REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BY INVESTIGATING OFFICER/BOARD OF OFFICERS

IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED IN FILLING OUT ANY PORTION OF THIS FORM, ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS

SECTION I: APPOINTMENT

Appointed by LTC [Redacted], CDR, 1st Squadron, 71st Cavalry
(Appointing authority)

on 18 OCT 2005
(Date)

(Attach inclosure 1: Letter of appointment or summary of oral appointment data.) (See para 3-15, AR 15-6.)

SECTION II: SESSIONS

The (investigation) board commenced at
(Place)
at
(Time)

on [Supply date]

(The if a formal board met for more than one session, check here . Indicate in an inclosure the time each session began and ended, the place, persons present and absent, and explanation of absences, if any. The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were present: (After each name, indicate capacity, e.g., President, Recorder, Member, Legal Advisor.)

The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were absent: (Include brief explanation of each absence.) (See paras 5-2 and 5-8a, AR 15-6.)

The (investigating officer) board finished gathering/hearing evidence at
(Place)
at
(Time)

and completed findings and recommendations at
(Place)
at
(Time)

on 23 OCT 05
(Date)

A. COMPLETE IN ALL CASES

1. Inclosures (para 3-15, AR 15-6)
   a. The letter of appointment or a summary of oral appointment data?
   b. Copy of notice to respondent, if any? (See item 9, below)
   c. Other correspondence with respondent or counsel, if any?
   d. All other written communications to or from the appointing authority?
   e. Privacy Act Statements (Certificate, if statement provided orally)?
   f. Explanation by the investigating officer or board of any unusual delays, difficulties, irregularities, or other problems encountered (e.g., absence of material witnesses)?
   g. Information as to sessions of a formal board not included on page 1 of this report?
   h. Any other significant papers (other than evidence) relating to administrative aspects of the investigation or board?

FOOTNOTES: 1. Expands all narrative answers on attached sheet.
2. Use of the NA column constitutes evidence that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Exhibits (para 3-16, AR 15-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Are all items offered (whether or not received) considered as evidence individually numbered or lettered as exhibits and attached to this report?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Is an index of all exhibits offered to or considered by investigating officer or board attached before the first exhibit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Has the testimony/statement of each witness been recorded verbatim or been reduced to written form and attached as an exhibit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Are copies, descriptions, or depictions (of substituted for oral or documentary evidence) properly authenticated and the location of the original evidence indicated?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Are descriptions or diagrams included of locations visited by the investigating officer or board (para 3-6b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>Is each written statement attached as an exhibit and is each oral statement either reduced to writing and made an exhibit or recorded in a verbatim record?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g.</td>
<td>If official notice of any matter was taken over the objection of a respondent or counsel, is a statement of the matter of which official notice was taken attached as an exhibit (para 3-16d, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 3 | Was a quorum present when the board voted on findings and recommendations (para 4-4 and 5-3b, AR 15-6)? |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>COMPLETE ONLY FOR FORMAL BOARD PROCEEDINGS (Chapter 5, AR 15-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>At the initial session, did the recorder read, or determine that all participants had read, the letter of appointment (para 5-3b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Was a quorum present at every session of the board (para 5-2b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Was each absence of any member properly excused (para 5-2b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Were members, witnesses, reporter, and interpreter sworn, if required (para 5-1, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>If any members who voted on findings or recommendations were not present when the board received some evidence, does the inclosure describe how they familiarized themselves with that evidence (para 5-2d, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9</th>
<th>COMPLETE ONLY IF RESPONDENT WAS DESIGNATED (Section II, Chapter 5, AR 15-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Notice to respondents (para 5-5, AR 15-6):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Is the method and date of delivery to the respondent indicated on each letter of notification?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Was the data of delivery at least five working days prior to the first session of the board?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Does each letter of notification indicate —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>the date, hour, and place of the first session of the board concerning that respondent?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>the matter to be investigated, including specific allegations against the respondent, if any?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>the respondent’s rights with regard to counsel?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>the name and address of each witness expected to be called by the recorder?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>the respondent’s rights to present, present evidence, and call witnesses?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Was the respondent provided a copy of all unclassified documents in the case file?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>If there were relevant classified materials, were the respondent and his counsel given access and an opportunity to examine them?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>If any respondent was designated after the proceedings began (or otherwise was absent during part of the proceedings):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Was he properly notified (para 5-5, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Was record of proceedings and evidence received in his absence made available for examination by him and his counsel (para 5-6b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11</th>
<th>Counsel (para 5-6, AR 15-6):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Was each respondent represented by counsel?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name and business address of counsel:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(If counsel is a lawyer, check here [ ] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Was respondent’s counsel present at all open sessions of the board relating to that respondent?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>If military counsel was requested but not made available, is a copy (or, if oral, a summary) of the request and the action taken on it included in the report (para 5-6b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>If the respondents challenged the legal advisor or any voting member for lack of impartiality (para 5-7, AR 15-6):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Was the challenge properly denied and by the appropriate officer?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Did each member successfully challenged cease to participate in the proceedings?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13</th>
<th>Was the respondent given an opportunity to (para 5-8a, AR 15-6):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Be present with his counsel at all open sessions of the board which deal with any matter which concerns this respondent?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Examine and object to the introductions of real and documentary evidence, including written statements?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Object to the testimony of witnesses and cross-examine witnesses other than his own?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Call witnesses and otherwise introduce evidence?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Testify as a witness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>Make or have his counsel make a final statement or argument (para 5-9, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 14 | If requested, did the recorder ask the respondent in obtaining evidence in possession of the Government and in arranging for the presence of witnesses (para 5-9b, AR 15-6)? |

