** Attorney Work Product ***
This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or sensitive attorney/client privileged information or attorney work product and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Department of Homeland Security. This document is for INTERNAL GOVERNMENT USE ONLY and may be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 USC §§ 552(b)(5), (b)(7).

Hi [b](6)

• * * Re: the Operational Use of Social Media Memo bullet, are we [b](5)
Anyway, just a couple of thoughts for consideration.

Thanks,

[Redacted]
Attorney Advisor, Operations and Enforcement Law Division
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

DHS-001-10083-000374
All,

Thanks for the call yesterday. I tried to boil this down to high level points that I can use to guide the conversation with my client, so the legal analysis is not detailed. Please let me know your thoughts.

Legal Issues:
1. 1. 4th Amendment

2. 2. Operational Use of Social Media Memo - We did not explicitly discuss how to address this, or I didn’t take good notes.

3. 2. Privacy Act
This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.
OGC/S&T reviewed this tasker through OGC Exec Sec and offered the edit contained in the attachment.

Best,

Minal Patel
Attorney - Technology Programs Law Division
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2020 2:20 PM
To:
Subject: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

ES#: 20-0001481
Subject: HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Summary: The HAC-HS has questions regarding a contract award from DHS to Venntel. Congress has been looking into this on the basis of privacy concerns. The specific questions from the Hill are as follows

1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?
2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or similar software/service)?
3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?

Attached for clearance is the DHS OCPO response to the HAC-HS inquiry, including information on S&T contracts.

Special Instructions: FBD, please take lead. OSE- Steve Dennis and Jamie Johnson are
already aware of this tasker and should be expecting it. Please review and add any information if any is missing and/or edit the information provided about S&T's contracts with this company. Please let us know if you have any questions. Please send your response no later than 1PM on Monday, 9/14/20.

Thank you,

Assignees:
   S&T Division(s): SPO; AGC; MCS; OSE; OIC; Privacy; FBD; OLA
   Primary Division: FBD
   Individual(s):

Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM
Exec Sec Action Officer: [Redacted]

Click here to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the S&T Collaborative Solutions Team, click here to open a new ticket.
Hi (b)(6) — can you please share responses with our office? Thank you, Luz

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.
Subject: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

ES#: 20-0001481
Subject: HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Summary: The HAC-HS has questions regarding a contract award from DHS to Venntel. Congress has been looking into this on the basis of privacy concerns. The specific questions from the Hill are as follows:

1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?
2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or similar software/service)?
3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?

Attached for clearance is the DHS OCPO response to the HAC-HS inquiry, including information on S&T contracts.

Special Instructions: FBD, please take lead. OSE- Steve Dennis and Jamie Johnson are already aware of this tasker and should be expecting it. Please review and add any information if any is missing and/or edit the information provided about S&T’s contracts with this company. Please let us know if you have any questions. Please send your response no later than 1PM on Monday, 9/14/20.

Thank you,

Assignees:
S&T Division(s): SPO; AGC; MCS; OSE; OIC; Privacy; FBD; OLA
Primary Division: FBD
Individual(s):

Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM
Exec Sec Action Officer: (b)(6)

Click here to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the S&T Collaborative Solutions Team, click here to open a new ticket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date</td>
<td>2020/09/14 11:14:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date</td>
<td>2020/09/14 11:14:39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2020 2:20 PM
To: (b)(6)
CC: (b)(6)

Subject: FW: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry
Contract Awards to Venntel

Date: 2020/09/14 11:14:59
Priority: Normal
Type: Note

(b)(6) - please track this tasker. Thank you.

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2020 2:20 PM
To: (b)(6)

(b)(6)
ES#: 20-0001481
Subject: HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Summary: The HAC-HS has questions regarding a contract award from DHS to Venntel. Congress has been looking into this on the basis of privacy concerns. The specific questions from the Hill are as follows:

1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?
2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or Similar software/service)?
3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?

Attached for clearance is the DHS OCPO response to the HAC-HS inquiry, including information on S&T contracts.

Special Instructions: FBD, please take lead. OSE and Jamie Johnson are already aware of this tasker and should be expecting it. Please review and add any information if any is missing and/or edit the information provided about S&T's contracts with this company. Please let us know if you have any questions. Please send your response no later than 1PM on Monday, 9/14/20.

Thank you,

Assignees:
  S&T Division(s): SPO;AGC;MCS;OSE;OIC;Privacy;FBD;OLA
  Primary Division: FBD
Individual(s):

Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM
Exec Sec Action Officer: (b)(6)

Click here to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the S&T Collaborative Solutions Team, click here to open a new ticket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender:</th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date:</td>
<td>2020/09/14 11:14:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date:</td>
<td>2020/09/14 11:14:59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FYI

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 2:09 PM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>, (b)(6)
Subject: FW: FBD Update ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

Can you help with the responses to Luz's question below?

Thank you,

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 1:08 PM
To: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>; STPrivacy <stprivacy@HQ.DHS.GOV>; S&T OSP <S&TOSP@hq.dhs.gov>; (b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6)
(b)(6)
Subject: RE: FBD Update ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Concur with AGC’s edits. In addition to AGC’s comment, can we get an update from DATC on the

Thank you.

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 12:58 PM
To: STPrivacy <stprivacy@hq.dhs.gov>; S&T OSP <S&TOSP@hq.dhs.gov>
Cc: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Subject: FW: FBD Update ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

Privacy, SPO, and OLA:

Do you have any concerns with the edits? Anything else to add?

Thank you,

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 12:01 PM
To: STPrivacy <stprivacy@hq.dhs.gov>; S&T OSP <S&TOSP@hq.dhs.gov>
Cc: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Subject: FBD Update ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

FBD concurs with OGC update.

Thank you,

Contract Support
Executive Assistant
Finance and Budget Division (FBD)
Science and Technology Directorate
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Desk:
This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

**From:**

**Sent:** Monday, September 14, 2020 11:54 AM

**To:**

Cc: FBD TASKING <FBDTASKING@HQ.DHS.GOV>

**Subject:** RE: Response Requested: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

Good morning,

I concur with the OGC update.

