WORSE THAN SECOND-CLASS:

Solitary Confinement of Women in the United States

April 2014
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**INTRODUCTION** ........................................................................................................................................... 2

**WOMEN BEHIND BARS** ................................................................................................................................... 2

**WHAT IS SOLITARY CONFINEMENT?** ........................................................................................................... 4

**PROBLEMS FOR WOMEN IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT** ............................................................................ 5

- Solitary confinement can exacerbate mental illness.......................................................................................... 5
- Solitary confinement can re-traumatize victims of past abuse—and can render incarcerated women more vulnerable to abuse by correctional officers.................................................................................... 6
- Solitary confinement is sometimes used as retaliation against women who have reported sexual abuse or other harmful treatment while in prison................................................................................................. 7
- Solitary confinement can jeopardize the relationship between mother and child, harming children.................................................................................................................................................................................. 7
- Solitary confinement of pregnant women is harmful and internationally condemned....................................... 9

**TRANSGENDER WOMEN IN SOLITARY** ........................................................................................................... 9

**RECOMMENDATIONS** ....................................................................................................................................... 10

**CONCLUSION** ....................................................................................................................................................... 12
INTRODUCTION

Solitary confinement—locking a prisoner in isolation away from most, if not all, human contact for twenty-two to twenty-four hours per day for weeks, months, or even years at a time—is inhumane. When used for longer than fifteen days, or on vulnerable populations such as children and people with mental illness, the practice is recognized by human rights experts as a form of torture. Prisons and jails across the United States lock prisoners in solitary confinement for a range of reasons—punitive, administrative, protective, medical—but whatever the reason, the conditions are similarly harsh and damaging. Experts in psychology, medicine, and corrections agree that solitary confinement can have uniquely harmful effects; this consensus has led experts to call for the practice to be banned in all but the most extreme cases of last resort, when other alternatives have failed or are not available, where safety is a concern, and for the shortest amount of time possible.

Across the United States, jails and prisons hold more than 200,000 women. These prisoners are routinely subjected to solitary confinement. Yet the use of solitary on women is often overlooked. Although the negative psychological impacts of solitary confinement are well known, the unique harms and dangers of subjecting women prisoners to this practice have rarely been examined or considered in evaluating the need for reforms in law or policy. As the number of incarcerated women climbs at an alarming pace, women and their families and communities are increasingly affected by what happens behind bars. It is critical to address the treatment of women in prison—especially those women subjected to the social and sensory deprivation of solitary confinement.

WOMEN BEHIND BARS

All prisoners are entitled to humane treatment, a safe and secure environment, and access to rehabilitative services. But there are some key areas where women prisoners are different from their male counterparts, and effective criminal justice policies and practices must take those differences into account. Women face many physical, medical, psychological, and socio-cultural challenges in prison. A higher percentage of women than men find themselves in prison for non-violent offenses. Indeed, even as the rate of imprisonment for women has risen dramatically in recent years, the percentage sentenced for violent crimes has fallen. A staggering proportion of incarcerated women suffer from

“After just two months in solitary confinement, my mind began to slip. I would spend large portions of my day crouched down on all fours by a small slat in my cell door listening for any sounds that might distract me from the sheer terror of my isolation. I suffered from insomnia, nightmares, hallucinations, and emotional detachment, and often had violent panic attacks. More than once, I completely lost control and began screaming and beating at the walls of my cell until my knuckles bled. I started to realize that there was a slow disintegration, really, of my personality, my sense of who I was . . . You are existing in this kind of vacuum.”

-Sarah Shourd
Pregnant in Solitary

Meghan,* who had battled depression for years, found herself pregnant behind bars in a system designed without thinking about her health.

Because of her pregnancy, Meghan had to **discontinue some of her mental-health medications**. She also needed extra sleep. When, one day, a guard decided Meghan didn’t get up fast enough for mealtime, she was sent to solitary confinement as punishment.

Placement in solitary caused Meghan to miss her prenatal vitamins. It also meant **social isolation for an expectant mother who was fighting clinical depression**.

The extreme isolation made Meghan highly anxious. Her requests for water from guards were denied—sometimes for several hours, despite the heat in her isolation cell and the known danger of dehydration during pregnancy.