| 15 | Are all of the respondent’s requests and objections which were denied indicated in the report of proceedings or in an inclosure or exhibit to it (para 5-11, AR 15-6)? |

**FOOTNOTES:**

1. Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet.
2. Use of the NO column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation or board.
SECTION IV. FINDINGS (para 3-10, AR 15-6)
The (investigating officer) (board), having carefully considered the evidence, finds:
See Attached Memorandum for Record.

SECTION V. RECOMMENDATIONS (para 3-11, AR 15-6)
In view of the above findings, the (investigating officer) (board) recommends:
See Attached Memorandum for Record.
SECTION VI - AUTHENTICATION (para 3-17, AR 15-6)

THIS REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. (If any voting member or the recorder fails to sign here or in Section VII below, indicate the reason in the space where his signature should appear.)

(Recorder)

(Investigating Officer) (President)

(Member)

(Member)

(Member)

(Member)

SECTION VII - MINORITY REPORT (para 3-13, AR 15-6)

To the extent indicated in Inclosure __________, the undersigned do(es) not concur in the findings and recommendations of the board. (In the inclosure, identify by number each finding and/or recommendation in which the dissenting member(s) do(es) not concur. State the reasons for disagreement. Additional/substitute findings and/or recommendations may be included in the inclosure.)

(Member)

(Member)

SECTION VIII - ACTION BY APPOINTING AUTHORITY (para 2-3, AR 15-6)

The findings and recommendations of the (Investigating officer) (board) are (approved) (disapproved) (approved with following exceptions/substitutions). (If the appointing authority returns the proceedings to the investigating officer or board for further proceedings or corrective action, attach that correspondence (or a summary, if oral) as a numbered inclosure.)

[Signature]
18 October 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR CPT [Redacted]

SUBJECT: Appointment of Investigating Officer

1. You are hereby appointed an investigating officer pursuant to AR 15-6 and AR 210-7, paragraph 4-3, to conduct an investigation into the killing of an Iraqi civilian on 16 October 2005.

2. In your investigation, all witness statements will be sworn. From the evidence you will make findings into the liability of United States Army Soldier's in the death of an Iraqi civilian on 16 October 2005.

3. Submit your findings and recommendations in four copies on DA Form 1574 to the undersigned within 7 days.

LTC, IN
Commanding
MEMORANDUM THRU Commander, 1st Squadron, 71st Cavalry, 1st BCT, 10th MTN DIV, Camp [BL], Iraq

FOR Commander, 1st BCT, 10th MTN DIV, Camp [BL], Iraq

SUBJECT: AR 15-6 Informal Investigation Concerning the Shooting and Death of a Local National (LN)

1. On 18 October 2005, I was appointed as the AR 15-6 Investigating Officer (IO) for the events surrounding the shooting of a LN which resulted in the death of one LN. I have conducted a thorough investigation of the circumstances surrounding this incident. My observations, conclusions are provided in the following format: facts, findings, and recommendations.

