IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

BROCK STONE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 17-cv-2459 (MJG)

DECLARATION OF ERIC K. FANNING
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

I, Eric K. Fanning, declare as follows:

1. I served as Secretary of the Army from May 18, 2016 to January 20, 2017.

2. I received a Bachelor’s Degree in History from Dartmouth College in 1990. From 1991 until 1996, I worked in various government positions in Washington, D.C., as a research assistant with the House Armed Services Committee, a special assistant in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, and Associate Director of Political Affairs at the White House. From 1997 to 1998, I worked on the national and foreign assignment desks at CBS News in New York. Subsequently, I worked at Robinson, Lerer & Montgomery, a strategic communications firm. From 2001 to 2006, I was Senior Vice President for Strategic Development at Business Executives for National Security, a Washington, D.C.-based think tank, where I was in charge of international programs and all regional office operations in six cities across the country. I next served as managing director at CMG, another strategic communications firm. From 2008 to 2009, I was Deputy Director of the Commission on the Prevention of Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation and Terrorism, which issued its report in December of 2008.
3. From 2009 to 2013, I served as the Deputy Under Secretary of the Navy and Deputy Chief Management Officer. In this role, I led the department’s business transformation and governance processes and coordinated efforts to identify enterprise-wide efficiencies. From April 18, 2013 to February 17, 2015, I served as Under Secretary of the Air Force after being nominated by the President to that position and confirmed by the Senate. From June 21, 2013 through December 20, 2013, I served as Acting Secretary of the Air Force.

4. In March 2015, I was assigned as the Special Assistant to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense (Chief of Staff). In this role, I helped manage Secretary of Defense Ashton Carter’s transition into office, built his leadership team, and oversaw the day-to-day staff activities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

5. On June 30, 2015, President Barack Obama directed me to serve as Acting Under Secretary of the Army and Chief Management Officer. In that position, I served as the Secretary of the Army’s senior civilian assistant and principal adviser on matters related to the management and operation of the Army, including development and integration of the Army Program and Budget. From November 3, 2015 to January 11, 2016, I served as Acting Secretary of the Army. On November 3, 2015, President Obama nominated me to serve as Secretary of the Army, and the Senate confirmed my nomination on May 17, 2016.

6. As Secretary of the Army, I was head of the Department of the Army and had statutory responsibility for all matters relating to the United States Army: manpower, personnel, reserve affairs, installations, environmental issues, weapons systems and equipment acquisition, communications, and financial management. Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Army is responsible for all affairs of the Department of the Army, including the morale and welfare of personnel. My personnel-related
oversight responsibilities included the development and implementation of recruitment, training, retention, and medical policies for active duty and reserve Army personnel. For duties other than those as a member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Chief of Staff of the Army, the most senior uniformed Army officer, operated under my authority, direction, and control.

7. I oversaw the Department of the Army’s participation in the Working Group that comprehensively reviewed military policy with regard to transgender persons serving openly in each of the service branches and which attempted to identify any practical, objective impediments to such service. It was based upon that review and the recommendations of that group that the Department of Defense announced on June 30, 2016, that transgender service members could openly serve in the U.S. military.

8. I am aware of the announcements of a new policy on transgender service, both through Twitter in late July 2017, and then in a Presidential Memorandum (“the Memorandum”) issued by the White House on August 25, 2017. Although providing the Secretaries of Defense and Homeland Security the opportunity to review the current policies, the Memorandum sets March 23, 2018 as the date by which the June 2016 policy “shall” be reversed (section 3) and transgender individuals will be subject to discharge as a result of disclosure of their transgender status.

9. Based on my knowledge and experience in military personnel and readiness challenges, as a result of service as a senior executive in each of the three military departments as well as Chief of Staff to the Secretary of Defense, the recently announced policy change is causing significant harm to current service members who have already disclosed their status as an individual who is also transgender to their commanders.
10. The Memorandum asserts that the “previous Administration” had an “[in]sufficient basis” for allowing open service, and therefore, this Administration is directing the reversal of policy changes that had enabled open service based on its “meaningful concerns” about the impact of open service on “under military effectiveness and lethality, disrupt unit cohesion, or tax military resources.”

11. In my experience, this communicates that the Commander in Chief of the U.S. military believes that transgender service members are unfit for military duty solely because of their transgender status. It degrades the value of transgender individuals not only to those service members themselves, but gives license to their leaders and fellow service members to do the same, in an environment where the ability to unqualifiedly and mutually rely on each other is an indispensable element of service. The Memorandum on its face marks these service members as deserving of impending involuntary discharge.

12. The Memorandum alone, and certainly when animated by the President’s tweets, causes harm by preventing transgender service members from serving on equal terms with other service members based on their merit; serves to substantially limiting their advancement and promotion opportunities in the military; and undermines their standing with superiors and peers, as described above. Opportunity to succeed and advance in the military should not depend on gender identity, nor any other factor other than ability to meet the required standards.

13. The harm extends beyond the individuals involved to the whole ethos of the military as a meritocracy where all Americans who want to serve and can meet its standards should be afforded the opportunity to do so. Unjustified, categorical bans on Americans qualified and ready to serve diminishes that organizing principle.
14. Furthermore, the Presidential Memorandum and Secretary of Defense Jim Mattis’ August 29, 2017 announcement that he will “carry out the president’s policy direction” by “develop[ing] a study and implementation plan” sends the clear message to American society that the U.S. Army is not, as General Mark Milley, the Army’s Chief of Staff and highest ranked officer, declared in 2016 “open to all Americans who meet the standard, regardless of who they are.”

15. That declaration is essential to ensuring the military has access to the best and brightest America has to offer and that those who seek to serve know that they will be judged by their performance alone, rather than the artificial prejudices that once hampered the advancement and acceptance of African Americans, women, religious minorities, and gays and lesbians in our nation’s armed forces.

16. In addition, when the military fails to keep pace with the demographic change of our nation and departs from the core principle of opportunity for all that can meet its high standards, it results in an erosion of understanding between those who serve and those who freedom those service members defend. The President’s tweets and directive undoubtedly exacerbate this divide, both by creating a single class of Americans he deems unfit to serve and dividing the nation by telling them that only these individuals are unfit.

17. Finally, during my tenure as Secretary of the Army, I am unaware of any instance prior to or after June 2016 when a transgender person seeking to enlist or accept a commission in the Army was granted a waiver from the Army’s medical accession standards.
I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: October 20, 2017

[Signature]

Eric K. Fausing