Warm regards,

**From:**

**Sent:** Monday, September 14, 2020 10:38 AM

**To:**

Cc: FBD TASKING <FBDTASKING@HQ.DHS.GOV>

**Subject:** Response Requested: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

**Importance:** High

Hi please stand by for update.

please note email below and advise.

Thanks all,
Thank you, [b](6). Sorry for any confusion, but MGMT is planning on responding to the HAC inquiry with the attached, not a narrative format response. Can you alter the text in track changes in the attached to reflect what we want to say about the contracts, or is the language that MGMT used appropriate? AGC had a suggested edit, attached.

Good Morning Team,

Please note below responses from [b](6) FBD has no comments.

1. 1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?

2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or Similar software/service)?
3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?

Thank you,

*********************************************************
This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.
Subject: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

ES#: 20-0001481
Subject: HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Summary: The HAC-HS has questions regarding a contract award from DHS to Venntel. Congress has been looking into this on the basis of privacy concerns. The specific questions from the Hill are as follows

1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?
2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or Similar software/service)?
3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?

Attached for clearance is the DHS OCPO response to the HAC-HS inquiry, including information on S&T contracts.

Special Instructions: FBD, please take lead. OSE - (b)(6) are already aware of this tasker and should be expecting it. Please review and add any information if any is missing and/or edit the information provided about S&T's contracts with this company. Please let us know if you have any questions. Please send your response no later than 1PM on Monday, 9/14/20.

Thank you,

Assignees:
S&T Division(s): SPO;AGC;MCS;OSE;OIC;Privacy;FBD;OLA
Primary Division: FBD
Individual(s):

Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM
Exec Sec Action Officer: (b)(6)

Click here to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the S&T Collaborative Solutions Team, click here to open a new ticket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender: (b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date: 2020/09/14 14:21:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date: 2020/09/14 14:21:49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks.

FYI – S&T Privacy concurred with your edits and provided the attached response.
This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

From: [b](6)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 1:08 PM
To: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>; STPrivacy <stprivacy@hqdhs.gov>; S&T OSP <S&TOSP@hq.dhs.gov>; [b](6)
Cc: [b](6)
[b](6)
[b](6)
Subject: RE: FBD Update ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

Concur with AGC’s edits. In addition to AGC’s comment, can we get an update from DATC on the Thank you [b](6)
[b](5)

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 12:58 PM
To: STPrivacy <stprivacy@hq.dhs.gov>; S&T OSP <S&TOSP@hq.dhs.gov>; [b](6)
Cc: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Subject: FW: FBD Update ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

Privacy, SPO, and OLA:

Do you have any concerns with the edits? Anything else to add?

Thank you,
[b](6)

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: [b](6)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 12:01 PM
To: [b](6)
[b](6)

DHS-001-10083-000395
FBD concurs with OGC update.

Thank you,

Good mornin (b)(6)

I concur with the OGC update.

Warm regards,

DHS-001-10083-000396
HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

Importance: High

Hi (b)(6) please stand by for update.

(b)(6) please note email below and advise.

Thanks all,

(b)(6)

Contract Support
Executive Assistant
Finance and Budget Division (FBD)
Science and Technology Directorate
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Desk: (b)(6)
DHS Mobile: (b)(6)

*********************************************************
This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 10:02 AM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: FBD TASKING <FBDTASKING@HQ.DHS.GOV>; (b)(6)
S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Subject: RE: Important Update: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Importance: High

Thank you, (b)(6) Sorry for any confusion, but MGMT is planning on responding to the HAC inquiry with the attached, not a narrative format response. Can you alter the text in track changes in the attached to reflect what we want to say about the contracts, or is the language that MGMT used appropriate? AGC had a suggested edit, attached.

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 9:49 AM
To: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Good Morning Team,

Please note below responses from Steve Dennis and Jamie Johnson. FBD has no comments.

1. • 1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?

2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or Similar software/service)?

3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?

Thank you,

*********************************************************
This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

From: S&T Exec Sec <SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2020 2:20 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: ES#: 20-0001481 - Tasker Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM - HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel

ES#: 20-0001481
Subject: HAC-HS inquiry Contract Awards to Venntel
Summary: The HAC-HS has questions regarding a contract award from DHS to Venntel. Congress has been looking into this on the basis of privacy concerns. The specific questions from the Hill are as follows

1. What office made this purchase and what is the plan for the usage of the software?
2. Has DHS purchased this service or software before (or Similar software/service)?
3. If we have purchased similar software, when and how much was awarded and how was it used?
Attached for clearance is the DHS OCPO response to the HAC-HS inquiry, including information on S&T contracts.

Special Instructions: FBD, please take lead. OSE- are already aware of this tasker and should be expecting it. Please review and add any information if any is missing and/or edit the information provided about S&T's contracts with this company. Please let us know if you have any questions. Please send your response no later than 1PM on Monday, 9/14/20.

Thank you,

Assignees:
  S&T Division(s): SPO;AGC;MCS;OSE;OIC;Privacy;FBD;OLA
  Primary Division: FBD
  Individual(s):

Response Due Date: 9/14/2020 1:00 PM
Exec Sec Action Officer: 

Click here to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the S&T Collaborative Solutions Team, click here to open a new ticket.
Good morning, thanks so much for this update. I'm recommending that this is also added to the response. It shows how

Thank you.

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

The subscriptions and service have run out and are expired for more than a year, there is no software. We have an encrypted and archived copy of the data.

"This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security."
Can you help with the responses to Luz's question below?

Thank you,

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

Concur with AGC’s edits. In addition to AGC’s comment, can we get an update from DATC on the status software, data and subscription? Thank you.

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.
Privacy, SPO, and OLA:

Do you have any concerns with the edits? Anything else to add?

Thank you.

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.

FBD concurs with OGC update.

Thank you,

This document contains pre-decisional and/or deliberative process information exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5). Do not release without prior approval of the Department of Homeland Security.
The only PTAs we were working using the Venntel data were Alexander and Hamilton.

Thanks,

Please see below.

What PTAs had Venntel data—I know Alexander did. Did Hamilton as well? Please confirm all the DATC PTAs that used this data. Thank you.
Hi

Thanks.

I think we also need to put resolving any outstanding issues concerning privacy upfront.

