Declaration of Dr. James Unnever

1. My name is James Unnever, of Sarasota, Florida. I am a recently-retired Professor of Criminology at the University of South Florida Sarasota-Manatee, where I worked from 2007 to 2020. Prior to that, among other prior positions, I was a Professor of Criminology at Radford University, in Virginia for over two decades. I began my career in academia after receiving my Ph.D. in sociology from Duke University in 1980. I review my educational and professional background in further detail in my attached curriculum vitae. See Exhibit A.

2. Throughout my four-decade career, I dedicated my teaching, researching, writing and publishing of academic articles to the rigorous study and exploration of criminology, race, racism, and crime. This includes research on public opinion concerning the death penalty, how racism and other factors influence death penalty opinions, and racial discrimination and crime.

3. My research methodology has involved quantitative and statistical analysis. As described further in my C.V., I have published in peer-reviewed academic journals regularly from 1980 to 2020. I have also peer-reviewed the writings of others submitted for publication in academic journals. These reviews provide the scientific scrutiny and vetting needed before a journal article may be published and distributed as valid scientific research.

4. I have been asked to opine as an expert on four questions:
   a. is there a racial divide in death-penalty attitudes between Black and white Americans?
   b. if so, what explains the divide?
   c. looking at American views about the death penalty in the aggregate, how have they changed, if at all, since the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *Lockart v. McCree*, 478 U.S. 162 (1986)?¹ and
   d. what role does religiosity and denomination by religion play in support for the death penalty?

      I set out my answers to these questions below. I have been asked if I would testify in an evidentiary hearing. The answer is yes. I would testify as set out below, based on the reasoning, studies, and other data set out below.

1 This decision by the U.S. Supreme Court rejected a constitutional challenge to the process of death qualification whereby persons opposed to the death penalty (or substantially impaired in their ability to consider the death penalty) are excused from capital juries for cause.
IS THERE A RACIAL DIVIDE IN DEATH PENALTY VIEWS?

5. With respect to the first question – is there a racial divide in death-penalty attitudes between Black and white Americans – my answer is unequivocally yes. Death penalty views divide along race, with Black persons significantly more likely to oppose the death penalty than white persons. In fact, this persistent divide is one of the most robust and consistent findings in all of social science. I next explain the bases for my opinion.

6. I have published repeatedly on the issue of public opinion concerning the death penalty, and how those opinions vary by race. This includes but is not limited to:

   - “Race, Racism, and Support for Capital Punishment.” Crime and Justice 37:45-96 (Unnever, James D., Francis T. Cullen, and Cheryl L. Jonson, 2008);

7. These studies analyze public opinion on the death penalty through the use of reliable and reputable public polling. This may be defined as polling that uses scientific sampling and has complete transparency in methods (including who sponsored a poll, dates of interviews, method of obtaining opinions, size of sample and subsamples, complete wording of questions asked, and percentages on which conclusions are based). The polls I rely on are only from reliable and valid polling organizations such as the General Social Survey (GSS) conducted by National Opinion Research Center, and the Gallup and Harris Polls.

8. As I describe in further detail below, public opinion surveys have consistently shown, over decades, a racial divide in support for the death penalty. Support for the death penalty is consistently significantly higher among white respondents than Black respondents.

9. For example, in "Race, Racism, and Support for Capital Punishment." Crime and Justice 37:45-96, my 2008 article (Exhibit B), my coauthors and I noted that research going back to 1982 had documented this divide. See "Race and Capital Punishment: A Longitudinal Analysis." Phylon 43(4):350-59 (Combs, Michael W., and John C. Comer. 1982).

10. In this 2008 article, we reviewed available reliable polling for the years 1974 to 2004. Table One of this article sets out as follows the percentage of people, white and African American respectively, who answered the Gallup Poll question: "Are you in favor of the death penalty for a person convicted of murder?":

    ```
    | Year | White | African American |
    |------|-------|------------------|
    | 1974 | 60%   | 40%              |
    | 2004 | 70%   | 30%              |
    ```
TABLE 1
Percent Support for Capital Punishment by Race:
Gallup Polls, 1972–2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>African American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972*</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985*</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986–88*</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991–94*</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995–99</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000*</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001*</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002*</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003*</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–5*</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006*</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCES.—Personal communication from Lydia Saad (May 1, 2007); see also Saad (2007).