*Anonymous female prisoner in Illinois

mental health problems. Among prisoners in federal facilities, almost fifty percent more women than men have been diagnosed with mental health conditions. And much higher numbers of women in state prisons and local jails are reported to suffer from mental health problems than similarly situated men. Women also report past physical or sexual abuse, as well as other traumas, at a higher rate than their male counterparts.

Women in custody are frequently guarded during their most private moments by men without a female guard present, despite the potential for abuse and degradation. International bodies have repeatedly warned of the sexual humiliation inherent when male guards watch female prisoners in their most intimate moments—such as dressing, showering, or using the toilet—and political leaders have begun to take note, as new federal regulations place stricter standards on cross-gender viewing and searches by prison guards.

The loss of privacy experienced by people in prison is especially damaging to the many incarcerated women who are also victims of past sexual abuse, since close supervision and discipline by male guards can reinforce feelings of vulnerability and can re-traumatize women who have experienced violence by men.

The presence of male guards in women’s facilities also increases the danger of staff sexual misconduct, which remains a serious problem in spite of increased awareness of the issue.

Children are also among the collateral consequences of the United States’ high incarceration rates. Since women are more likely than men to be the primary or sole caretaker of their children prior to incarceration, children and families are profoundly affected by the rising numbers of women sent to prison. Between 1991 and 2007, the number of children with a mother in prison more than doubled. About sixty-two percent of women in state prisons, and fifty-six percent of women in federal prison, have minor children. The very existence of the parental relationship can be endangered when a parent is incarcerated. In addition to the devastating consequences of parental incarceration on families, children’s future prospects also dim; children with mothers in custody are more likely to develop depression and anxiety, are at heightened risk of future substance abuse problems, and are more likely to become involved in the criminal justice system. Women’s experiences behind bars—especially in solitary confinement—too frequently negatively affect their families.
The use of solitary confinement on women and men alike is ongoing and pervasive in American correctional facilities. Solitary confinement consists of isolating a person in a cell for twenty-two to twenty-four hours per day and severely limiting human contact and environmental stimulation of any kind. Brief interactions with correctional staff, and perhaps an occasional cell-front visit from a medical provider, may be a prisoner’s only human contact for days, weeks, or months. Prisoners in solitary confinement are often denied access to reading materials and to meaningful educational and life-skills programming. Solitary confinement frequently means reduced or no natural sunlight and forced idleness, including little, if any, opportunity to exercise. In spite of the diminished human contact, solitary confinement can also bring a near-total lack of privacy, with guards able to view prisoners at all times via video.

Solitary confinement goes by many names, whether it occurs in a so-called “supermax prison” or in a separate unit within a regular prison. These separate units are often called disciplinary segregation, administrative segregation, control units, security housing units (SHU), special management units (SMU), or simply “the hole.” Recognizing the definitional morass, the American Bar Association (ABA) has created the following general definition of solitary confinement, which it calls “segregated housing”: “The term ‘segregated housing’ means housing of a prisoner in conditions characterized by substantial isolation from other prisoners, whether pursuant to disciplinary, administrative, or classification action. ‘Segregated housing’ includes restriction of a prisoner to the prisoner’s assigned living quarters.” By any name, solitary confinement is always an extreme form of punishment.

The harms of solitary confinement extend far beyond the misery of spending almost every hour locked alone in a small, bare cell. One of the most unfortunate and heartbreaking consequences of solitary confinement is that visitation with loved ones, including a prisoner’s own children, is greatly restricted. Contact visits—visits during which the prisoner can actually shake hands with or hug her loved one—are often entirely out of the question, since a physical barrier, such as a Plexiglas partition or steel mesh window, typically separates a prisoner from the visitor. As video visitation becomes increasingly available, actual contact with family may become even more restricted in the future. And in some cases, prison and jail officials may deny a prisoner in solitary all visits with friends and family.

Solitary confinement is psychologically damaging; prisoners subjected to it exhibit increased psychiatric symptoms as well as higher rates of suicide, suicide attempts, and self-harm. Access to rehabilitative programming and transitional services is often denied to prisoners in solitary confinement because programming in segregated housing units is typically de-prioritized and often simply unavailable.