Regards,

S&amp;T / DHS

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 5:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: Re: Venntel/Project Alexander

We have indeed ceased any active work. The data and storage are expenses so we need to resolve ASAP,
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 4:00:11 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: Venntel/Project Alexander

Hi,

Thank you for the clarification. Very much appreciated!

Regards,

S&T / DHS

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 3:51 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: Re: Venntel/Project Alexander

Hi,
I do not believe there are any active projects currently using that data. Steve can confirm and fill in with more details.

VR,

Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 3:12:36 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Venntel/Project Alexander

I had a brief talk with [redacted]. Could you:

1. Confirm that you have stopped all projects involving Venntel data.

2. Standby to provide requested data asap.

Thanks. We'd like to get this sorted as soon as possible to limit the impact on your support to the mission.

Regards,
Please see the email chain below. I'm already engaging with to answer HQ Privacy questions around the legal analysis. S&T will engage with ICE and CBP legal for their legal interpretation along with the respective Privacy offices.

At this time, due to the unanswered Privacy and legal concerns that have been raised please stop all projects involving Venntel data.

We will follow up with additional information as we move forward.
I hope this finds you well. Please take a look at the below email from HQ Privacy. I wanted to ping you as this legal analysis would have occurred before my time. Can you please confirm DATC legally acquired the Venntel data they have. I have attached the previous emails that [redacted] had sent to DATC on the risks of acquiring Venntel data.

They are asking if OGC conducted an analysis under the Carpenter case to ensure that we were able to legally acquire this data.


DATC is under heightened scrutiny as part of the Privacy Audit and HQ Privacy is digging deeper into what DATC is doing to ensure everything is being done properly.

Please advise if these issues were addressed and signed off on by OGC. Thank you for your time and review.
To: Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 11:26 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Venntel/Project Alexander

Hi [Redacted]

I understand that S&T has purchased information from Venntel as part of Project Alexander. The PTA was never approved because we had and continue to have significant concerns with this technology. Prior to receiving the information, did OGC conduct an analysis under the Carpenter case to ensure that we are able to legally acquire it?

Thanks,

[Redacted]

Senior Director, Privacy Compliance

DHS Privacy Office

Desk: [Redacted]
Cell: [Redacted]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sender:</strong></th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong></td>
<td>2019/06/20 09:18:49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong></td>
<td>2019/06/20 09:18:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
My searches have revealed nothing involving Venntel data in 2018. Still search through prior years.

What PTAs had Venntel data—I know Alexander did. Did Hamilton as well? Please confirm all the DATC PTAs that used this data. Thank you.

Thanks.

I think we also need to put resolving any outstanding issues concerning privacy upfront.

Regards,
From: (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 5:07 PM

To: (b)(6)

Cc: (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Venntel/Project Alexander

We have indeed ceased any active work. The data and storage are expenses so we need to resolve ASAP,

Get Outlook for iOS

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 4:00:11 PM

To: (b)(6)

Cc: (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Venntel/Project Alexander

Hi (b)(6)

Thank you for the clarification. Very much appreciated!

Regards,
Hi,

I do not believe there are any active projects currently using that data. Steve can confirm and fill in with more details.

VR,

[Redacted]

Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

---

Hi,

I do not believe there are any active projects currently using that data. Steve can confirm and fill in with more details.

VR

[Redacted]
I had a brief talk with [b](6) Could you:

1. Confirm that you have stopped all projects involving Venntel data.

2. Standby to provide requested data asap.

Thanks. We'd like to get this sorted as soon as possible to limit the impact on your support to the mission.

Regards,

S&T / DHS

From: [b](6)

Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 12:44 PM

To: [b](6)

Cc: [b](6)

Subject: FW: Venntel/Project Alexander

Importance: High

[b](6)

Please see the email chain below. I am already engaging with Minal to answer HQ Privacy questions around the legal analysis. S&T will engage with ICE and CBP legal for their legal interpretation along with the respective Privacy offices.

At this time, due to the unanswered Privacy and legal concerns that have been raised please stop all projects involving Venntel data.

We will follow up with additional information as we move forward.
I hope this finds you well. Please take a look at the below email from HQ Privacy. I wanted to ping you as this legal analysis would have occurred before my time. Can you please confirm DATC legally acquired the Venntel data they have. I have attached the previous emails that had sent to DATC on the risks of acquiring Venntel data. They are asking if OGC conducted an analysis under the Carpenter case to ensure that we were able to legally acquire this data.


DATC is under heightened scrutiny as part of the Privacy Audit and HQ Privacy is digging deeper into what DATC is doing to ensure everything is being done properly. Please advise if these issues were addressed and signed off on by OGC. Thank you for your time and review.
Hi,

I understand that S&T has purchased information from Venntel as part of Project Alexander. The PTA was never approved because we had and continue to have significant concerns with this technology. Prior to receiving the information, did OGC conduct an analysis under the Carpenter case to ensure that we are able to legally acquire it?

Thanks,

Senior Director, Privacy Compliance
DHS Privacy Office

Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 11:26 AM
To: Venntel/Project Alexander
Subject: Venntel/Project Alexander

From: DHS Privacy Office

Delivered Date: 2019/06/20 12:52:06
Sent Date: 2019/06/20 12:52:04
Please see below.

What’s PTAs had Venntel data—I know Alexander did. Did Hamilton as well? Please confirm all the DATC PTAs that used this data. Thank you.

Hi

Thanks.
I think we also need to put resolving any outstanding issues concerning privacy upfront.

Regards,

S&amp;T / DHS
We have indeed ceased any active work. The data and storage are expenses so we need to resolve ASAP.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

Thank you for the clarification. Very much appreciated!

Regards,

S&T / DHS
Hi,

I do not believe there are any active projects currently using that data. I can confirm and fill in with more details.

VR,

Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

Hi,

I had a brief talk with . Could you:
1. Confirm that you have stopped all projects involving Venntel data.

2. Standby to provide requested data asap.

Thanks. We’d like to get this sorted as soon as possible to limit the impact on your support to the mission.

Regards,

S&T / DHS

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 12:44 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: FW: Venntel/Project Alexander

Importance: High

Please see the email chain below. I’m already engaging with [redacted] to answer HQ Privacy questions around the legal analysis. S&T will engage with ICE and CBP legal for their legal interpretation along with the respective Privacy offices.