NOTE.—Question asked in the Gallup Poll: “Are you in favor of the death penalty for a person convicted of murder?” The polling data are averaged because either more than one poll was undertaken within a given year or small samples required using polls conducted across years or both.

* Averaged score for a given year or across years.

As we noted in the article, “[a]cross all years represented in table 1, the average percentage supporting the death penalty has been 71.8 percent for whites and 44.2 percent for African Americans, a difference of 27.6 percentage points.” Ibid. at 55.

11. Likewise, Figure One of the article depicted the divide between Black and white respondents in their answers to essentially the same question by the General Social Surveys, as follows:
As we explained, on average, these data indicate that 77.5 percent of whites over the years supported the death penalty compared with 49.3 percent of African Americans. "Ibid. at 54.

12. Harris Polling data we reviewed in this article was consistent as well. The respondents were asked, "Do you believe in capital punishment, that is, the death penalty, or are you opposed to it?" The percentages of whites and Blacks supporting capital punishment were as follows: 1999, 77 percent versus 39 percent; 2000, 71 percent versus 36 percent; 2001, 73 percent versus 46 percent; and 2003, 74 percent versus 40 percent. Across these four years, the gap between whites and African Americans is 33.5 percentage points (73.8 percent vs. 40.3 percent)."
13. More recent polls reflect this same stark persistent racial divide. In 2021, the Pew Research Center conducted polling on the death penalty with 5,109 respondents. See Exhibit C. This poll is the same type of valid, reliable, reputable, and transparent poll I have relied on in my published research. The poll asked whether “Black people are more likely than Whites to receive the death penalty for being convicted of similar crimes”

a. 85% of Black respondents answered yes – Black people are more likely than Whites to receive the death penalty for similar crimes.

b. Only 49% of white respondents answered yes.

14. The poll also measured support for the death penalty, by asking, similar to above, whether respondents favored it for murder. 63% of white respondents answered yes, but only 49% of Black respondents. Today, the racial divide in support for the death penalty remains as entrenched as ever.

EXPLAINING THE RACIAL DIVIDE

15. I have been asked for my opinion on what explains the racial divide in the death penalty. In "Reassessing the Racial Divide in Support for Capital Punishment." Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency 44:124-158 (Unnever, James D., Francis T. Cullen, 2007), we examined just that question. See Exhibit D.

16. We considered two hypotheses. As we explained:

a. "One perspective sees race as a 'master status'; that, in the area of the death penalty, creates an unbridgeable cleavage between African Americans and Whites. For Whites, capital punishment has little racial symbolism and is merely another sanction in efforts to do justice and control crime. For African Americans, however, the state’s use of lethal force to punish holds special significance as a sanction that historically has been applied unfairly to and used to control members of their racial group. Accordingly, race trumps other social characteristics and explains why African Americans will be, for the foreseeable future, less supportive of the death penalty. In short, the master status thesis predicts an enduring racial divide over the policy of capital punishment." Ibid. at 126.

17. This first hypothesis was supported by the literature reviewed at length in this article, explaining that “with the passage of the Thirteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution ending slavery, the social control of African Americans was transferred officially to local criminal justice systems and unofficially to vigilante mobs.” Our Nation’s history of lynching, targeting disproportionately Black people, caused profound fear in the Black community, which did not abate when the number of lynchings decreased “because they were supplanted by a more palatable form of violence—state executions. Capital punishment was known by Southern leaders to serve similarly to "lynchings—[to] control and intimidate[e] . . . African Americans.
Indeed, court-ordered executions were considered by both White and Black southerners as ‘legal lynchings.’” Ibid. at 128. Finally, this viewpoint spread from the South throughout the country with the Great Migration. Ibid. at 128-29.

18. In short, our first hypothesis was “that the legacy of past racial conflicts—particularly those that included racially motivated lynchings, the racist application of the death penalty, and the racist support of government officials—has profoundly affected the attitudes of African Americans toward the death penalty.” Ibid. at 129. Stated otherwise, “the historical record provides ample reason why African Americans should have serious reservations about trusting the government’s use of the death penalty.” Ibid. at 130.