2. FACTS.

   a. The following paragraph is a summation of the sequence of events as stated by CPL [BL] in Enclosures E, F and G. On 16 October 2005, an off duty Iraqi Soldier with 1st BN, 1st BDE, 6th IAD was traveling on RTE [BL] in the [BL] area dinner with his fiancé, traveling in a white 1994 Toyota Corolla. The incident occurred at approximately 161750OCT05 (see enclosure A, Commander’s Incident Report). CPL [BL] was on pass from the IA following 18 days of straight duty. He was accompanied by his fiancé, [BL]. CPL was parked outside of the [BL] Restaurant on [BL] St (RTE [BL]) in [BL]. After purchasing food to go, CPL returned to his car, initiating his directional lights. As he began to pull forward onto the road, a single shot was fired. A single round entered into the trunk of the car on the passenger side. The round continued through the trunk, entering into the passenger compartment of the vehicle, passing through the rear seat, fragmenting as it entered the front passenger side seat. The round entered the lower left portion of [BL] torso, passing through her body, striking the windshield before stopping. Immediately after the shot, CPL [BL] estimated two HMMWVs passed him, shining a bright light into the car as they did so. The only detail he could identify on the vehicles was two traffic cones on the back of the vehicle. The vehicles made no attempt to stop. After the HMMWVs were two meters further down the road, CPL [BL] began moving again to take the victim to the hospital. His route kept him in sight of the HMMWVs until they turned into the Gate to FOB [BL]. CPL [BL] then proceeded to [BL] Hospital where [BL] was pronounced dead at 161830OCT05.
AFZS-LI-HT
SUBJECT: 15-6 Informal Investigation Concerning the Shooting and Death of a Local National

b. Throughout the questioning and review of the sworn statement, it is clear CPL through working with the US Forces, had a clear understanding of US vehicles, ROE, and procedures for escalation of force. At no time did CPL receive any indication of an American's intent to fire. There were no identified audio or visual warnings prior to the shot being fired.

c. CPL brought the car to FOB, where it was brought to the attention of B TRP, 1-71 CAV, who are tasked as the 1st BN, 1st BDE, 6th IAD MiTT Advisors. LT was the officer on site, taking photographs and initial statements. In the process of taking statements and photos (Enclosure B), an Iraqi Soldier found the fragmented front half of what appears to be a US .50 Caliber Round. (Enclosure C). The initial entry point of the round and trajectory as shown in Enclosure B clearly indicates a direct fired shot from an elevated position.

d. Upon initial report of the incident, the began preliminary inquiries, identifying and contacting units operating and traveling in vicinity of FOB. The Investigating Officer contacted all identified elements and solicited Sworn Statements in reference to movements in vicinity of the incident. No identified unit reported any discharges of weapons, either intentional or negligent on 16 OCT. (Enclosures J-W)

e. On 22 OCT the Investigating Officer along with a Category I OSD Level interpreter, questioned restaurant owners and employees along , which consists of a collection of open air establishments. No one interviewed was aware of any incident occurring on St on the 16th of OCT or any other time since the arrival of coalition forces. (Enclosure H)

3. FINDINGS. Upon review of duty logs and sworn statements by units operating in the area on 16 OCT 05, I find the incident did not involve a 1-71 CAV Soldier. I do not believe that any 1/10 Patrol was involved, based on sworn statements by 1-87 IN, and that the known 1-87 IN patrols did not mount .50 Caliber weapons. Based on the round fragment found in the vehicle and the testimony of CPL it is conclusive that the death of was caused by a US weapon system, yet the multitude of units passing through AO Cobra without coordination on a daily basis makes it impossible to conclusively determine the responsible party at this time.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS:

a. I recommend that this information and inquiry be passed to the commanders of 1-87 IN, 42nd MP BDE, 2nd BN 5th SFG, and the MNSTCI Public Order Division SpJT, for consideration if they feel they need to conduct their own Commander's Inquiry.

b. Recommend that reparations in the form of a condolence claim, be considered for the family of through the 1/10 BCT SJA.
1/10 BCT BLUE-2 [COMMANDER’S INCIDENT REPORT]

PURPOSE: TO REPORT CRITICAL AND TIME SENSITIVE EVENTS TO THE COMMANDING GENERAL [CG].

SCOPE: THIS TAB APPLIES TO ALL UNITS ASSIGNED OR TASK ORGANIZED TO 3ID (M).