Despite the popular misconception that solitary confinement is used to house only “the worst of the worst,” this is not true. In fact, solitary is often used on the most vulnerable: pregnant women, individuals with mental illness, transgender women, and—in a particularly disturbing trend—victims of sexual assault by prison guards. The reality is that, depending on discretionary prison policies, prisoners can be placed in solitary confinement for any number of infractions, such as possessing “contraband” like postage stamps or banned reading material, refusing meals, or “mouthing off” at an
officer or another prisoner. Mental illness can contribute to these kinds of behavioral infractions; untreated drug addiction can also lead to placement in solitary when addicts gain access to narcotics in prison. And because many cases come down to the word of a prisoner against the word of a corrections officer, a guard’s bad day can easily turn into a solitary confinement sentence for a prisoner for retaliatory reasons, such as a prisoner’s filing a grievance.

Because classification programs often are structured to have multiple “steps” or “phases,” prisoners can spend months or years at a time in solitary confinement. Often prison regulations and policies controlling solitary practices are not transparent and available to the public, or even to prisoners themselves, and sometimes prisoners serve indeterminate periods in solitary confinement with no opportunity for meaningful review of their placement. Ultimately, some prisoners are released from solitary confinement directly into their communities without any preparation for living with others—a practice shown to increase recidivism.

### PROBLEMS FOR WOMEN IN SOLITARY CONFINEMENT

Solitary confinement can exacerbate mental illness.

- Mental illness is common among women in prison. Nearly seventy-five percent of women in prison are diagnosed with mental illness—a much higher rate than for men in prison. Solitary confinement has been shown to exacerbate underlying mental health conditions. The broad consensus among mental health experts is that long-term solitary confinement is psychologically harmful, especially to those with pre-existing mental illness. In studies of prisoners held in solitary confinement for ten days or longer, prisoners have deteriorated rapidly, with elevated levels of depression and anxiety, a higher propensity to suffer from hallucinations and paranoia, and a higher risk of self-harm and suicide.

- Women prisoners with mental illness are held in solitary confinement in alarming numbers. In June 2012, for example, fifty of the women in solitary confinement in one California institution—more than half of the total solitary confinement beds for women—were identified as mentally ill.

- Because prisoners with mental illness often suffer in ways that make their behavior difficult to manage, many women prisoners can end up in solitary confinement as a result of behavior that is beyond their control. They are essentially punished for their illness. In 2011, following a visit to the United States, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Violence Against Women concluded that “[w]omen should not be punished, through administrative segregation or otherwise, for behavior associated with their mental illness.”

- There has been little judicial scrutiny of solitary confinement practices in women’s facilities. However, the particularly harsh toll that solitary confinement takes on those with mental illness is receiving increased attention from lawyers and judges across the country. As the
United States Department of Justice recently noted, solitary confinement of prisoners with serious mental illness can constitute a violation of Title II of the Americans with Disability Act and the Eighth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, which prohibits cruel and unusual punishments. Many federal courts agree; increasingly, judges are ruling that housing people with serious mental illness in solitary is unconstitutional. As legal action is taken, prisons have been forced to react, and administrators across the country are beginning to reform their practices. Responding to litigation that was settled in 2012, the Massachusetts Department of Correction rewrote its mental health care policies to exclude prisoners with severe mental illness from long-term segregation and designed two maximum security mental health treatment units to divert the mentally ill out of segregated housing. In Colorado, as of December 2013, all state wardens have been directed that any prisoners with “major mental illness” are no longer to be placed in administrative segregation. And by the end of 2013, in the wake of mounting public scrutiny of its overuse of solitary confinement, the New York City Department of Correction had reassigned all prisoners with mental illness who were held in “punitive segregation” at Rikers Island jail to units with more therapeutic resources.

**Solitary confinement can re-traumatize victims of past abuse—and can render incarcerated women more vulnerable to abuse by correctional officers.**

- Because a majority of women in state prisons across America report being victims of past physical or sexual abuse, the potential is high for re-traumatizing women who are already vulnerable. The isolation, enforced idleness, and absence of healthy stimulation can all contribute to further psychological deterioration in vulnerable women. Women in solitary confinement, especially those who have been victimized by men in the past, can experience acute psychological suffering when they are closely watched, with virtually no privacy, by male guards.