19. In our 2007 article, we called the second hypothesis the “spurious/social convergence” thesis. It contends that “the racial gap in opinions is not enduring but will narrow to the extent that African Americans come to share similar social characteristics with White Americans who tend to support capital punishment.” Ibid. at 126. Under this thesis, the racial divide in death penalty attitudes is spurious and can be attributed to alternative factors, such as liberal political attitudes more predominant in Black communities. Further, at least some categories of African Americans would converge with whites in their death penalty attitudes, such as African Americans who are affluent, politically conservative, religious fundamentalists, trust the government more, or live outside of the South (given the South's history outlined above).

20. We investigated these two theses by looking to respondents’ answers in the GSS surveys, which track all of the variables set out above, as well as death-penalty views. The results were telling. As explained in greater detail in the article, all of the factors listed in paragraph 19 correlated with increased support for the death penalty for both Black and white populations, except for embracing fundamentalist religious beliefs, which increased white support but decreased Black support for the death penalty, actually widening the divide. But even the effects universally associated with increased support for capital punishment for death penalty did little to bridge the divide: support for the death penalty among African Americans who are affluent, trusting of the government, or conservative, remained far below that of whites with similar views. We concluded that the firmness of the racial divide suggested roots “in African Americans’ shared history of racial oppression – epitomized by the use of lynchings as a mechanism of racial control in the South—that causes Blacks generally to be wary of the use of lethal action by the state.” Ibid. at 148. And indeed, the data from the GSS survey further showed that being a native Southerner increased the racial divide on death penalty views.

21. In “Executing the Innocent and Support for Capital Punishment: Implications for Public Policy.” Criminology & Public Policy 4:3-37 (2005) (Unnever, James D. and Francis T. Cullen), my co-author and I explored another, and related, basis for the racial divide. Examining answers from 2003 Gallup polling, we found that “one-fourth (29%) of the racial divide in support for capital punishment can be attributed to
differences in the degree to which African Americans and whites believe that innocent people have been executed and the death penalty is applied unfairly.” And, separately, we found that, controlling for other variables, African Americans were twice as likely to believe innocent people had been executed.

22. In my book with co-author and researcher Shaun L. Gabbidon, A Theory of African American Offending: Race, Racism, and Crime (Taylor & Francis Group, 2011), we explored similar themes that related to both the historic distrust set out above and current concerns about the criminal justice system’s lack of fairness reliability. The book represents an attempt to analyze increased rates of criminal offending in African American communities and the need for a Black criminology.

23. It begins by investigating the “unique lived experiences” of African Americans "not shared by whites or other minorities." Ibid. at 4. They progressed from slavery and the brutal and inhumane violence used to maintain it, to the collective acts of terrorism that followed the Reconstruction Era, including that “from the very beginning law enforcement officials were either directly involved in or turned a blind eye to [] terrorist activities carried out against African Americans.” Ibid. at 5. During the first half of the 20th century, police brutality against the African American community remained commonplace and the death penalty for rape was reserved almost exclusively for Black men. Ibid. This was followed by residential segregation and the isolated concentration of African Americans into the most disadvantaged neighborhoods resulting in urban unrest throughout the U.S. during the 1960's. The National Guard patrolled Black neighborhoods, often with armed vehicles. The era of police violence against Black men, increasingly captured on video in today’s era of smart phones, followed. Considering the evidence, the history, and a review of prior literature exploring these issues, we argued “that the distrust of the criminal justice system among African Americans is a salient, if not a defining, aspect of the worldview shared by” this community. Ibid. at 64.

24. Professor Gabbidon and I tested the question whether African Americans, as a group, embraced similar attitudes about race in research published in “Do Blacks Speak with One Voice? Immigrants, Public Opinions, and Perceptions of Criminal Injustices.” Justice Quarterly. (4) 32:680-704. See Exhibit E. In particular, we sought to determine if Blacks “embrace similar attitudes across a variety of domains including whether they perceive the police and the criminal justice system as racist, whether there is discrimination in housing and jobs, attitudes toward immigration and illegal immigrants, and whether they perceive the USA to be an open class system.” We tested two hypotheses analyzing a 2008 Gallup Poll.