At this time, due to the unanswered Privacy and legal concerns that have been raised please stop all projects involving Venntel data.

We will follow up with additional information as we move forward.
I hope this finds you well. Please take a look at the below email from HQ Privacy. I wanted to ping you as this legal analysis would have occurred before my time. Can you please confirm DATC legally acquired the Venntel data they have. I have attached the previous emails that had sent to DATC on the risks of acquiring Venntel data. They are asking if OGC conducted an analysis under the Carpenter case to ensure that we were able to legally acquire this data.


DATC is under heightened scrutiny as part of the Privacy Audit and HQ Privacy is digging deeper into what DATC is doing to ensure everything is being done properly. Please advise if these issues were addressed and signed off on by OGC. Thank you for your time and review.
I understand that S&amp;T has purchased information from Venntel as part of Project Alexander. The PTA was never approved because we had and continue to have significant concerns with this technology. Prior to receiving the information, did OGC conduct an analysis under the Carpenter case to ensure that we are able to legally acquire it?

Thanks,
Office of Enterprise Services
S&T Privacy Office
June 27, 2019

Item 1: Data Analytics Technology Center (DA-TC)

☑️ URGENT
☐ UPDATE

☐ Date last reported to U/S:

☐ OPS SVTC nomination

Restrictions

☑️ Front Office Only
☐ S&T Internal Only
☐ Releasable to .gov

Narrative: The S&T Privacy Office, in coordination with DA-TC leadership, the S&T OCIO, and the S&T CISO, is readdressing the DA-TC Lab System’s (DA-TC Lab) Authority to Operate (ATO) and the DA-TC Lab System use of the Amazon Web Services (AWS) cloud services.
**Action Currently Taken:** Development of documentation on the DA-TC’s AWS cloud services use to assure that all necessary and appropriate Privacy, information system, and security compliance requirements are met and documented, and that applicable transparency standards are satisfied.

**Final Action Desired:** Transparency for DA-TC Lab operations and activities within the DA-TC Lab and AWS services used by DA-TC supporting its ATO and privacy compliance documentation.

**POC:** S&T Privacy Officer (Acting)

Submitted by: Maria Petrakis June 27, 2019

**Item 2: DA-TC and Use of Venntel Data**

☑️ URGENT

☐ UPDATE

- Date last reported to U/S:

☐ OPS SVTC nomination

Restrictions

☐ Front Office Only

☐ S&T Internal Only

☐ Releasable to .gov

**Narrative:** S&T OGC and Privacy is working with ICE OGC and ICE Privacy to determine if DA-TC purchase of Venntel data to be used for triangulating individuals’ mobile location violates the 4th Amendment ruling of unlawful search and seizure under the 2018 U.S. Supreme Court decision in the *Carpenter v. United States*, 138 S. Ct. 2206. DA-TC has been instructed to refrain from using any Venntel data until a legal and Privacy ruling has been determined.

**Action Currently Taken:** S&T OGC and S&T Privacy coordinating review of *Carpenter v. United States*’ applicability to DA-TC’s use of mobile phone locational and related data acquired from Venntel with ICE OGC and ICE Privacy.

**Final Action Desired:** Determination of whether DA-TC may use the Venntel data.
POC: Maria Petrakis, S&T Privacy Officer (Acting), (b)(6)

Submitted by: June 27, 2019

Item 3: National Biodefence Analysis and Countermeasures Center (NBACC) Information Systems

☑ URGENT

☐ UPDATE

☐ Date last reported to U/S:

☐ OPS SVTC nomination

Restrictions

☑ Front Office Only

☐ S&T Internal Only

☐ Releasable to .gov
Narrative: Questions have arisen as to whether the ATOs and Privacy compliance documentation applicable to NBACC legacy information systems properly reflect the data (including personally identifiable information (PII) and sensitive PII (SPII)) currently created, stored, maintained, used, and/or transmitted through the NBACC legacy systems.

Action Currently Taken: S&T Privacy and the Information System Security Officer(s) (ISSO) for the NBACC legacy information system are conducting a review of the legacy systems and the data in the systems to determine whether updates to the system ATOs and Privacy compliance documentation are required.

Final Action Desired: Consistent and accurate ATO and Privacy compliance documentation for all NBACC legacy systems.

Submitted by: June 27, 2019

Support Contractor
Senior Consultant, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
VTA Room 8-024

Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2019 10:26 AM
To:
Subject: Fwd: URGENT please fill out with my comments below
Please just and send to me for my final review—we will be late in submitting—Thanks
Get Outlook for iOS

Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2019 9:59:53 AM
To:
Cc:
Subject: URGENT please fill out with my comments below
Tom please fill this out with the below information and send to me for my final review before 10:30.
Please address that we are readdressing DATC ATO to further understand how DATC is working on the cloud AWS. This is being collectively addressed by Tech center leadership, CIO, ISSO and Privacy.
Privacy and ISSO looking into legacy NBACC ATO and a Privacy documentation to ensure the system is in compliance with the current information that is being stored within the systems.

---

From: S&T Exec Sec
Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2019 9:42:59 AM

Subject: Reminder: ES#: 19-0000922 - Tasker Response Due Date: 6/27/2019 10:00 AM - U/S

WBB Weekly Briefing Book 6.28.2019 (CIBB & S&T This Week Combined)

Good Moring,
This is a friendly reminder inputs are due this morning.

Thank you,
Correspondence Analyst
Support Contractor
Office of the Executive Secretary 10-256
Science and Technology Directorate (S&T)
Department of Homeland Security (DHS)

From: S&T Exec Sec &lt;SandTExecSec@hq.dhs.gov&gt;
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2019 4:04 PM
The following tasker has been assigned for review.

If the original assignment was already cleared for this tasker please disregard.

**ES#: 19-0000922**
**Subject: U/S WBB Weekly Briefing Book 6.28.2019 (CIBB & S&T This Week Combined)**
**Summary:** Guidelines contained within each attached template.

1) Critical Items templated last updated 05.28.2019
(Note "Date last reported" = date last reported to the Under Secretary in the Weekly Briefing Book format)

2) S&T This Week template updated for 7.1.19 - 7.7.19 events.
Please disseminate to your teams.

