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The freedom to participate in public life without fear of discrimination, harassment, and violence has been shown to have wide-ranging impacts on health, economic stability, and other key aspects of life. Transgender people, however, are often vulnerable to mistreatment in public spaces, resulting in barriers to civic and economic participation. Transgender people also face high rates of violence, including physical attacks, sexual assault, and intimate partner violence.

Respondents were asked about their experiences in the past year with unequal treatment or service in businesses, government agencies, and other public places (more broadly than just in public accommodations, which are covered in the Places of Public Accommodation and Airport Security chapter), as well their experiences with verbal harassment. They also received questions about experiences with being physically attacked or sexually assaulted in a variety of settings. Finally, they were asked about experiences with intimate partner violence. Questions were informed by several national surveys, including the National Crime Victimization Survey and the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey. Notable differences in respondents’ experiences based on demographic and other characteristics are reported throughout the chapter.
Nearly half (48%) of all respondents in the sample reported being denied equal treatment, verbally harassed, and/or physically attacked in the past year because of being transgender.

- One in seven (14%) respondents reported that they were denied equal treatment or service in a public place in the past year because of being transgender.
- Nearly half (46%) of respondents reported that they were verbally harassed in the past year because of being transgender.
- Nearly one in ten (9%) respondents reported that they were physically attacked in the past year because of being transgender.

Nearly half (47%) of respondents have been sexually assaulted at some point in their lifetime.

One in ten (10%) respondents in the survey were sexually assaulted in the past year.

More than half (54%) of respondents experienced some form of intimate partner violence.

- More than one-third (35%) experienced physical violence by an intimate partner, compared to 30% of the U.S. adult population. Nearly one-quarter (24%) experienced severe physical violence by current or former partner, compared with 18% of the U.S. population.

I. Overall Experiences of Unequal Treatment, Harassment, and Physical Attack

Respondents were asked if they had been denied equal treatment or service, verbally harassed, or physically attacked in the past year for any reason, regardless of whether it happened because they were transgender. This section of the chapter will examine respondents’ overall experiences in the past year, and is followed by separate sections examining denial of equal treatment, verbal harassment, and physical attacks in greater detail.

Fifty-eight percent (58%) of respondents said that they were denied equal treatment or service, verbally harassed, and/or physically attacked in the past year for any reason. Respondents who were currently working in the underground economy, such as sex work, drug sales, or other work that is currently criminalized (82%), and people with disabilities (69%) were more likely to report one or more of these experiences. Middle Eastern (70%), multiracial (70%), and American Indian (69%) respondents were also more likely to report one or more of these experiences (Figure 15.1).
Those who said that others could usually or always tell that they were transgender (66%) were more likely to report having one or more of these experiences because of being transgender, in contrast to those who said that others could rarely or never tell that they were transgender (39%) (Figure 15.2).

Figure 15.2: Denial of equal treatment, verbal harassment, and physical attack in the past year

Respondents who had one or more of these experiences were then asked what they believed the reasons were for that treatment. Eighty-four percent (84%) believed that it happened because of their gender identity or expression. This means that 48% of all respondents in the survey reported that they were denied equal treatment or service, verbally harassed, and/or physically attacked because of being transgender in the past year (Table 15.1).

Table 15.1: Denial of equal treatment, verbal harassment, and physical attack in the past year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Had experience for any reason (% of respondents)</th>
<th>Had experience because of being transgender (% of respondents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denied equal treatment</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbally harassed</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically attacked</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more experiences listed</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearly half (48%) of respondents reported that they were denied equal treatment or service, verbally harassed, and/or physically attacked because of being transgender in the past year. Those who said that others could usually or always tell that they were transgender (66%) were more likely to report having one or more of these experiences because of being transgender, in contrast to those who said that others could rarely or never tell that they were transgender (39%) (Figure 15.2).

Almost three-quarters (73%) of respondents who were currently working in the underground economy reported being denied equal treatment, verbally harassed, and/or physically attacked in the past year because of being transgender (Figure 15.3).
II. Unequal Treatment or Service

Sixteen percent (16%) of respondents were denied equal treatment or service in the year before taking the survey, such as at a place of business, government agency, or other public place, for any reason, regardless of whether it was related to being transgender.