- Human rights organizations have repeatedly condemned the use of male prison guards to supervise female prisoners, yet women in American prisons are regularly supervised by male guards during their most private moments. Particularly for women in solitary confinement, who can be under close supervision during showers, when undressing, and when using the toilet, such an arrangement entails a profound loss of privacy that affects most if not all other experiences, especially because this is many times the only contact, or knowledge of human contact, a female prisoner has in solitary.

- Women held in solitary confinement are also particularly vulnerable to physical and other types of abuse by correctional officers. The use of excessive force, misuse of restraints and chemical agents, abuses of power, and sexual abuse by guards are all very real dangers to prisoners held in solitary confinement. Particularly because isolation cells are separate from the general population, such abuses can be difficult to detect.
Solitary confinement is sometimes used as retaliation against women who have reported sexual abuse or other harmful treatment while in prison.

- Correctional officials sometimes lock prisoners in solitary confinement in retaliation for speaking out against abusive or negligent treatment. Again and again, stories arise in which women who report rape and other abuse by corrections officers are sent to solitary confinement.\(^{53}\) Women who have been sexually abused by prison guards are thus faced with another painful dilemma, forced to decide between reporting the attack and risking retaliation, or not reporting it and risking further assault.

- The lack of privacy in solitary confinement can further victimize women who have been assaulted, because they can be seen by their attacker while they are sleeping, eating, and using the toilet in their solitary cells.\(^{54}\)

- This pattern of women reporting abuse and then being sent to solitary confinement is not only unjust on an individual basis, but it has also been shown to chill reports of abuse by other prisoners who fear the same outcome, thwarting the efforts of prison officials who seek to remedy prisoner abuse.\(^{55}\)

Solitary confinement can jeopardize the relationship between mother and child, harming children.

- One of the terrible consequences of placing women prisoners who are mothers in solitary confinement is the collateral damage it causes their children. When parents are incarcerated, maintenance of their relationships with their children largely depends on regular visitation.\(^{56}\) While prisoners may also write letters and make limited phone calls, a child’s need to see and hold his or her parent can only be satisfied by in-person visits that reinforce the physical and psychological parental bond. Yet visitation for prisoners in solitary confinement is extremely limited, with contact visits often forbidden, and sometimes all visitation privileges revoked.

- These visitation restrictions mean that, when a parent is held in solitary confinement, her children are often forced to interact with her through a physical barrier, such as a glass partition, or, as prisons increase their use of videoconference for visitation, via video monitors.\(^{57}\) Through a partition, a child cannot give his or her mother a hug, or hear her voice clearly. Even for prisoners
housed at lower levels of security, prison visitation can be a huge strain on a parental relationship, requiring travel and compliance with complicated prison rules, for visits that last only for short periods of time. Holding mothers in solitary confinement can make an already challenging situation even more painful for children, as well as mothers. Solitary punishes children.

- Many mothers in prison were their children’s primary or sole caregiver prior to incarceration; in 2004, over sixty-four percent of incarcerated mothers reported having lived with their minor children within a month of being arrested or just prior to incarceration.\(^{58}\) Upon imprisonment, this means that many children must be moved to the home of another family member, or even to foster care.\(^ {59}\) Continued contact with the primary or sole caregiver is critical for children’s healthy growth and development. For children placed in foster care, visitation is vital to a continued relationship with their parent. Restricting visitation can erode the relationship by drastically limiting a mother’s opportunities to function as a supportive figure in the child’s life.

---

**Solitary Confinement as Retaliation**

Carol Lester, a 73-year-old mother and grandmother, found herself in solitary confinement in a New Mexico prison for almost five weeks. According to Lester and her attorneys, officials placed her in solitary confinement when she spoke out against her inadequate medical care.