25. First, we hypothesized that Black viewpoints on these matters would hold steady regardless of demographic measures that traditionally predicted difference in white public opinion but only sometimes in Black opinion, including age, sex, income, social class, and political ideology. Second, we hypothesized that Black Americans born outside of the U.S. would differ in their opinions.
26. The results supported both hypotheses. With respect to the first question, we found that there is no one point of cleavage – a factor that consistently divides Black opinion on race-related issues from white opinion. The data, we found, supported (although not perfectly) the contention that most African Americans share a "race consciousness" on matters related to race. With respect to the second question, immigrant Blacks did not share the same worldview permeating the population of U.S.-born Blacks. Most significantly, the foreign-born group did not fully share the galvanizing worldview of the majority of U.S.-born Black Americans that the police and criminal justice system are racist. The foreign-born group was significantly less likely to embrace the attitude that the criminal justice system treats white and Black persons unequally, but shared the worldview that police are racially biased.

27. For the purposes of the issues in this litigation, which I understand to involve a challenge to death qualification, the core takeaway is that there are no discernible variations in the Black community on attitudes concerning race and the criminal justice system. Having taken those measures, we found no significant differences among the U.S.-born African American community, including with respect to factors that traditionally do shape public opinion on these matters. This supports my ultimate opinion that the experiences in the African American community set out above are the drivers of their increased opposition to the death penalty.

28. Public polling data since my last publications continue to support this same conclusion. It is my opinion that death-penalty views differ by race not only because of the disparate histories of Black and white populations, but also due to disparate views on race and the criminal justice system more generally. As we showed in the 2008 article discussed above by reference to numerous past studies, “Compared with whites, African Americans are more likely to believe that they are treated inequitably by criminal justice officials and by the courts at sentencing. Indeed, even with a range of factors controlled, race is a robust predictor of perceptions of injustice.”

29. For this reason, Pew's recent 2019 poll on race is relevant to the questions at issue in this declaration. 6,637 panelists responded to this poll. See Exhibit F. The poll asked: “Are Blacks treated less fairly than whites by the criminal justice system?”

   a. 87% of Black respondents answered yes.
   b. But only 61% of white respondents did.

30. It similarly asked: “Are Blacks treated less fairly in dealing with police?”

   a. 84% of Black respondents answered yes.
   b. But only 63% of white respondents did.

31. The poll also asked whether Blacks are treated less fairly than whites in other contexts, including in hiring, pay and promotions, loan application processes, in stores or restaurants, when voting, and when seeking medical treatment. While the percentage of Black respondents answering yes (Black people are treated less fairly) was significantly higher than white in each of these areas, the highest percentages of
Black respondents who endorsed unfairness were, as reviewed above, in the treatment of Black people in the criminal justice (87%) system and in dealing with police (84%). Because distrust in the government, and in particular in the criminal justice system, predicts opposition to the death penalty, these more recent data continue to support my opinion that the Black belief that the criminal justice system is racially biased drives this group’s proportionally greater opposition to the death penalty.

32. So far, I have explained the Black side of the racial divide. My research has also explored the white side of the divide. We explored this question in “The Racial Divide in Support for the Death Penalty: Does White Racism Matter?” Social Forces 85:1281-1301 (Unnever, James, D. and Francis T. Collen, 2007). See Exhibit G. See also Exhibit B.

33. We found that on in our research and within studies based on data collected by various polling organizations and using various measures of racial prejudice among whites that racial animosity towards Blacks is one of the most robust and consistent predictors of support for the death penalty. Indeed, the only other variable that was as robust as racial animosity in increasing support for the death penalty among whites was their political ideology. In another study Exhibit G), we drew on data from the 2000 National Election Study to investigate the potential role of white racism in white support for capital punishment. After delineating a measure of white racism, we explored its role in the racial divide in support for the death penalty. We reached four conclusions. First, white racism significantly predicted white support for the death penalty. The more whites expressed animus toward Blacks the greater their support for the death penalty. Second, white racism contributed significantly to the racial divide. Third, white racism accounted for approximately a third of the racial divide in public support for the death penalty. Fourth, nevertheless, the racial divide in support for capital punishment persisted after the effect of white racism was controlled. In sum, the research indicates that the whites who may be death penalty qualified are the ones most likely to harbor the deepest racial resentments toward Blacks.