People of color were more likely to have experienced unequal treatment or service. Almost one-third (30%) of American Indian respondents reported being denied equal treatment or service at a public place in the past year. Middle Eastern (23%), multiracial (22%), and Black (20%) respondents also reported higher rates (Figure 15.4). Undocumented residents (39%) were more than twice as likely to have been denied equal treatment or service as those in the overall sample, in contrast to documented non-citizens (20%) and citizens (16%).

Respondents who were denied equal treatment or service were asked what they believed the reasons were for that treatment, and they selected one or more reasons from a list, such as age, race or ethnicity, and gender identity or expression (Table 15.2).

Table 15.2: Reported reasons for denial of equal treatment or service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for experience</th>
<th>% of those denied equal treatment</th>
<th>% of whole sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income level or education</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender identity or expression</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race or ethnicity</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion or spirituality</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fourteen percent (14%) of all respondents said they had been denied equal treatment or service in the past year because of their gender identity or expression.9

Respondents also reported that they had been denied equal treatment or service because of their race or ethnicity. Among people of color, Black (15%), Asian (9%), and multiracial (8%) respondents were
most likely to report being denied equal treatment or service because of their race or ethnicity (Figure 15.5).

**III. Verbal Harassment**

Respondents were asked if anyone had verbally harassed them in the past year for any reason, regardless of whether it was related to being transgender. More than half (54%) reported that they had experienced verbal harassment. Those who were currently working in the underground economy (77%) were more likely to experience verbal harassment. Among people of color, Middle Eastern (67%), multiracial (66%), and American Indian (65%) respondents were more likely to have been verbally harassed in the past year (Figure 15.6).

“When people have tried to grope me in the street or have verbally harassed me, it’s usually either because they see me as a sexual target or because they can’t figure out whether I am a ‘man’ or a ‘woman’ and they think they have the right to demand an explanation.”

“I was sexually assaulted at my university. I was also attacked and stalked. The university didn’t do anything to help me. Instead, it threatened to punish me. I lived in terror the entire time I was on campus. I was denied a rape kit because I was transgender and the police were completely uninterested.”

“I was found in a ditch after being brutally raped for three days. I was taken to an ER. There I met an officer who told me I deserved it for attempting to be a woman and should have died. He also refused to take a report.”

“I was a victim of spousal abuse for over ten years. This grew worse when I transitioned, as [my transition] became an easy justification for verbally, emotionally and physically abusing me.”

“My trans status was used as a tool to [make me] stay with my former partner. She would say things such as ‘no one else would ever love you.’”
Respondents who were verbally harassed were asked what they believed the reasons were for that treatment (Table 15.3).

Table 15.3: Reported reasons for verbal harassment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for experience</th>
<th>% of those verbally harassed</th>
<th>% of whole sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income level or education</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender identity or expression</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race or ethnicity</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion or spirituality</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearly half (46%) of respondents in the overall sample reported they were verbally harassed in the past year because of being transgender.

Among people of color, Black (29%), Asian (27%), Middle Eastern (25%), and multiracial (18%) respondents were most likely to report being verbally harassed because of their race or ethnicity (Figure 15.7).

Figure 15.7: Verbal harassment in the past year because of race or ethnicity RACE/ETHNICITY (%)

Respondents were asked if they had been verbally harassed in public by strangers because of being transgender in the past year. Transgender women of color were more likely to be harassed by strangers because of their gender identity or expression, particularly multiracial (51%) and American Indian (47%) women (Figure 15.8). Those who said that others could always or usually tell that they were transgender, even without being told (55%), were substantially more likely to have been verbally harassed by strangers, in contrast to those who said that people could rarely or never tell that they were transgender (22%).

Figure 15.8: Verbal harassment in public by strangers in the past year among transgender women RACE/ETHNICITY (%)

Thirteen percent (13%) of respondents said that someone had physically attacked them in the past year, such as by grabbing them, throwing something at them, punching them, or using a weapon against them for any reason.