PROCEDURES: COMMANDER’S INCIDENT REPORT [CIR] IS INTENDED TO PROVIDE THE CG WITH IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION OF AN INCIDENT INVOLVING 3ID (M) SOLDIERS AND/OR EQUIPMENT. AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE INITIAL REPORT BUT IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION SHOULD NOT BE DELAYED UNNECESSARILY. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

** A COMPLETE REPORT ON THE INCIDENT WILL BE FORWARDED TO 1/10 MTN **

LINE 1: UNIT REPORTING:
1-71 CAV, ・・・ TM

LINE 2: INCIDENT:
LN killed in an apparent escalation of force incident from an unknown unit

LINE 3: DATE/TIME GROUP [DTG] INCIDENT OCCURRED:
16 1750 OCT 05

LINE 4: LOCATION OF INCIDENT:

LINE 5: PERSONNEL INVOLVED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>SSN</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>RACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>・・・</td>
<td>PVT</td>
<td>1/6 IA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>UNK</td>
<td>LN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LINE 6: SUMMARY OF INCIDENT: (See attached slide)

The 1/6 IA INITIAL SIGACTS LOG reads: "At 1600, the American forces killed the wife of the IA security element of 1st BN 1st BDE after he left the restaurant driving his 1994 Corolla heading to his house with his wife, the wife received 1x US machine gun round, died and taken to ・・・ Hospital."

LINE 7: DAMAGE TO GOVERNMENT AND/OR CIVILIAN PROPERTY:
NONE

LINE 8: COMMANDER REPORTING:
TF 1-71 CAV, ・・・ BATTLE CPT
SIPR: ・・・ @us.army.mil
VOIP: ・・・

17625
ID No:

Front:

BACK:

Muslim
August 31 1962
Baghdad
None
Single
Karkuk
Hazel

1. Ministry of Interior
2. Identification number
3. General Civil Affairs and Citizenship Directorate
4. Issued in accordance with the legal statute number 60; 1979
5. Republic of Iraq
6. Agency
7. Serial
8. Age
9. Identification number
10. Name
11. Father’s and Grandfather’s name
12. Family name
13. Mother and grandfather’s name
14. Gender
15. Approving official’s signature
16. Preparation date
17. Preparer’s signature
18. Full name
19. Witnessing officer’s signature
20. Left thumb print
21. Occupation
22. Religion
23. Date of birth, date in long form
24. Place of birth
25. Distinguishing marks
26. Marital status
27. Husband’s name
28. Place of registry
29. Description
30. Eye color
31. Complexion
32. Hair color
33. Height
34. Blood type
Yes, the locals call it Street. The one by the road by camp...

LT: If we got a map here do you think you show us....

yes

LT: We are going to the map. What direction was traveling on the road that he is pointing out to us? OK the answer to that question was the he was driving toward Camp (North to South). OK, the next questions are going to be on the military vehicles that you saw. OK...first question is what kind of vehicles were they?

Hummer, two

LT: So you saw two HMMWs. OK, what color?

It was kind of dark but it was a kind of tan (which sounded like he said “tint”) color.

LT: Tint? Oh, a tan color. A tan colored HMMW. OK we will stop....OK one more question, what time was this—the exact time.

The exact time to be honest with you was five five five....

LT: OK, so five-fifty five in the evening...

Yes, it was at the break fasting time and he was taking his wife to break fast together since he had spent eighteen days here at work and that was his first vacation day...(not clear for about 6 seconds)

LT: Next question with the vehicles, how many did you see?

Two HMMWs

LT: Just two?

yes

LT: Where there any big trucks with the HMMWs?

Only two HMMWs

LT: Any forklift, or barriers....?
LT: Next question, could you identify the type of uniform the soldiers were wearing if you could see the gunner?

He was in the turret and he put the light and it was not easy to concentrate on these things with my wife....

LT: At this time I am showing him and but apparently he was blinded and could not make out the difference. Final question with the uniforms, one last time, anything that sticks out in your mind...?

My wife was screaming and the Hammers with the light in my face I could recognize the cones and then they entered into Camp. Like I waited for them to pass for like 50 meters to start to evacuate my wife to the hospital to start to drive the vehicle to the hospital. There was no U-turn on the road we both were taking so I had to drive behind them afterwards and so then I saw that they went to then I made the turn to the hospital.

LT: So you are saying that you physically saw the convoy enter Camp.

Because I could not have a U-turn to go to the hospital so I had to drive behind them so I drove with them all the way up then they made the Turn into and I U-turned it back to the hospital.

LT: So, and this happened almost immediately after the shooting at 17:55 in the evening, about that time you are saying that they went into Camp.

Yes, and they didn't even stop to see what was going on.

LT: Next question, right before the shot rang out what was the disposition of the vehicle...where you in the center of the road.....?