CHANGES IN PUBLIC OPINION ON THE DEATH PENALTY SINCE 1986

34. Setting aside the issue of the aggregation bias that hides the difference between Black and white support for the death penalty, this is an easy question to answer for the American public as a whole. The polling reveals, in the nearly forty years since 1986, a steady decrease in support of the death penalty. As described, in the 2020 Pew Research Poll report I discuss above, “support for the death penalty declined substantially between the late 1990s and the 2010s.” Gallup Data tells the same story and is illustrated below in this paste from the publication Gallup, Death Penalty, https://news.gallup.com/poll/1606/death-penalty.aspx. See also Exhibit H (Gallup Death Penalty Report). As the table below reviews, support for the death penalty plummeted from 70% in 1986 to 55% in 2022, while opposition ballooned nearly double during that same period from 22 percent to 42%. Gallup polling meets the
standards of validity, reliability, and transparence I discussed below, and it comports with other polling on this same topic.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Not in Favor</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022 Oct 3-20</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 Oct 1-19</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Sep 30-Oct 15</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Oct 1-13</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Oct 1-10</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Oct 5-11</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Oct 5-9</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Oct 7-11</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Oct 12-15</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Oct 3-5</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Dec 19-22</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 Oct 6-9</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Oct 7-10*</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 Oct 1-4</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 Oct 3-5</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 Oct 4-7</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 Oct 9-12</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 Oct 5-7*</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 Oct 13-16</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002 Oct 11-14</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 Oct 6-9</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 Oct 19-21</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999 Oct 14-17</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 Oct 11-14</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997 Oct 19-21*</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996 Aug 28-Sep 5</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995 Jun 23-25</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994 Jun 14-16</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993 Jan 11-18</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992 Oct 8-9</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991 May 11-14</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990 Sep 6-7</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989 Jun 13-16</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988 Sep 25-Oct 1</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987 Sep 9-11</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986 Jan 10-13</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 Nov 11-18</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RELIGIOSITY

35. What role does religiosity or denomination of religion play? In “Images of God and a Consistent Life Ethic: Why Do People Oppose Both Abortion and the Death Penalty?” Sociology of Religion 71:307-322 (Unnever, James D., John Bartkowski, and Francis T. Cullen, 2010), we explored this issue. Using GSS polling data, we constructed an index called the Closeness to God Index. We found that people “who have a close relationship with a loving God are significantly more likely to oppose capital punishment.” Ibid. Attending worship services frequently and having no denominational affiliation also predicted opposition to the death penalty. We also examined predictors of a “consistent life ethic,” operationalized as opposition to both the death penalty and abortion. Here again, those with a close relationship with a loving God were more likely to oppose both abortion and capital punishment. Additionally, those who attended worship services frequently and Catholics were likely to fall in this group. No other religious denomination predicated a consistent life ethic.

36. In my opinion, as we found, a close relationship with a loving God predicts opposition to the death penalty, as does frequent attendance of worship services, while Catholicism additionally predicts a consistent life ethic – that is, opposition to both the death penalty and abortion.

SUBSEQUENT LITERATURE

37. I have been asked if subsequent literature calls into question any of these conclusions or opinions, which are based in large part on my prior studies but also on the studies of others on which they built. This is an important question. Subsequent literature may contain new theories or revisions on old theories, tested through established methods or even by new reliable methods. Sometimes, the new literature builds on prior findings. Sometimes it takes a whole new direction. In this instance, with respect to all of the items on which I have opined above, I am aware of no new literature that disputes or even questions these findings.