Those who were currently working in the underground economy (41%) were more than three times as likely to report being physically attacked in the past year. Undocumented residents (24%) were almost twice as likely to report being physically attacked. Experiences of physical attack also varied by race and ethnicity, with American Indian (25%), Middle Eastern (25%), and multiracial
(19%) respondents being more likely to report a physically attack in the past year (Figure 15.9).

FIGURE 15.9: PHYSICAL ATTACK FOR ANY REASON IN THE PAST YEAR

The percentage of respondents who reported a physical attack in the past year was highest among American Indian (25%), Middle Eastern (24%), and multiracial (24%) respondents.

Those who had been physically attacked in the past year were asked what they believed the reasons were for that attack (Table 15.4).

TABLE 15.4: REPORTED REASONS FOR PHYSICAL ATTACK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for experience</th>
<th>% of those physically attacked</th>
<th>% of whole sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income level or education</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender identity or expression</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race or ethnicity</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion or spirituality</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nearly one in ten (9%) respondents in the overall sample reported being physically attacked in the past year because of being transgender. American Indian (19%), Middle Eastern (14%), multiracial respondents (12%), and Asian respondents (11%) were more likely to report being attacked because of being transgender (Figure 15.10), as were undocumented residents (23%).

Respondents also reported that they had been physically attacked because of their race or ethnicity. Among people of color, Middle Eastern (6%), American Indian (4%), Black (4%), and Asian (4%) respondents were most likely to report being physically attacked because of their race or ethnicity (Figure 15.11).

Five percent (5%) of respondents in the overall sample were physically attacked in public by strangers because of being transgender.11 Undocumented residents (20%) and respondents currently working in the underground economy (20%) were four times more likely to report this experience than the overall sample. Transgender women of color were also more likely to report this experience, particularly American Indian (19%), Middle Eastern (12%), and multiracial (11%) women.
Respondents who were physically attacked for any reason in the past year were asked how many times they had been attacked. Forty-five percent (45%) were attacked once that year, and 25% were attacked twice. Thirteen percent (13%) were attacked three times, and 16% were attacked four or more times that year (Figure 15.13).

These respondents were also asked to specify how they were attacked. Nearly three-quarters (73%) of those who were physically attacked in the past year reported that someone had grabbed, punched, or choked them. Twenty-nine percent (29%) reported that someone threw an object at them, like a rock or a bottle. Nearly one-third (29%) of those who reported being physically attacked were sexually assaulted.12 (Table 15.5).

Three percent (3%) of respondents who were physically attacked reported being attacked with a gun in the past year. Transgender women of color, particularly Black (11%) and Latina (11%) women, were nearly four times as likely to report that they were attacked with a gun (Figure 15.14). Respondents currently working in the underground economy (10%) were more than three times as likely to have been attacked with a gun, and those whose only source of income was from underground economy work (16%) were more than five times as likely to have been attacked with a gun.
Nearly half (47%) of respondents have been sexually assaulted at some point in their lifetime.

V. Sexual Assault

In addition to questions about being physically attacked in the past year, respondents were asked questions about their experiences with sexual assault during their lifetime and in the past year, informed by questions from the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS).

Nearly half (47%) of respondents have been sexually assaulted at some point in their lifetime. This included any experiences with “unwanted sexual contact, such as oral, genital, or anal contact, penetration, forced fondling, or rape.”

Respondents who have participated in sex work (72%), those who have experienced homelessness (65%), and people with disabilities (61%) were more likely to have been sexually assaulted in their lifetime. Among people of color, American Indian (65%), multiracial (59%), Middle Eastern (58%), and Black (53%) respondents were most likely to have been sexually assaulted in their lifetime (Figure 15.15). Experiences also varied across gender, with transgender men (51%) and non-binary people with female on their original birth certificate (58%) being more likely to have been sexually assaulted, in contrast to transgender women (37%) and non-binary people with male on their original birth certificate (41%) (Figure 15.16). Among transgender men and non-binary people with female on their original birth certificates, rates of sexual assault were higher among people of color, particularly American Indian, Middle Eastern, and multiracial people (Figure 15.17 & Figure 15.18).
Respondents who reported this experience were then asked who had committed the sexual assault. Approximately one-third (34%) of those who were sexually assaulted said that a current or former partner had sexually assaulted them. One-quarter (25%) of sexual assault survivors reported that a relative was the perpetrator. Nearly one-third (30%) of sexual assault survivors reported that a stranger committed the assault (Table 15.6).