* This document may include sensitive materials, but should NOT include classified items.

**Assignees:**
S&T Division(s):
OCS; SPO; AGC; MCS; OSE; OIC; PSO; ASD; CIO; COD; CAPO; Privacy; ESEC; FB D; OLA
Primary Division: ESEC
Individual(s):
Response Due Date: 6/27/2019 10:00 AM
Exec Sec Action Officer: (b)(6)
Special Instructions:

Click here to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the S&I Collaborative Solutions Team, click here to open a new ticket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender: (b)(6)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date: 2019/06/27 11:05:40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date: 2019/06/27 11:06:23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Office of Enterprise Services  
S&T Privacy Office  
June 27, 2019

Item 1: Data Analytics Technology Center (DA-TC)

☑️ URGENT  
☐ UPDATE  
☐ Date last reported to U/S:  
☐ OPS SVTC nomination  

Restrictions  
☑️ Front Office Only  
☐ S&T Internal Only  
☐ Releasable to .gov  

Narrative: The S&T Privacy Office, in coordination with DA-TC leadership, the S&T OCIO, and the S&T CISO, is readdressing the DA-TC Lab System’s (DA-TC Lab) Authority to Operate (ATO) and the DA-TC Lab System use of the Amazon Web Services (AWS) cloud services.

Action Currently Taken: Development of documentation on the DA-TC’s AWS cloud services use to assure that all necessary and appropriate Privacy, information system, and security compliance requirements are met and documented, and that applicable transparency standards are satisfied.

Final Action Desired: Transparency for DA-TC Lab operations and activities within the DA-TC Lab and AWS services used by DA-TC supporting its ATO and privacy compliance documentation.

POC: (b)(6) S&T Privacy Officer (Acting), (b)(6)  
Submitted by: (b)(6) June 27, 2019  

Item 2: DA-TC and Use of Venntel Data

☑️ URGENT  
☐ UPDATE  
☐ Date last reported to U/S:  
☐ OPS SVTC nomination  

Restrictions  
☑️ Front Office Only  
☐ S&T Internal Only  
☐ Releasable to .gov  

(b)(5)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY  
DHS-001-10083-000432
Final Action Desired: Determination of whether DA-TC may use the Venntel data.

POC: S&T Privacy Officer (Acting), June 27, 2019
Submitted by: June 27, 2019

Item 3: National Biodefence Analysis and Countermeasures Center (NBACC) Information Systems

☑️ URGENT | Restrictions
☑️ Front Office Only
☐ UPDATE
☐ S&T Internal Only
☐ OPS SVTC nomination ☐ Releasable to .gov

Narrative: Questions have arisen as to whether the ATOs and Privacy compliance documentation applicable to NBACC legacy information systems properly reflect the data (including personally identifiable information (PII) and sensitive PII (SPII)) currently created, stored, maintained, used, and/or transmitted through the NBACC legacy systems.

Action Currently Taken: S&T Privacy and the Information System Security Officer(s) (ISSO) for the NBACC legacy information system are conducting a review of the legacy systems and the data in the systems to determine whether updates to the system ATOs and Privacy compliance documentation are required.

Final Action Desired: Consistent and accurate ATO and Privacy compliance documentation for all NBACC legacy systems.

POC: S&T Privacy Officer (Acting), June 27, 2019
Submitted by: June 27, 2019
Hi 

As far as I understand things, Maria's description of the Venntel situation is accurate.

Please fill this out with the below information and send to me for my final review before 10:30.

Please address that we are readdressing DATC ATO to further understand how DATC is working on the cloud AWS. This is being collectively addressed by Tech center leadership, CIO, ISSO and Privacy.

S&amp;T OGC and Privacy is working with with ICE OGC and Privacy to

DATC has been instructed to refrain from using any Venntel data until a legal and Privacy ruling has been determined.

Please confirm if I'm accurate regarding the triangulation of data above)

Privacy and ISSO looking into legacy NBACC ATO and a Privacy documentation to ensure the system is in compliance with the current information that is being stored within the systems.
Subject: Reminder: ES#: 19-0000922 - Tasker Response Due Date: 6/27/2019 10:00 AM - U/S WBB Weekly Briefing Book 6.28.2019 (CI/BB & S&T This Week Combined)

Good Morning,

This is a friendly reminder inputs are due this morning.

Thank you,

Correspondence Analyst

Support Contractor

Office of the Executive Secretary 10-256

Science and Technology Directorate (S&T)

Department of Homeland Security (DHS)

Office:

Mobile:
The following tasker has been assigned for review.

If the original assignment was already cleared for this tasker please disregard.

ES#: 19-0000922
Subject: U/S WBB Weekly Briefing Book 6.28.2019 (CIBB &amp; S&amp;T This Week Combined)
Summary: Guidelines contained within each attached template.

1) Critical Items templated last updated 05.28.2019
   (Note &quot;Date last reported&quot; = date last reported to the Under Secretary in the Weekly Briefing Book format)

2) S&amp;T This Week template updated for 7.1.19 - 7.7.19 events.
   Please disseminate to your teams.

* This document may include sensitive materials, but should NOT include classified items.

Assignees:
S&amp;T Division(s):

DHS-001-10083-000437
Primary Division: ESEC

Response Due Date: 6/27/2019 10:00 AM
EXEC SEC Action Officer: (0)(0)

Special Instructions:

Click [here](#) to view your Taskers.

For general assistance from the SB&amp;T Collaborative Solutions Team, [click here](#) to open a new ticket.
Hello,

Please see below. I have asked Steve to stop any projects involving the Venntel data until we have more information. I have referred to this our legal team for their review and advised our leadership of this development. Thank you.

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

Good afternoon All,

Please see the email below for your awareness.

We will follow up once we receive additional information. Thank you.
Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

From: Petrakis, Maria
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 12:44 PM
To: [b](6)
Cc: [b](6)

Subject: FW: Venntel/Project Alexander
Importance: High

Please see the email chain below. I am already engaging with [b](6) to answer HQ Privacy questions around the legal analysis. S&T will engage with ICE and CBP legal for their legal interpretation along with the respective Privacy offices. At this time, due to the unanswered Privacy and legal concerns that have been raised please stop all projects involving Venntel data.