RELEVANCE OF OTHER EVIDENCE/STUDIES TO MY OPINIONS

38. With respect to my first opinion, I have been asked to consider the salience of the following studies measuring the proportion of persons, by race, excluded from actual capital trials due to their opposition to the death penalty. See Aliza Plenar Cover, The Eighth Amendment’s Lost Jurors, 92 Ind. L.J. 113, 137 (2016) (study of data from Louisiana death penalty trials revealed Black jurors almost twice as likely to be excluded through death qualification as white jurors); Ann Eisenberg, Removal of Women and African-Americans in Jury Selection in South Carolina Capital Cases, 1997-2012, 9 Ne. U. L. Rev. 299, 333–36 (2017) (finding in study of transcripts in South Carolina capital trials that 32% of Black potential jurors removed for cause based upon death penalty opposition, but only eight percent of white potential jurors);
Jacinta Gau, *Racialized Impacts of Death Qualification in Duval County, Florida* (2022) (examining more than 1,000 jurors in 12 different Duval County capital trials, and finding Black jurors removed for cause based on death penalty opposition at more than twice the rate of white jurors. I have also been asked about a study that is similar, but based on respondents who answered questions on a computer concerning their death penalty views. *See* Matthew Gasperetti, *Crime and Punishment: An Empirical Study of the Effects of Racial Bias on Capital Sentencing Decisions*, 76 U. Miami L. Rev 525 (2022) (reviewing computer survey responses of 3,284 jury-eligible Americans and finding Black respondents, answering questions on their death-penalty views and ability to impose a sentence of death or life, were significantly less likely to pass death qualification than white respondents).

39. These studies use a different methodology than my work, which relies on public-opinion survey data. The first three rely on the responses of actual potential jurors in capital trials in Louisiana, South Carolina, and Florida, while the fifth article relies on Amazon Mechanical Turk, using paid respondents. But using these different methodologies, these other studies lead to a remarkably similar conclusion: opposition to the death penalty in Black communities is significantly higher than in white communities. The replication of the same finding through different research methodologies only corroborates and strengthens my opinion about the racial divide in attitudes concerning the death penalty.

40. Additionally, with respect to my opinion stated above that the whites who most supported the death penalty were the ones who expressed the greatest animus toward Blacks, Matthew Gasperetti made similar findings in his study. Attorney Gasperetti found that, holding other factors steady, respondents who tested high on measures of racism were more likely to pass death qualification, similar to the findings in our 2007 study that white racism contributed to greater white support for the death penalty. This study supports my opinion on the racial divide. Attorney Gasperetti further found that whites who expressed greater racism were significantly more likely to sentence a defendant to death if they believed the defendant to be Black rather than white. The findings in this recent study buttress my opinion on this matter. Although this second finding concerning race of defendant decisions in a particular case does not relate to death-penalty views in general, it is at least consistent with my findings about the racial divide.

41. With respect to my second opinion, I have also been asked to consider the significance of the following other declarations being submitted in this litigation:
   a. Declaration of Dr. Kimberly Allen, Chief Executive Officer of 904Ward, an organization committed to creating a community of inclusion for all of Jacksonville, Florida's residents, which began in large response to police shootings of Black men in the community.
   b. Declaration of Ben Frazier, the founder of the Northside Coalition, a Jacksonville organization focusing on the problems of social, racial, and economic justice.
c. Affidavit of Robert Link, an attorney who represented a Black man, Leo Jones, executed by the State of Florida whom he thinks was innocent.
d. Affidavit of Bill White, an attorney, and former elected Public Defender, who also represented Leo Jones and believes he is innocent.
e. Declaration of Jessica Weinstock-Paredes, an attorney researcher for the National Registry of Exonerations, who wrote about the disparate impact of wrongful convictions and police misconduct on innocent Black people.
f. Declaration of Herman Lyndsey, an innocent Black man previously convicted and incarcerated on Florida's death row, convicted by a death-qualified jury.
g. Declaration of Pastor Reginald Gundy, of Jacksonville's Mt. Sinai Baptist Church, who writes (as relevant here) about the experience in the Black community concerning distrust of the police and criminal justice system.
h. Declaration of Professor Scott Matthews, a professor of history at Florida State College at Jacksonville, who has documented Jacksonville’s lynchings and writes also about the replacement of lynchings as a means of social control of the Black population with executions.
i. Declaration of Professor David Jamison, a professor of history at Edward Waters College, who partnered with Professor Matthews on an investigation of Jacksonville’s lynchings, and writes concerning those lynchings and the use of executions historically to control the Black population.
j. Declaration of Professor John Smykla, retired in 2018 from Florida Atlantic University, recounting how the Espy database of executions was created with his involvement at the University of Alabama, and statistics from that database concerning executions taking place between 1827 and 1964 in Florida.
k. Declaration of Professor Frank Baumgartner, a professor of political science at the University of North Carolina and death-penalty researcher. He writes about the outsized role of race in determining who has been sentenced to death and executed in the State of Florida.