Table 15.6: Person who committed sexual assault

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person who committed sexual assault</th>
<th>% of respondents who have been sexually assaulted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A friend or acquaintance</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A partner or ex-partner</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A stranger</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A relative</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A coworker</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A health care provider or doctor</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A teacher or school staff member</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A law enforcement officer</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A boss or supervisor</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A person not listed above</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One in ten (10%) respondents in the survey were sexually assaulted in the past year.17,18 Respondents who were currently working in the underground economy (36%) were more than three times as likely to have been sexually assaulted in the past year.

VI. Intimate Partner Violence

a. Overall Intimate Partner Violence

Respondents who reported ever having had a romantic or sexual partner received questions about their experiences with harm involving a current or former intimate partner, including physical, emotional, or financial harm, many of which were based on questions in the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS).19 Such acts of harm as described in the survey are defined as “intimate partner violence.”20 Overall, more than half (54%) of all respondents experienced some form of intimate partner violence in their lifetime. Over three-quarters (77%) of respondents who have done sex work and nearly three-quarters (72%) of those who have been homeless experienced intimate partner violence. Undocumented residents (68%), people with disabilities (61%), and people of color, including American Indian (73%), multiracial (62%), and Middle Eastern (62%) respondents, were also more likely to report this experience (Figure 15.19).
b. Intimidation, Emotional, and Financial Harm

Respondents received two sets of questions covering a range of experiences with intimate partner violence. The first set of questions involved experiences with coercive control, including intimidation, emotional and financial harm, and physical harm to others who were important to respondents. Sixteen percent (16%) of respondents reported that they had been stalked, compared to 6% in the U.S. population.21 One in four (25%) respondents were told that they were not a “real” woman or man by a partner, 23% were kept from seeing or talking to family or friends, and 15% were kept from leaving the house when they wanted to go (Table 15.7).

Overall, nearly half (44%) of respondents in the sample experienced some form of intimate partner violence involving coercive control, including intimidation, emotional, and financial harm. Experience with this type of intimate partner violence differed by race, with American Indian (66%), Middle Eastern (56%), and multiracial (51%) respondents reporting higher rates of these experiences (Figure 15.20). Respondents who have done sex work (66%), have experienced homelessness (62%), or were undocumented (60%) were also more likely to have experienced intimate partner violence of this form.
c. Intimate Partner Violence Involving Physical Harm

Respondents received additional questions about experiences of intimate partner violence involving physical harm inflicted on them (Table 15.8).

Table 15.8: Intimate partner violence involving physical harm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of intimate partner violence</th>
<th>% of USTS respondents</th>
<th>% in U.S. population (NISVS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pushed or shoved</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slapped</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Made threats to physically harm them</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced them to engage in sexual activity</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hit them with a fist or something hard</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slammed them against something</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurt them by pulling their hair</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicked</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tried to hurt them by choking or suffocating them</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beat them</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used a knife or gun against them</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burned them on purpose</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any physical violence</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any severe physical violence</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more experiences listed</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, 42% of all survey respondents reported experiencing some form of intimate partner violence involving physical harm, including the threat of physical violence, over their lifetime. Respondents who have done sex work (67%) or who have experienced homelessness (61%) were more likely to report intimate partner violence involving physical harm, as were undocumented (59%), American Indian (61%), multiracial (54%), and Middle Eastern (49%) respondents (Figure 15.22).