We will follow up with additional information as we move forward.

I hope this finds you well. Please take a look at the below email from HQ Privacy. I wanted to ping you as this legal analysis would have occurred before my time. Can you...
Please confirm that Chris had sent emails to DATC on the previous topics. They are asking if DATC is under heightened scrutiny as part of the Privacy Audit and HQ Privacy is digging deeper into what DATC is doing to ensure everything is being done properly. Please advise if these issues were addressed and signed off on by OGC. Thank you for your time and review.

---

**From:**
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 19, 2019 11:26 AM

**To:**

**Subject:** Venntel/Project Alexander

Hi,

I understand that S&T has purchased information from Venntel as part of Project Alexander. The PTA was never approved because we had and continue to have significant concerns with this technology. Prior to receiving the information...

Thanks,

Lindsay Lennon Vogel
Senior Director, Privacy Compliance
DHS Privacy Office

---

Sent Date: 2019/06/19 14:41:02
Delivered Date: 2019/06/19 14:41:11
Attached.

Please see attached.

Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
Forwarding this to you both as you are working with DA-TC on issues relating to Venntel Marketing Data, I think. Note that email from late Friday (6/14) afternoon. It may be that this is not the first time Venntel data has been purchased. The email string is referring to DHS 19-2561. For your convenience of reference, I've attached the SOW and Appendix C as cleared and uploaded to the ART Portal.

In the Appendix G, there's a comment from Thomas Evans, Senior Consultant for the DHS/S&T Privacy Office, that he changed the answer from "No" to "Yes" because the contractor will have access to Secret, Confidential, or Top Secret Information.

Regards,

Contract Specialist
S&T Acquisitions Division
Office of Procurement Operations
Department of Homeland Security
To: (b)(6)  
Subject: Re: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data  
Thanks. (b)(6) Why is this "high risk" per the Appendix G? Respectfully,

From: (b)(6)  
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2019 3:55:12 PM  
To: (b)(6)  
Subject: FW: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data  
Hi, (b)(6)  
I am including the package received for PR RSTC-19-00057 for the purchase of Venntel Marketing Data. I have reviewed the documents submitted, and they were updated from the submission received last year:

1. I logged the JEFO- No. FY19-0312. It is the final version of the JEFO used last year with updated information for this action.

2. The Marketing Research looks similar, but the available sources were updated, which caused a bit of confusion last year.

3. The Delivery Requirements seems fine, but I would like more clarification in regards to "Eastern Hemisphere" in Table 1 (pages 1-2).

Let me know your thoughts and I'll reach out to [redacted] and his team. Thanks,

Contract Specialist  
S&T Acquisitions Division  
Office of Procurement Operations  
Department of Homeland Security  
Email: (b)(6)  
Phone: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data

Hi,

I believe so, but please confirm with Duane.

Respectfully,

Contracting Officer

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 1:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data

Hi,

Will you be the CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data?

Thanks,

Contract Specialist

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 1:07 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data

Hi,

I believe so, but please confirm with Duane.

Respectfully,

Contracting Officer

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 1:09 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: FW: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data

Hi,

Who will be the CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data?

Thanks,

Contract Specialist

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2019 1:04 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data

Hi,

Will you be the CO for PR RSTC-19-00057 - Venntel Marketing Data?

Thanks,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date:</td>
<td>2019/06/15 16:46:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date:</td>
<td>2019/06/15 16:50:10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FYSA.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2019 10:58 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]

Subject: RE: Venntel Platform Demo and AdID Ecosystem Overview Brief for DHS S&amp;T/Privacy

Hello [Redacted] thank you for the follow up.

[Redacted] and I just spoke and she will be following up with the vendor as this pre-mature invite caused significant confusion between CBP Privacy and myself. CBP Priv expressed concern as to why they were invited to a meeting without S&amp;T's Privacy attending and the overall back and forth made it look like S&amp;T was not communicating properly internally.

Please coordinate with my schedule to ensure I can attend future meetings that include other DHS Privacy offices as this was the first time I was hearing of these efforts taking place. Because of the changing landscape we live in and continued data breaches that have been occurring all the Privacy Officers communicate on regular basis to ensure we are consistently on the same page and to ensure the proper data and security safeguards are in place around their data.

I just spoke with [Redacted] and we can both attend this meeting with Venntel on July 12th from 9-12. Thank you and we look forward to speaking further.

---------------------------

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2019 9:34 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Venntel Platform Demo and AdID Ecosystem Overview Brief for DHS S&T/Privacy

Just to clarify, this is not just a demo but an overview of the entire ecosystem. Demos will be a small segment of the presentation.

From: 
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2019 8:27 AM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: Re: Venntel Platform Demo and AdID Ecosystem Overview Brief for DHS S&T/Privacy

Good morning please see below. Can you please confirm if and coordinated a meeting with Venntel on 6/28. Thank you.

From: 
Sent: Friday, June 14, 2019 8:13 AM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Venntel Platform Demo and AdID Ecosystem Overview Brief for DHS S&T/Privacy

would be best to respond.

VR,

Department of Homeland Security
Science and Technology Directorate

S&T TagLine FINAL
Hello, who is coordinating this meeting within S&T? It is with Venntel so I assumed it is your team; is that accurate?

CBP Privacy reached out to see if I could attend this meeting in their place but I have a conflicting meeting. If your team is coordinating this meeting we have been asked to reschedule since CBP Privacy is not able to attend.

Please confirm if you scheduled this meeting and if it can be rescheduled to a time that both CPB Privacy and I can attend. Thank you appreciate it.

---Original Appointment---

Are you coordinating this meeting with Venntel for DHS S&T? Thanks,
S&T/Privacy

When: Friday, June 28, 2019 10:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: Placeholder (90 K St.)

Will Update once finalized

|Sender: | b|x(b) |
|---|---|
|Recipient: | |
|Sent Date: | 2019/06/14 10:58:15 |
|Delivered Date: | 2019/06/14 10:58:17 |
Matters discussed with you 6/7 and which may have been resolved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACQ 19-2676</th>
<th>ACQ</th>
<th>Laura/Tom</th>
<th>6/6/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACQ 19-2675</td>
<td>ACQ</td>
<td>Laura/Tom</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ 19-2666</td>
<td>ACQ</td>
<td>Laura/Tom</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ 19-2669</td>
<td>ACQ</td>
<td>Laura/Tom</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ 19-2680</td>
<td>ACQ</td>
<td>Laura/Tom</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: 19-2660 and 19-2678 pending POC responses for additional information and/or correct documents

Pending/Open at Sunday, 6/9/19:
please remove the underlined portion below they have all been taken care of. Thank you.