Ms. Lester was serving a three-year sentence for the non-violent crime of embezzlement. As part of ongoing cancer treatment, she was taking prescription hormones; although she brought her medications to prison and appropriately handed them over to staff upon arrival, she was subsequently prescribed different medication in prison. Ms. Lester’s health rapidly deteriorated, and she complained to prison staff and to local politicians, requesting better care for her life-threatening condition. Soon after she complained, Ms. Lester was administered a urine test, which registered a positive result suggesting methamphetamine use. The drug test, which according to court filings was a false positive, was listed as the reason for placing Ms. Lester in solitary confinement.

Ms. Lester told officials that she had never used methamphetamine in her life—and the new medications she was receiving in prison are known to produce false positives in drug tests. Despite her pleas and serious medical condition, the prison left her in solitary for over a month. She recently filed suit against the prison administration, alleging that officials subjected her to solitary confinement in retaliation for her complaints about the prison’s dangerously inadequate medical treatment.

Solitary confinement of pregnant women is harmful and internationally condemned.

- Pregnant women are at particularly high risk of harmful psychological effects of solitary confinement. International standards set by the United Nations Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-Custodial Measures for Women Offenders—known as the Bangkok Rules—prohibit the placement of pregnant or nursing women in solitary confinement. Nevertheless, pregnant prisoners in America can still find themselves in solitary confinement.

- In addition to the extreme psychological harms that solitary confinement can wreak on pregnant women, locking them in isolation can jeopardize their access to prenatal care. Solitary confinement impedes access to important health care services because providing medical services to individuals in solitary confinement requires more resources, many policies and practices are male-standardized and do not take into account the unique medical needs of pregnant women, and pregnant women in solitary confinement are often unable to request medical care.

**Transgender Women in Solitary**

Solitary confinement presents additional problems for transgender women. “Transgender” is an umbrella term for people who live differently than the gender representation and roles expected of them by society. Due to their unique vulnerabilities, transgender women are often sent to male prisons and then placed in “protective custody.” Although sometimes justified by a need to separate a prisoner from general population for her own protection, this form of custody is, in practice, often simply another form of solitary confinement. Transgender women are at heightened risk of sexual assault and other violence while incarcerated and placing them in the general prison population may create risks of victimization. But solitary confinement should not be a tool used to protect vulnerable prisoners.

When a transgender woman is placed in solitary, she can be greatly harmed by the isolation and depression of constant lockdown; by the strip searches that are required any time a prisoner leaves her cell, even just to shower; and by the lack of appropriate medical care, including necessary hormones. The psychological consequences of solitary confinement can also be particularly devastating for transgender individuals, whom studies have shown to be at a generally elevated risk of suicide. Further, the denial of education, exercise, and contact visits for prisoners in protective custody exacerbates these problems, as the conditions of protective custody often mirror disciplinary segregation.

Maria Benita Santamaria’s case is in many ways typical of the plight of transgender women in solitary confinement. Although assigned male at birth, Ms. Santamaria lived as a woman. She had been undergoing hormone treatment and was preparing for gender confirmation surgery prior to her incarceration. After entering prison, according to her attorney, Ms. Santamaria did not receive her medically-necessary hormones.

Despite the threat of being raped by male prisoners in general population, Ms. Santamaria, a transgender woman, became so desperate in solitary confinement that she repeatedly asked to be removed.
and started to grow facial hair. Officials at Central Virginia Regional Jail initially placed Ms. Santamaria in solitary confinement because they feared she would be raped by male prisoners, but her life in solitary was even worse than in general population. In solitary confinement, Ms. Santamaria was treated no differently than prisoners on punitive lockdown, allowed out of her cell for one hour a day, and only allowed to shower every three days. In the lockdown unit, officers reportedly referred to Ms. Santamaria as “it.” Due to these harsh conditions, she began to consider suicide. And despite the threat of being raped by male prisoners, Ms. Santamaria became so desperate she repeatedly asked to be removed from solitary and placed back in general population.