Furthermore, more than a quarter (27%) of survey respondents reported acts of coercive control related to their transgender status, including being told that they were not a “real” woman or man, threatened with being “outed” by revealing their transgender status, or prevented from taking their hormones. Transgender women of color, including American Indian (57%) and multiracial (39%) women, were more likely to report acts of harm related to their transgender status (Figure 15.21).
More than one-third (35%) experienced some form of physical violence by an intimate partner, as defined by the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey, compared to 30% of the U.S. adult population. Moreover, nearly one-quarter (24%) of respondents reported having experienced severe physical violence from a partner, compared to 18% in the U.S. population.

Conclusion

The findings indicated that respondents faced high levels of unequal treatment, harassment, and physical attacks in the past year, with higher rates of these experiences reported among people of color, respondents currently working in the underground economy, and those who reported that others can tell that they are transgender. Respondents also experienced high rates of sexual assault in their lifetime and in the past year, and were more likely than the U.S. population to experience physical intimate partner violence. People of color and undocumented residents were more likely to report experiences of sexual assault and intimate partner violence, as were respondents who have worked in the underground economy or who have experienced homelessness.
Respondents were asked if they had ever “experienced sexual violence, stalking, and intimate partner violence victimization—National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey, United States, 2011. MMWR, 63(8). Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/ss/ss6308.pdf.

People with disabilities” here refers to respondents who identified as a person with a disability in Q. 2.20.

Respondents were asked to select all the reasons that applied to their experience.

The survey included both “transgender status/gender identity” and “gender expression/appearance” as answer choices so that respondents could select what they felt best represented their experience. Because there was a substantial overlap of respondents who selected both reasons, and because these terms are commonly used interchangeably or with very similar meanings, responses of those who selected one or both of these reasons are collapsed for reporting as “gender identity or expression.”

Only respondents who reported that they were verbally harassed because of their transgender status, gender identity, gender expression, or appearance received this question (Q. 17.6), which asked: “In the past year, did strangers verbally harass you in public because of your trans status, gender identity, or gender expression?” Results are reported out of the full sample.

Only respondents who reported that they were physically attacked because of their transgender status, gender identity, gender expression, or appearance received this question (Q. 17.10), which asked: “In the past year, did strangers physically attack you in public because of your trans status, gender identity, or gender expression?” Results are reported out of the full sample.

In Q. 17.8, respondents were asked if they were physically attacked with “unwanted sexual contact (such as rape, attempted rape, being forced to penetrate).”

Q.18.1 asked if respondents had ever “experienced unwanted sexual contact, such as oral, genital, or anal contact, penetration, forced fondling, or rape.” Breiding et al. See note 6.

Respondents were asked if they had ever “experienced unwanted sexual contact, such as oral, genital, or anal contact, penetration, forced fondling, or rape” in Q. 18.1. This definition of sexual assault encompassed several categories of sexual violence as outlined in the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS). See note 16.

Due to differences between Q. 18.1 and the NISVS questions about sexual violence, a direct comparison to the U.S. population was not feasible for this report. However, as context for USTS respondents’ experience with sexual assault, NISVS findings indicate that an estimated 11% of adults in the U.S. population have been raped in their lifetime, 19% have experienced unwanted sexual contact, 10% have experienced sexual coercion, and 4% were forced to penetrate someone. Breiding et al. See note 6. The figures for the prevalence of sexual violence during one’s lifetime in the U.S. population were calculated by the research team to present a combined percentage for the experiences of men and women using 2011 data from the NISVS, as reported by the Centers for Disease Control. Since NISVS respondents could report experiences with multiple forms of sexual violence, an NISVS respondent’s experiences could be reflected in several categories of sexual violence. The research team was unable to avoid double counting respondents who reported more than one experience in the NISVS, and therefore, were unable to combine the percentages of NISVS respondents who experienced any form of sexual violence to match the broader USTS category of “unwanted sexual contact,” and make a direct comparison. Therefore, findings for the U.S. population in regard to rape, unwanted sexual contact, sexual coercion, and being forced to penetrate are presented separately, and comparisons between the NISVS and USTS findings should be interpreted with caution.