42. Having reviewed these declarations, they all support in different ways my opinion that the racial divide is caused by the legacy within the Black community of past racial conflicts, including racial-terror lynchings and the racist application of the death penalty has profoundly affected the attitudes of African Americans toward the death penalty.

43. The declarations of Dr. Allen, Mr. Frazier, and Pastor Gundy, all active in the Black community in Jacksonville, support the idea that the experience of racism in the criminal justice system, historically and currently, contribute to a worldview of distrust in the Black community in Jacksonville, Florida. I understand that the litigation here is targeted to jury selection in Duval County and examines death-penalty views in this county, and therefore why the views of community members from this community are relevant. But I should add, and as noted above, the Great Migration resulted in the spreading of views concerning these issues from their places of origin in the South to other locations. Additionally, I have argued that acts of racial discrimination reverberate throughout the African American community as
they are vicariously experienced. I therefore would not expect that the viewpoints of community members in Jacksonville stem solely from events in this geographic area, but rather that they stem from experiences more geographically disbursed within our state and country. In short, these views are collectively held by nearly all Blacks regardless of their residence. Still, the opinions and experiences of local community members and leaders tightly connects here to expected death-penalty views and the reasons for those views.

44. The declarations of Professors Jamison and Matthews similarly support my opinion, by elucidating the precise histories of lynchings and “legal lynchings” (executions) that may even be of heightened importance in this community. The same proviso I stated above applies here too: the experience need not have been in this community to shape viewpoints, but these experiences were largely in this community.

45. The declarations of Professor Baumgartner, Professor John Smylka, and attorney Weinstock Paredes also support my opinion. These declarations highlight historic (back to 1827) and continuing racial inequities (through present day) in Florida's use of the death penalty, and in this state’s troubling history of wrongful convictions, including of people sentenced to death. My prior published works referred to some of this history as well, but these declarations bring the history up to the present moment.

46. The declaration of Herman Lyndsey, an innocent Black man convicted and sentenced to death in Florida, and since exonerated and released, tends to support my opinion of the reasons for the racial divide in attitudes about the death penalty. It does so in the same way that Attorney Weinstock-Paredes’s declaration supports my opinion, albeit in relation to only a single case. Both of these declarations align with my research showing that not only is the belief that an innocent person has been executed more than twice as likely in the Black community, but also that the belief that innocent people have been executed drives opposition to the death penalty in the Black community.

47. Finally, the affidavit of attorneys Robert Link and Bill White raise the troubling possibility that Florida may have executed an innocent Black man, after authorities had been informed that another person, Glenn Schofield, was responsible for the crime (killing a white officer). As the attorneys recount in these declarations, Mr. Jones was convicted by an all-white jury. Consistent with the declaration of Ms. Weinstock-Paredes concerning the causes of wrongful convictions, Mr. Jones alleged police misconduct (beating of Mr. Jones and a witness) tainted his conviction. Whether Leo Jones was innocent is beyond my expertise. But the possibility that he was innocent has also been recognized by the Death Penalty Information Center in its list of Executed but Possibly Innocent, https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/policy-issues/innocence/executed-but-possibly-innocent, as well as the by dissenting members of the Florida Supreme Court discussed in these two declarations. In other words, serious investigations on the question of his innocence have resulted in divided opinions. Yet Mr. Jones was executed. With these provisos on my lack of legal expertise and lack of direct factual knowledge, these affidavits at a minimum are
consistent with my opinion about distrust in the Black community, and concern that innocent people, disproportionately Black, are being executed, leading to greater death-penalty opposition.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing declaration and that the facts stated in it are true.

James Unnever
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