This kind of trauma is all too common for transgender women in prisons and jails across the country. In fact, the devastating impact of solitary confinement on transgender people is so well-recognized that the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) regulations, recently promulgated by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) to safeguard prisoners from the risk of sexual assault, contain a number of specific safeguards for transgender prisoners. These require individualized housing assessments that may be based on a prisoner’s gender identity rather than physical anatomy, include protections against abusive searches of transgender individuals, impose strict limits on the use of protective custody, and require that transgender prisoners held in solitary confinement for their own protection be moved to alternative housing as soon as possible.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

- **Solitary confinement is so harsh and damaging that it should be used only when prisoners pose a current, continuing, and serious threat to their own safety or that of others.**

  Although a few women prisoners may meet this requirement and there may be some instances where some period of solitary confinement is justifiable, in practice this should be very rare. The majority of incarcerated women are non-violent offenders who pose a low security risk. Prisons can physically separate prisoners, when necessary, without resorting to solitary confinement. Solitary for women should not be a pervasive practice and when a woman prisoner is placed in solitary confinement, she should be housed there for as short a period as possible, and only where a threat of serious harm to self or others is documented.

- **Prisoners with mental illness should never be held in solitary confinement.**

  Solitary confinement exacerbates mental illness and harms already vulnerable prisoners by subjecting them to sensory and social deprivation. Mentally ill prisoners should receive treatment and programming appropriate to their mental health needs.

- **Pregnant and nursing women should never be held in solitary confinement.**

  The practice is medically unsound as well as inhumane. The risk of placing pregnant and nursing women in solitary confinement cannot be justified.
Transgender women must be protected both from violence in general population and from the dangers of solitary confinement.

The PREA standards should be enforced to classify transgender individuals on a case-by-case basis, including serious consideration of placing transgender women in female facilities. Corrections officials must protect vulnerable prisoners without the use of damaging isolation. Individuals requiring extra protection in a correctional environment should have access to the same programs, privileges, and services available to prisoners in general population.

Solitary confinement should never be imposed as a retaliatory measure.

Prisons and jails must ensure that policy and practice abide by this principle and that staff training and disciplinary measures include clear regulations that retaliatory use of solitary confinement will not be tolerated. Qualified PREA auditors should also be specifically tasked with ensuring that prisoners’ reports of abuse are seriously investigated and that such reports are not met with retaliatory placement in solitary confinement.\(^69\)

Women should undergo mental and medical health evaluations by competent and qualified mental and medical health practitioners to assess their condition before any placement in solitary confinement.

Women’s histories of mental illness, trauma, abuse, and sexual assault should be taken into account before they are placed in solitary confinement and should appropriately inform decisions to divert women from such confinement. Women who have experienced sexual assault should be provided appropriate mental-health programming, including counseling. Women who are vulnerable to re-traumatization should be guarded by female correctional officers.

Contact visits with children aged 18 and under should be allowed for all prisoners, and family visitation should be encouraged.

Robust visitation privileges have been shown to have a positive impact on prisoners’ rehabilitation and on the well-being of their children.\(^70\) Visitation with children helps to create a more stable environment for children whose lives have been seriously upended by having a parent in prison.

All prisons and jails should be required to have uniform written policies controlling solitary confinement practices and procedures.

Such policies must be public and include a written notification process to inform prisoners of their assignment to solitary confinement, the reason, duration, and review opportunities; processes by which the prisoner can earn privileges while in solitary, including access to commissary and visitation; and the process by which a prisoner may earn release from solitary itself.
➢ All prisons and jails should be required to regularly and publicly report details on individuals in solitary confinement including the number, gender, reason, available alternatives, reason alternatives are not utilized, duration, periodic review details, and other information.

Correctional systems should be held to strict reporting and accountability measures that limit, monitor, and standardize the reasons prisoners are sent to solitary confinement. Because there are no state or federal uniform data available, the extent of this problem cannot be ascertained.

➢ Prisoners should never be directly released to the community from solitary confinement.

To promote successful reentry, correctional institutions should ensure that step-down programs to less restrictive environments are available to all prisoners in solitary prior to release without extending the length of an individual’s sentence.

**CONCLUSION**

Solitary confinement is damaging to all prisoners—men, women, and children alike. Women prisoners, including transgender women, can be particularly vulnerable to the harms of solitary confinement. These harms cannot be justified. Not only is solitary confinement devastating to women, it hurts their children and can undermine rehabilitation and women’s ability to return to the community as productive citizens. Prisons and jails in the United States must cease the unnecessary and harmful solitary confinement of women.
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