The 10% rate of sexual assault in the past year reported in this section was based on Q. 18.3. This differs from the rate of sexual assault in the past year reported in the “Physical Attack” section of this chapter (4%), which was based on Q. 17.8. This difference is likely due to the number of respondents in the sample who received each question based on skip-logic patterns. While all respondents in the sample received Q. 18.3, a limited number of respondents received Q. 17.8 based on their answer to Q. 17.3. Respondents who indicated that they had been physically attacked in Q. 17.3, received a follow-up question asking how they were physically attacked (Q. 17.8), which included an answer choice of “unwanted sexual contact.” Those respondents who did not identify their experience of unwanted sexual contact as a form of physical attack would not have received the follow-up question regarding the method of the attack, if they had not reported another form of physical attack. Additionally, the difference in reporting may partly result from the more inclusive examples of unwanted sexual contact provided in Q. 18.3 (“such as oral, genital, or anal contact, penetration, forced fondling, or rape”), in contrast to the definition of unwanted sexual contact in Q. 17.8 (“such as rape, attempted rape, being forced to penetrate”).
Due to differences between Q. 18.3 (sexual assault in the past year) and the NISVS questions about sexual violence, a direct comparison to the U.S. population was not feasible for this report. However, as context for USTS respondents’ experience with sexual assault, NISVS findings indicate that an estimated 1.9% of adults in the U.S. population experienced unwanted sexual contact in the past year and an estimated 1.7% experienced sexual coercion in the past year. These figures were calculated by the research team to present a combined percentage for the experiences of men and women using 2011 data from the NISVS. Additionally, an estimated 1.6% of women were raped in the past year. Due to the small number of men who reported being raped in the past year, a reliable estimate was not available for men. An estimated 1.7% of men were forced to penetrate a perpetrator in the past year, while the number of women who were forced to penetrate a perpetrator was too low to produce a reliable estimate. Breiding et al. See note 6. Since NISVS respondents could report experiences with multiple forms of sexual violence, an NISVS respondent’s experiences could be reflected in several categories of sexual violence. The research team was unable to avoid double counting respondents who reported more than one experience in the NISVS, and therefore, were unable to combine the percentages of NISVS respondents who experienced any form of sexual violence to match the broader USTS category of “unwanted sexual contact,” and make a direct comparison. Therefore, findings for the U.S. population in regard to rape, unwanted sexual contact, sexual coercion, and being forced to penetrate are presented separately, and comparisons between the NISVS and USTS findings should be interpreted with caution.

See Q. 19.2 and Q. 19.3 for a list of acts described as forms of intimate partner violence.

The NISVS measure for “any physical violence” includes all of the actions listed in Table 15.8, except for forced sexual activity and threats of physical violence.

The figures for the prevalence of intimate partner violence involving physical violence and/or severe physical violence in the U.S. population was calculated by the research team to present a combined percentage for the experiences of men and women using 2011 data from the NISVS, as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. See Breiding et al. See note 6.

According to the NISVS, “severe physical violence” includes being hurt by having one’s hair pulled, being hit with a fist or something hard, kicked, slammed against something, choked or suffocated, beaten, burned, or attacked with a knife or gun.
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INTRODUCTION

Since 1996, the National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs has released national research reports on the various ways that lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) and HIV-affected communities experience hate violence. Within these reports, NCAVP shares information about anti-LGBTQ homicides for the previous year. However, in just August of 2017, NCAVP has recorded the highest number of anti-LGBTQ homicides in our 20-year history of tracking this information.

NCAVP decided to issue this report early in hopes that it will raise awareness of the crisis of fatal violence against LGBTQ and HIV affected communities. NCAVP hopes that this sharing this information now will encourage people to reject anti-LGBTQ bias whenever it occurs, and to resist any hateful rhetoric or policies put forward by this administration or by legislators.

We are releasing this report at a time where neo-Nazis, white supremacists, and white nationalists marched through the streets of Charlottesville, Virginia shouting anti-Black, anti-Semitic and anti-LGBTQ chants, and within the context of a growing national conversation about the escalation of hate violence against so many marginalized communities.