Matters discussed with you 6/7 and which may have been resolved:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sender</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent Date</td>
<td>2019/06/10 10:53:33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivered Date</td>
<td>2019/06/10 10:53:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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My apologies for the double-send. The document did not save before my email went out.

Only note I have is regarding data retention.

Good morning

This PTA is starting to look pretty good. I wanted to give you an opportunity to review before proceeding further.

Thanks,

Here you go, let me know if you still have questions, we really need to move this forward,
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Good afternoon,

See attached for my edits and follow-up questions for this PTA. I tried to consolidate some of the descriptions of the project for readability and incorporated some of your responses into the text.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 10:24 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PTA for Hamilton Experiments - OH

Here are the responses, many thanks!

[Redacted]
Subject: RE: PTA for Hamilton Experiments - OH

Please see attached for our initial comments and edits for the Hamilton PTA. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2019 10:43 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PTA for Hamilton Experiments - OH

Many thanks. Standing by,

We are looking forward to helping to counter the Opioid epidemic,

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2019 10:38 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PTA for Hamilton Experiments - OH

Thank you
We will put it in our queue to review and will follow back up with comments. Appreciate your patience in our review as we are currently inundated with pushing out Appendix G's before the 5/24 deadline. Thank you and speak soon.

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

Here is our draft PTA for the Ohio/Tri-State experiments. Let us know your comments and questions,

Many thanks,
All foreign national meetings require approval 20 days in advance for unclassified visits and 30 days in advance of classified visits. Please coordinate with me in advance.

It is pending with S&T Privacy and is in line to be decided today, along with at least 23 other Appendix Gs, which are being processed in the order received.

I hope your morning is going well! Can you please ensure this is reviewed and processed so the PR is not on hold.

Thank you,
All foreign national meetings require approval 20 days in advance for unclassified visits and 30 days in advance of classified visits. Please coordinate with me in advance.

From:
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2019 10:22 AM
To:
Cc: 

Subject: RE: Appendix G DHS-19-2561 - Venntel Marketing Data
2561 is in review with Privacy.

Chief, Service Delivery
OES/CIO
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

From:
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2019 8:26 AM
To:
Cc: 

Subject: Appendix G DHS-19-2561 - Venntel Marketing Data
Importance: High

Good morning,

I hope your morning is going well! I wanted to reach out to see if I can get an update on Appendix G DHS-19-2561. It looks like folks have provided comments but there are no signatures and it was submitted on May 16th.

Thank you,

Data Analytics Technology Center
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

All foreign national meetings require approval 20 days in advance for unclassified visits and 30 days in advance of classified visits. Please coordinate with me in advance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Recipient:</strong></th>
<th>(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong></td>
<td>2019/05/21 11:41:54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong></td>
<td>2019/05/21 11:41:55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi [b](6)

I made the PTA requirement a little more broad for this one and 2604. These ones were tough to make decisions on since the contractor is really just delivering software, but what S&T does with the software may be privacy sensitive.

[b](6)

From: [b](6)
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2019 4:49 PM
To: [b](6)
Subject: DHS 19-2605

Hello [b](6)

Please see below and attached.

I put a possible PTA in due to some testing language in the SOW (nothing definitive found in the SOW, but as a just-in-case).

Thanks,

[b](6)

---

DHS 19-2605

Appendix G Guidance Case: 1. If there is a DHS awarded contract with contractors/non-government entities receiving sensitive data to include Chemical Vulnerability Information (CVI), For Official Use Only (FOUO), Law Enforcement Sensitive (LES), Protected Critical Infrastructure Information (PCII),Personally Identifiable Information (PII), Sensitive Personally Identifiable Information (SPII), and/or Sensitive Security Information (SSI) from DHS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sender:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong> 2019/05/22 10:09:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong> 2019/05/22 10:09:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: (b)(6)
To: (b)(6)
CC: (b)(6)
Subject: Re: [UT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander
Date: 2019/05/14 12:44:52
Priority: Normal
Type: Note

Thanks!

Get Outlook for iOS

From: (b)(6)
Sent Tuesday, May 14 7019 17.47•74
TO:r“ )
Cc: 030 )
Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander

Good afternoon (b)(6)

Just wanted to follow up to let you know that we have sent the PTA up to DHS HQ Privacy for their review. I will let you know what questions or follow-up they have once they return it to us.

Thanks,

(b)(6)

Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 12:50 PM
To: (b)(6)

DHS-001-10083-000525
Many thanks! Greatly appreciate your support!

See you soon,

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 11:14 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander

—thank you—really appreciate your edits. We know this PTA got lost at HQ the last time around—please know that I will work with them to turn it around as soon as possible.

—please incorporate the below information into the updated Alexander PTA that sent over this morning.

Thank you and look forward to speaking soon.

Privacy Officer (Acting)

Science and Technology Directorate

Department of Homeland Security
Here are answers to your other questions. Let me know if you need more,

1. How does DATC get the data from Venntel? Does DATC buy the data from Venntel?
   a. Is this a one-way push of data from Venntel to S&T, or does S&T also pass data back to Venntel as well?

2. What happens to the data that DATC receives from Venntel? Is this data deleted once this project is over or is it used on other projects?

3. Confirm S&T does not share the USBP locations of interest that is provided to S&T by USBP to a third party.

From:

Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 9:50 AM
To:
Cc:

Subject: [EXT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander 20190415 to DATC SJD Edits 20190424 - RESPONSE

Here is the updated Alexander PTA. Let us know what you need,
Good afternoon

Just wanted to follow up to let you know that we have sent the PTA up to DHS HQ Privacy for their review. I will let you know what questions or follow-up they have once they return it to us.

