b6 -1
b7C -1
From Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
To [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
cc [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject RE HPSI testimony

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6 -1
I think [ ] and I have worked it out and we are all on the same page.

b7C -1
From [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent Thursday, June 10, 2004 9:22 AM
To Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Subject RE HPSI testimony

b6 -3,4
b7C -3,4
As to [ ] We do not have involvement when Special Projects is involved.
I will check with [ ]
On [ ] I will be in the office on Friday.

b7D -1
b7F -1


SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6 -3,4
b7C -3,4
b7D -1
b7F -1
It is not totally nailed down yet, but I think [ ] is the detainee they were talking about. Given the fact
that FBI waited for [ ] to get moved to camp 5, why hasn't the IP been withdrawn?

b7C -3,4
As to [ ] I am reading this to mean we have no involvement whatsoever, correct?

b7D -1
b7F -1


b6 -1
b7C -1
Just to ensure intent doesn't get garbled, I would request that any follow-up on this issue be handled by
you [ ] and [ ]

b7C -1
I just spoke with Col [ ] the SJA here. He indicated there are three IPs pending, [ ] and [ ]

b6 -2,3,4
b7C -2,3,4
b7D -1
b7F -1
As we discussed before [ ] did not reach the IP stage, it was simply Notification of intent to interrogate
outside Camp Delta - attached. This memo was required as [ ] was placed in Echo for disciplinary
reasons initially, not for intel collection, which automatically triggers the IP requirement. My
understanding is an IP would have been required if [ ] would have remained in Echo for more than 30
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days, under interrogation - he didn't

We didn't actually interview [Redacted] in Echo at all, as we decided to wait until he was moved to Camp 5, which has no notifications or IP requirements, and we believe Camp 5 serves our purposes almost as well as Echo anyway.

We are not working with DoD or [Redacted] - both detainees are being handled by the Special Projects Team.

The Joint Intelligence Group Deputy Commander is researching the [Redacted] package for me and will provide me with copies of all documents forwarded to SOUTHCOM. I'll get those to you ASAP.

Regards,

--- Original Message ---
From: Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2004 10:41 AM
To: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: HPSI testimony

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

will do, if I don't get the number of the detainee before then, who should I talk to down there about him?

--- Original Message ---
From: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2004 10:35 AM
To: Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [Redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: HPSI testimony

Valene - It was great to have you down. I'm going to Indiana tomorrow - back here on 6/22. My contact number there is [Redacted]. Please reach out for me if I can help clarify anything for you.

Regards,

--- Original Message ---
From: Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2004 10:11 AM
To: [Redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: HPSI testimony

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

FYI Thanks again for all the hospitality and the info. I have calls in to DOJ to get the number of the detainee as to whom an IP is pending and as to whom we are allegedly in charge.

VC

From: [Redacted] (OCA) (FBI)

DETAINES-1633
Sent Wednesday, June 09, 2004 9:14 AM
To Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Subject RE: HPSCI testimony
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I called yesterday and asked her to take the hearing off of your calendar (canceled) Committee doesn't know yet if they're going to try to re-schedule.

---Original Message---
From Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent Wednesday, June 09, 2004 9:01 AM
To
Subject RE: HPSCI testimony
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I was out of town for the last couple of days. Let me look at it again and I'll send it back to you for vetting at DOJ.

Is there a new adjourn date or is this hearing going forward on Friday?

---Original Message---
From OCA (FBI)
Sent Monday, June 07, 2004 10:59 AM
To Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: HPSCI testimony
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Valene:
Did you make any changes to the statement? If not, are you good with me sending to DOJ so they can begin routing among the various components for comment (if any) and approval?

Thanks.

---Original Message---
From Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent Wednesday, June 02, 2004 7:33 PM
To OCA (FBI)
Cc KALISCH, ELEN P (CCA) (FBI)
Subject: HPSCI testimony
UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Attached is a draft of my proposed statement:

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
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1634
From Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
To BOWMAN, MARION E (OGC) (FBI)
cc
Subject RE DOJ 2002 "torture" memo

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

what is FAS and what is their web site address?

-----Original Message-----
From BOWMAN, MARION E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent Thursday, June 10, 2004 8:13 AM
To Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI), Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI), Curran, John F (OGC) (OGA),
Subject RE DOJ 2002 "torture" memo

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I haven't been able to get it either, but there is a DOS reply to it on the FAS web-site. It was too large to put on a floppy and bring in.

-----Original Message-----
From Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent Wednesday, June 09, 2004 11:21 AM
To BOWMAN, MARION E (OGC) (FBI), BOWMAN, MARION E (OGC) (FBI), Curran, John F (OGC) (OGA),
Subject RE DOJ 2002 "torture" memo

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

OK I'll keep my eyes open for it

-----Original Message-----
From Caproni, Valene E (OGC) (FBI)
Sent Wednesday, June 09, 2004 9:15 AM
To BOWMAN, MARION E (OGC) (FBI), BOWMAN, MARION E (OGC) (FBI), Curran, John F (OGC) (OGA),
Subject RE DOJ 2002 "torture" memo

UNCLASSIFIED

DETAINEES-1635
I think you are right that what DOJ is calling an IP for is the memo that you sent me. I also am trying to nail that down, but I think the OLC people are doing a lot of running around on other issues and responding to my attempts to get this straight isn't at the top of their list of priorities.

Regarding and they are both being worked exclusively by the Special Projects Team, which is run by the Navy Reserve, Chicago Detective. We certainly have an interest in any intel of value developed, but we are by no means working the interrogation piece with them, nor did we have any part in writing the IPs.

More to follow.

It is not totally nailed down yet, but I think is the detainee they were talking about. Given the fact that FBI waited for to get moved to camp 5, why hasn't the IP been withdrawn?

As to and I am reading this to mean we have no involvement whatsoever, correct?

I just spoke with Lt Col the SJA here. He indicated there are three IPs pending.
From [Name] (CTD) (FBI)  
To Caproni, Valene E (OSC) (FBI)  
cc  
Subject

Just confirmed with the Joint Intelligence Group Deputy Commander that nothing other than the memo I sent you was forwarded to SOUTHCOM and beyond.

If you need anything further, please call me at [Number]. I'll be there by 10PM tonight.

Regards,

[Name]