The time for addressing this crisis of violence is now.
KEY FINDINGS OF ANTI-LGBTQ HOMICIDES IN 2017

As of August 23rd, 2017, NCAVP has recorded reports of 36 hate violence related homicides of LGBTQ and HIV affected people, the highest number ever recorded by NCAVP. This number represents a 29% increase in single incident reports from 2016. So far in 2017, there has been nearly one homicide a week of an LGBTQ person in the U.S.

- The victims of these hate violence related homicides have overwhelmingly been transgender women and queer, bi, or gay cisgender men.
- There was a significant increase of reports of homicides of queer, bi, or gay cisgender men, from 4 reports in 2016 to 17 reports in 2017.
- For the last five years NCAVP has documented a consistent and steadily rising number of reports of homicides of transgender women of color, which continued into 2017.
- In August of 2017, NCAVP has already collected information on 19 hate-violence related homicides of transgender and gender non-conforming people this year, compared to 19 reports for the entire year of 2016.
  - 16 of these homicides were of transgender women of color.
- Of the total number of homicides in 2017, 75% of the victims were people of color: 20 (56%) of the victims were Black, 3 were Latinx, 2 were Asian, and 1 was Native. Additionally, 9 (25%) of the victims were white and 1 victim’s racial and ethnic identity is unknown to NCAVP at this time.
  - 64% of the victims were under the age of 35.
  - Guns were used in 47% of the total number of homicides thus far in 2017.
  - New York and Texas had the most anti-LGBTQ homicides, with 5 victims from each state.
A perfect storm of hate: These Republican bills in Arkansas would make it ‘illegal to be transgender’

Arkansas Republican state legislator Mickey Gates is determined to limit transgender access to public bathrooms

NICO LANG
The clock is ticking on a trio of bills that LGBT advocates in Arkansas claim would make it effectively “illegal to be transgender” in the state.

Republicans have until March 31, which marks the end of the 2017 legislative session, to pass House Bill 1986, Senate Bill 774 and House Bill 1894 before these proposals are tabled for the year. SB 774, known as the **Arkansas Physical Privacy and Safety Act**, is similar to North Carolina’s **controversial HB 2**. It forces trans people in the state to use public restrooms that correspond to the gender listed on their birth certificate when entering government buildings and other entities owned by the state. That legislation is currently awaiting a vote by the Senate Judiciary Committee.
Claiming it “sets a baseline for privacy,” the bill’s author, Sen. Linda Collins-Smith, defended SB 774 on Monday. “The bill truly is about all people, all dignity — making sure all areas are safe and feel comfortable,” she told press at the state’s capitol building.

That bill has been opposed by the Little Rock Convention and Visitors Bureau, which has warned that legalizing discrimination could trigger massive economic backlash in the state. After passing HB 2 exactly a year ago, North Carolina has lost an estimated $600 million in revenue following boycotts from PayPal and Deutsche Bank, which relocated scheduled expansions that cost the state hundreds of jobs. The National Basketball Association pulled the All-Star Game from Charlotte, which would have been held in the city in February, and the league has claimed that the state will forfeit its hosting privileges until HB 2 is repealed.

The bathroom bill, though, is just the tip of the iceberg. Further legislation being pushed by conservative lawmakers threatens to target trans individuals by making it extraordinarily difficult to be in public at all.

Often referred to as the “bathroom bill lite,” HB 1986 actually goes further than the Physical Privacy and Safety Act by allowing individuals to bring charges against trans people for “indecent exposure.” Such actions are already a crime under Arkansas law, but HB 1986 would expand existing law on the subject. The bill defines indecent exposure as an instance in which an individual “knowingly exposes his or her sex organs to a person of the opposite biological sex: (A) In a public place or in public view; or (B) Under circumstances in which the person could reasonably believe the conduct is likely to cause affront or alarm.”
“That bill would have a huge impact on the entire trans community,” Miller said. “If a transgender man has top surgery, his chest could be viewed as a sexual organ, according to the language used on the bill. Anywhere his chest is in public view — like at a public pool or going to a spa — he could be in violation of the law and be arrested.”