Thanks,

Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

Many thanks! Greatly appreciate your support!
See you soon,

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 11:14 AM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6)

Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander

Thank you—really appreciate your edits. We know this PTA got lost at HQ the last time around; please know that I will work with them to turn it around as soon as possible.

please incorporate the below information into the updated Alexander PTA that I sent over this morning.

Thank you and look forward to speaking soon.

---

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 11:09 AM
To: (b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6)

Subject: RE: [EXT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander 20190415 to DATC SJD Edits 20190424 - RESPONSE

Here are answers to your other questions. Let me know if you need more,
1. **How does DATC get the data from Venntel? Does DATC buy the data from Venntel?**
   a. **Is this a one-way push of data from Venntel to S&T, or does S&T also pass data back to Venntel as well?**

2. **What happens to the data that DATC receives from Venntel? Is this data deleted once this project is over or is it used on other projects?**

3. **Confirm S&T does not share the USBP locations of interest that is provided to S&T by USBP to a third party.**

---

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 9:50 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXT] RE: PTA ST- Project Alexander 20190415 to DATC SJD Edits 20190424 - RESPONSE

Here is the updated Alexander PTA. Let us know what you need,
See attached for the updates. Everything is looking better.

Only question is whether sent this per your request on Wednesday.

Please incorporate the below information into the updated Alexander PTA sent over this morning.

Thank you and look forward to speaking soon.
Here are answers to your other questions. Let me know if you need more,

1. • How does DATC get the data from Venntel? Does DATC buy the data from Venntel? [b](5)
   a. • Is this a one-way push of data from Venntel to S&T, or does S&T also pass data back to Venntel as well? [b](5)

2. • What happens to the data that DATC receives from Venntel? Is this data deleted once this project is over or is it used on other projects? [b](5)

3. • Confirm S&T does not share the USBP locations of interest that is provided to S&T by USBP to a third party. [b](5)

Here is the updated Alexander PTA. Let us know what you need,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3)5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sent Date:</strong> 2019/05/10 12:02:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivered Date:</strong> 2019/05/10 12:02:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Good morning.

Please see attached for the latest draft of the Project Alexander PTA. This one got lost in the shuffle, and we want to keep on top of it.

I am the primary analyst on this PTA and look forward to your questions and comments.

Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
spoke to John and he’s updating the PTA questions to reflect the below questions—we need to understand how the data was obtained, who is it being shared with and how/when it is being purged. Thank you.

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

All,
I hope this finds you well. In addition to the questions/comments I provided in the in the PTA yesterday, please address the below questions as well.

1. How does DATC get the data from Venntel? Does DATC buy the data from Venntel?
   a. Is this a one-way push of data from Venntel to S&T, or does S&T also pass data back to Venntel as well?
2. What happens to the data that DATC receives from Venntel? Is this data deleted once this project is over or is it used on other projects?
3. Confirm S&T does not share the USBP locations of interest that is provided to S&T by USBP to a third party

Thank you and we look forward to speaking soon.

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Hello

I hope this finds you well. Attached are my initial edits to the Alexander PTA. I see that CBP is listed on this—for his review as well or has he already seen this? If he hasn’t seen it then please send it to him. Thank you.

Privacy Officer (Acting)
Science and Technology Directorate
Department of Homeland Security

Here are our updates. Please advise as we have an urgent engagement affiliated with this capability,
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See attached for a PTA for Project Alexander, which concerns research into the utility of mobile marketing data for DHS operational components. S&T is doing RDT&E in conjunction with CBP, and CBP’s Privacy Office (specifically, (b)(6) was involved in drafting the PTA and gave it the OK before I sent it up for review.

The PTA got trapped at PRIV for several months, and we finally got it back a few weeks ago. (b)(6) indicated that DATC is still involved in research in this area, so I went ahead and made some quick edits. Before passing the PTA back to the DATC team for answers to PRIV’s remaining questions, I wanted to give you a chance to review and familiarize yourself with the project because of the potential privacy sensitivities.

I can provide a very basic overview of this project, which will be easier to do in person. (b)(6) and his team, as the experts, should fill in the details. All of the introductory meetings were before my time, and I only got a surface-level intro from (b)(6) Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
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Good afternoon,

We submitted a draft PTA for Project Alexander to DHS HQ Privacy in mid-to-late August of 2018. The PTA got lost/stuck there for several months before it was finally returned to us with some questions. (b)(6) indicated a few weeks ago that this project is still generally active, so I wanted to get this ball rolling again. I’ve already taken a stab at responding to most of the questions based on my understanding of the project, but obviously you all will be able to fill in the rest.

If this is indeed an active project, it might also be helpful to set aside a little time sometime to explain the ins and outs to (b)(6) and me. This pre-dates her entirely, and (b)(6) never gave me much of background on it before he left.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Support Contractor

Privacy Analyst, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
Hi

Thank you for the update. I went ahead and reviewed it and have some additional questions for when he returns. Please refer to the attached version.

I could not find a record of a version with S&T comments back to us on this PTA on the PRIV Share Drive.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Very respectfully,

Program Manager
Contractor supporting the DHS Privacy Office
Phone:
Email:

---

Thanks,

This is project and he is out on PTO today. When he gets back in the office tomorrow, I will have him follow up with you. think what you attached to your email is the most recent iteration (think he provide some materials and updates to back in August).

Support Contractor
Senior Consultant, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security

---

DHS-001-10083-000576
Subject: Project Alexander PTA

Hi,

I think the PTA should be re-written to factor in the new organizational changes within S&T. It doesn't make sense to adjudicate a PTA that speaks to the old org structure and divisions that no longer exist.

From conducting a quick review of comments, it appears that we had several questions that needed to be addressed anyways.

Attached is the latest copy, including questions. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Very respectfully,

Program Manager
Contractor supporting the DHS Privacy Office

From: Monday, February 11, 2019 7:42 AM

Subject: Project Alexander PTA

Hi,

Trying to clean up items we show open on our tracker. One of these is the Project Alexander PTA. Our tracker shows that, on 9/18 and 9/19, responded to questions he received from However, I've not record of any response or other action from DHS PRIV. Please let us know what, if anything, is the latest in DHS PRIV's records.

Thank you, in advance.

Support Contractor
Senior Consultant, Privacy Office | Science and Technology Directorate | Department of Homeland Security
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