You know the road he is talking about is a three lane road, one lane was busy with people parking at the restaurant eating food at the restaurant and he was like the second lane by the first vehicles parking. There were two more lanes they were like three or four other vehicles to be traffic. He was in the middle lane.

LT: When-did you pull away from the restaurant and start driving?

I took the same lane I was in because it was already empty, and he was planning to take the next road after the restaurant which would lead him directly to his family house, there was no reason for me to pull away from the restaurant I took the same lane planning to go but...
LT: When you started driving, and you noticed the vehicles behind you did you any lasers, hear any sirens, did you hear any loud speakers?

The round, the first thing was the round then there was the light and with the light there was the horn.

LT: OK, so your saying that when you were driving there was no warning or anything, no lasers no sirens?

No, but after the round there was the light and the horn.

LT: So did the vehicles, did the HMMWVs did they just suddenly appear... just show up and then the shot was fired? Is that what happened?

Yes....

OK, could repeat the question because I think I got it wrong.

LT: OK, the question was did the HMMWVs give any advance warning that they were there?

There was no one in the street or road at that time and I was checking that was nobody till I moved then I heard the shot.

LT: Can you describe to me the disposition of the vehicle when the bullet impacted? Where was the HMMWVs where was your car on the road?

was like parked.....(the Arabic is louder than the translation here unfortunately) distance between my car and their vehicle was like the distance between here and the other wall.

LT: So about 50 meters behind you?

closer than that

LT: 20 meters? You are saying 20 meters....

yes

LT: Could you briefly describe what happened when the bullet went through?

There was kind of like a smoke and the stuffing inside the seat were all over the vehicle. While I stopped and looked I didn’t think that they shot...I thought there was something wrong with the vehicle. I hear the shot then I heard my wife screaming. I know
what the rules of an engagement and I know how to act when I am downtown-not to look
hostile to the patrol is is not like I would just drive by them not noticing that they are
around or being behind me.

LT: Alright, next question, I know this might be a little bit painful but once your wife was
hit, what did you do and tell me what the convoy did?

[Redacted] She was screaming...I was surprised...like hey what's up? I saw her side was
kind of open like (unable to hear the English for about 3 seconds) so I pulled her to my
chest and I was like putting my hand on the wound. She was calling my name and asking
me to help me like I am going to die I am going to die. So I told her OK let us wait until
they pass, so I started driving and when I waited for them to move another hundred
meters and then I started driving.

LT: OK, so how far did drive down the road before you saw them turn?

[Redacted] I didn't drive I was stopping and looking at them moving until they went to
then I started driving again.

LT: OK, so when you said they went into [Redacted], was this the main gate of [Redacted]
[Redacted] The gate by the bridge, the first gate of [Redacted], he
does not know if it is the main gate or not. It is the one before the bridge.

LT: Could you describe the gate one more time?

[Redacted] Black, slide, big gate. The gate guard of [Redacted] the ING they were worried
about me because I was driving kind of crazy, so fast so I could get her to the hospital as
soon as possible. And they were like wait...then I made the turn and said I need to go the
hospital and they said OK.

LT: How far away were you from the gate?

[Redacted] It is like the distance between here and the front gate (the room we were in and
the Main gate of FOB [Redacted])

LT: So probably like three or four hundred meters up the road......

[Redacted] No, like one hundred one fifty

LT: So, 150 to 200 meters away. Alright, the convoy, once they fired did they stop or
anything like that?

[Redacted] They were driving-they did not even hit the brakes.
LT: What was the time that the convoy entered Camp [redacted].

[redacted] Just like I told you, 1755 hrs and 1800 that was the whole thing. We were doing the break fast thing and that is when the time happened.

LT: Final question was, what hospital did you go to?

[redacted] Hospital

[redacted] The police officer at the hospital was trying to talk to me...usually there is usually police patrol inside hospital to see if people who have gotten shot were involved in bad stuff...and I didn't like answer him respectfully because I was kind of going crazy.

LT: First confirmation question: Can you tell what kind of uniform the soldiers were wearing?

[redacted] No...

LT: No, you can not

[redacted] He can not confirm it.

LT: Can you confirm that you saw the trucks entering Camp [redacted].

[redacted] yes.

LT: Are there any distinct markings, or something that stands out in your mind about the vehicles.

[redacted] Only the two orange cones.

LT: Are there any ING?

[redacted] No, nothing, no IPs nothing

LT: There were no big army trucks....?

[redacted] Only two HMMWVs

LT: When your vehicle was shot, you were traveling down the road towards Camp [redacted]...is that correct? (from North to South)