Exhibit 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SPOKANE

SULEIMAN ABDULLAH SALIM, et al,

Plaintiffs,

Case Number:

vs.

2:15-cv-286-JLQ

JAMES E. MITCHELL and JOHN JESSEN,

Defendants.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Videotaped Deposition of Jose Rodriguez

Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, March 7, 2017

Tuesday, March 7, 2017 10:00 a.m.

Job No. 302803

Reported by: Laurie Bangart, RPR, CRR



	Page 6		Page 8
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	PAGE	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
3	EXAMINATION BY MR. LUSTBERG 13, 224	3	the record. This begins videotape number 1
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. JAMES SMITH 144, 249	4	in the deposition of Jose Rodriguez in the
5	,	5	matter of Salim versus James Elmer Mitchell
6		6	and John Bruce Jessen, in the U.S. District
7		7	Court for the Eastern District of Washington
8		8	at Spokane, docket number 2:15-CV-286-JLP.
9	EXHIBITS	9	Today is Tuesday, March 7, 2017.
10	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	10	The time is 10:10 a.m. This deposition is
11	Exhibit G-1 Classification Guidance, 000022. 11	11	being taken at Blank Rome in Washington, D.C.
12	Exhibit 36 Declaration of Jose Rodriguez 15	12	at the request of Gibbons P.C. I'm Jason
13	Exhibit 37 Excerpts from the book Hard	13	Fifield, the videographer, with Magna Legal
14	Measures by Jose Rodriguez 35	14	Services, and the court reporter is Laurie
15	Exhibit 38 Cable dated January 31, 2003,	15	Bangart of Magna Legal Services.
16	Bates 001170 through 001174 70	16	Will counsel and all parties
17	Exhibit 39 Cable, no date, Bates labeled	17	present state their appearance and who they
18	001760 through 001765 118	18	represent.
19	Exhibit 40 August 17, 2008 Memorandum for	19	MR. LUSTBERG: We'll start with
20	Record regarding Suleiman	20	plaintiffs. Lawrence S. Lustberg from
21	Abdullah 138	21	Gibbons P.C. on behalf of plaintiffs.
22	Exhibit 41 Cable dated March 19, 2004,	22	MS. JANUKOWICZ: Kate Janukowicz of
23	Bates 001542 through 001544 187	23	Gibbons P.C. on behalf of plaintiffs.
24	Exhibit 42 Cable dated November 2002,	24	MS. SHAMSI: Hina Shamsi, the
25	Bates 001061 through 001063 194	25	American Civil Liberties Union, on behalf of
	Page 7		Page 9
1	(Exhibits continued)	1	the plaintiffs.
2	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE	2	MR. LADIN: Dror Ladin, the
3	Exhibit 43 Cable, no date, Bates labeled	3	American Civil Liberties Union, on behalf of
4	001496 through 001500 207	4	plaintiffs.
5	Exhibit 44 Document, Bates labeled	5	MR. MCGRADY: Daniel McGrady,
6	001551 through 001587 226	6	Gibbons P.C. on behalf of plaintiffs.
7		7	MR. FREY: Avram Frey of Gibbons
8		8	P.C. on behalf of plaintiffs.
9		9	MR. JAMES SMITH: Jim Smith on
10		10	behalf of the defendants.
11		11	MR. SCHUELKE: Hank Schuelke on
12		12	behalf of Drs. Mitchell and Jessen.
13		13	MS. QUERNS: Ann Querns on behalf
14		14	of the defendants.
15		15	MR. LUSTBERG: Why don't we do the
16		16	government?
17		17	MR. JOHNSON: Jim Johnson with the
18		18	Department of Justice on behalf of the United
19 20		19 20	States. MB. CODY SMITH: Cody Smith of the
21		20 21	MR. CODY SMITH: Cody Smith of the
22		22	CIA on behalf of the government. MS. WALCOTT: Heather Walcott, CIA,
23		23	
24		24	on behalf of the government. MS. BECKMAN: Megan Beckman, CIA,
25		25	on behalf of the government.
23		ر ت	on ochan of the government.