Under HB 1986, trans people could face a hefty fine, as well as jail time, if another individual feels that the alleged assailant has exposed themselves in a way that would cause “affront or alarm.” If convicted of indecent exposure, transgender folks in the state would be subjected to a $2,500 penalty, in addition to a maximum sentence of a year in prison. Multiple charges dramatically escalate the severity of punishment. Should a trans person be found guilty of the crime four or five times in a 10-year span, that carries a Class D felony charge of six years behind bars, as well as a $10,000 fine. After one’s fifth conviction, the sentence is 10 years.

To make matters worse, Rae Nelson of the Marsha P. Johnson Institute pointed out that there are a number of ways in which transgender people could be singled out under the law.

For instance, Nelson recently went on a road trip to North Carolina with some trans friends. The group stopped off at a public restroom, and a member of her party, who is a transgender man, wanted to use the men’s facilities. But there was a major problem: Nelson explained that the “gap between the stalls was too wide.” Given that a survey from UCLA’s The Williams Institute showed that 60 percent of trans people have experienced harassment in public restrooms, it was a risk he was unwilling to take.

“If there was even a chance of a person being able to catch a glimpse of him in there, he didn’t want to take it,” said Nelson, who sits on the board of the trans advocacy organization.

But if the Arkansas law is passed, getting a “glimpse” of a transgender person using the facilities in a facility they are legally not allowed to be in would constitute a crime. HB 1986 was already given approval by the state’s House of Representatives, who pushed it through by a 65-3 vote, and it awaits a vote in the Senate.
A third anti-trans bill has been reintroduced after being voted down by the House Committee on Public Health, Welfare, and Labor earlier this month. HB 1894 would bar transgender people from amending their birth certificates to match their gender identity. “If I decided I don’t want to be white, well, do I get to pick my race?” asked Representative Mickey Gates, who authored the legislation, warning during legislative debate that the bill is necessary to prevent a slippery slope of trans individuals identifying in any way they so choose, even as a different ethnicity or a different age. “What happens if you have a guy and he’s 24 years old and he decides he wants to be a 14-year-old guy so that he can have sex with a 14-year-old girl? Will that not be rape?”

“But amending your birth certificate is already extremely difficult under existing state law. In order to do so, current Arkansas guidelines state that a transgender person must have a court order from a judge, as well as a letter from their physician stating that the individual has completed surgical transition. Gender confirmation surgery is an expensive, time-consuming process that many will not be able to afford. Transgender people, especially trans women of color, face the highest poverty rates of any group in the U.S.

Should this bill become the law of the land, it would be next to impossible for any trans person in Arkansas to escape the aforementioned cycle of legal harassment and criminalization. Gwen Fry, president of the Arkansas Transgender Equality Coalition, argued that these bills are an attempt to “legislate the trans community out of existence.”
Miller particularly noted the impact that these pieces of legislation — which he said are the first of their kind ever introduced in Arkansas — would have on transgender youth in the state. HB 1984, the HB 2-style bathroom bill, specifically calls out facility use in state-owned buildings. That would force trans students in public schools to use restrooms and locker rooms that do not correspond with their gender identity. Transgender individuals experience a disproportionately high rate of suicide attempts, especially among young people, and this would only make the trauma they already face even worse.

“One in six trans youth have been sexually assaulted in schools that do not have trans-friendly bathroom policies,” Miller claimed. “One in three have been physically assaulted.”

Arkansas isn’t the only state to consider legislation targeting trans rights. The Texas House of Representatives is set to hear arguments on SB 6 after the bathroom bill, which has been opposed by companies like Google, Microsoft, Amazon and Hilton, passed the state’s Senate by a vote of 20 to 10. Although Lieutenant Governor Dan Patrick is an enthusiastic supporter of the bill, House Speaker Joe Straus has warned it would be bad for the state’s economy. The Texas Association of Business estimated the state could lose up to $8.5 billion as a result.

Miller felt that striking down Arkansas’ anti-trans bills was imperative in a year where at least eight transgender women have already been murdered. The LGBT advocate, who frequently visits the legislature to help inform lawmakers on the issues, is disheartened that these sobering numbers have yet to change the hearts and minds of the bills’ proponents.

“They would rather see you die than go to a damn restroom,” Miller claimed.

MORE NICO LANG.