	Page 222		Page 224
1	Osama bin Laden eventually led us to him from the	1	operators. We rely on the government to tell us
2	courier, so all of this as a result mostly from	2	what's legal and what's not. When we got the
3	this program. That's how valuable it was.	3	opinion that it was legal, we went to work.
4	Q Mr. Rodriguez, is there any doubt in	4	MR. JAMES SMITH: Thank you,
5	your mind that this country would have been	5	Mr. Rodriguez. We have no further questions
6	attacked but for the program that was put in place	6	of you at this time.
7	by the CIA?	7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	MR. LUSTBERG: Objection for the	8	MR. LUSTBERG: I have just a few
9	record. Go ahead.	9	questions. Can I get the mic back?
10	THE WITNESS: I have no doubt that	10	MR. JAMES SMITH: You can't have it
11	we would have been whacked again had it not	11	back. You don't need it.
12	been for this program.	12	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
13	BY MR. JAMES SMITH:	13	BY MR. LUSTBERG:
14	Q Now, during the period of time that this	14	Q Okay. Just a few questions for you,
15	program was in place and by "the program,"	15	Mr. Rodriguez, and then we'll be finished.
16	again, I want to make sure we're crystal clear.	16	First, mostly what I'm going to ask you
17		17	about is the individual plaintiffs here.
18	It's the enhanced interrogation techniques for	18	A Okay.
19	high-value detainees, utilizing procedures and at the direction of the CIA.	19	Q With regard to Mr. Salim
		20	A Okay.
20	Are you with me? A Yes.	21	Q do you have any personal knowledge of
21		22	what his activities were prior to his being
22	Q Was there ever a question in your mind	23	captured?
23	about what you were doing and whether or not it	24	A No.
24 25	was legal?	25	Q Do you have any personal knowledge
23	A There was never a question in my mind. Page 223	23	Page 225
1	Q And why was there never a question in	1	regarding the circumstances of his capture?
2	your mind?	2	A No.
3	A Because we had received the proper	3	Q Do you have any personal knowledge
4	authorities from the Justice Department. Those	4	regarding his treatment in captivity?
5	authorities, by the way they had given us	5	A No.
6	verbal authorities. We said no, we want a written	6	Q Second, with respect to plaintiff Ben
7	authority, and we got those. We thought that	7	Soud, do you have any personal knowledge of his
8	legally we were covered, and we went to work, so I	8	activities prior to capture?
9	never had any issue with it.	9	A No.
10	Q And was there ever any question in your	10	Q Do you have any personal knowledge about
11	mind that the direction that you gave to	11	the circumstances of his capture and/or rendition?
12	Drs. Mitchell and Jessen was legal at all material	12	A No.
13	times?	13	Q Do you have any personal knowledge at
14	A It was, it was legal, and we were basing	14	all with regard to the way he was treated in
15	this legality on binding legal opinions from our	15	captivity?
16	own Justice Department.	16	A No.
17	This was not just the CIA lawyers	17	Q With regard to Rahman, you said you've
18	telling us. This was, you know, our government.	18	read materials with regard to that?
19	The OLC, as you know, is the organization in	19	A Correct.
20	government that provides this type of opinion, and	20	Q You have no personal knowledge, however,
21	that's what we got. Some people have asked me,	21	with regard to it; is that correct?
22	well, did you feel like you needed to consult	22	A I was not there. I was I was not
23	other people? I said, you know, are we supposed	23	there.
24	to go hire a lawyer to get a different point of	24 25	Q Right. You didn't observe anything
25	view? We are operators. We're clandestine	<u> ∠ ⊃</u>	yourself?

Case 2:15-cv-00286-JLQ Document 202-2 Filed 06/26/17

	Page 250		Page 252
1	Q And does that strike you as odd?	1	
2	A It's crazy.	2	
3	Q One other thing, because I want to make	3	
4	sure the record is clear here.	4	
5	My adversary, my worthy adversary, I	5	
6	should say, Mr. Lustberg, said that during the	6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WITNESS
		7	I, Jose Rodriguez, do hereby
7	period of time that Drs. Mitchell and Jessen were	8	acknowledge that I have read and examined the
8	involved, that they consulted continuously.	9	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true,
9	Do you remember that?	1	
10	A Yes.	10	correct and complete transcription of the
11	Q Okay. Just so we're clear, anytime they	11	testimony given by me, and any corrections
12	were involved in an enhanced interrogation	12	appear on the attached Errata sheet signed by
13	technique, the US government picked the person,	13	me.
14	picked the procedures that would be used, picked	14	
15	the number of times it would be done, everything	15	
16	about it, correct?	16	
17	A That is correct.	17	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)
18	Q Okay, and they simply followed orders?	18	
19	A That is correct.	19	
20	MR. JAMES SMITH: Okay. No further	20	
21	questions.	21	
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 4:44 p.m. This	22	
23	concludes the deposition.	23	
24	THE REPORTER: Who wants a copy of	24	
25	the transcript?	25	
	Page 251		Page 253
1	MR. LUSTBERG: Yeah, the original.	1	ERRATA SHEET
2	MR. JAMES SMITH: Of course.	2	IN RE: SALIM, ET AL, VS. MITCHELL AND JESSEN
3		3	RETURN BY:
	MR. JOHNSON: I don't know yet. I	4	PAGE LINE CORRECTION AND REASON
4 5	have to ask the higher-ups.	5	
	(Signature having not been	6	
6 7	waived, the video deposition of JOSE RODRIGUEZ was concluded	7	 -
/ 8		′ ₈	
U	at 4:44 p.m.)	"	
9		9	
10			
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	
14		14	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)



		Page 254	
	E D		
IN DE			
THOL	DII (L	CORRECTION THAT RELIGION	
(DATE	E) ((SIGNATURE)	
		Page 255	
CERTIF	ICATE (OF SHORTHAND REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC	
Prote Repo	ssional F rter, the	Reporter, Certified Realtime officer before whom the	
foreg	oing dep	osition was taken, do hereby	
certif	y that the	e foregoing transcript is a	
given	; that sai	d testimony was taken by me	
steno	graphica	lly and thereafter reduced to	
am ne	either co	unsel for, related to, nor	
emplo	oyed by	any of the parties to this case	
	IN WIT	NESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto	
	CERTIF Profe Repo foreg certif true a given steno typev am n emple and h in its Set m 18th My c	CERTIFICATE I, Lauri Professional I Reporter, the foregoing dep certify that the true and corre given; that sai stenographica typewriting u am neither co employed by and have no ii in its outcome IN WIT set my hand a 18th day of M My commissi LAURIE BANG NOTARY PUBL	ERRATASHEET IN RE: SALIM, ET AL, VS. MITCHELL AND JESSEN RETURN BY: PAGE LINE CORRECTION AND REASON