Exhibit 9

to Plaintiffs' Further Supplemental Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment

Case No.:1:20-cv-01104-ESH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

----X

ANGE SAMMA, et al,

Plaintiffs

v. Civil Action 20-1104-ESH

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE et al,

Defendants

----X

Washington, D.C. Thursday, July 16, 2020 2:00 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEO CONFERENCE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELLEN SEGAL HUVELLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: Scarlet Kim, Esq.

Arthur B. Spitzer, Esq.

Noor Zafar, Esq.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

UNION FOUNDATION

125 Broad Street, 18th Floor

New York, NY 10004 (917) 913-4923

For the Defendant: Nathan Michael Swinton, Esq.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Civil Division, Litigation Branch

1100 L Street NW Washington, DC 20530

(202) 305-7667

Maj. Joseph Nosse U.S. DEPT. OF ARMY

Court Reporter: Lisa Walker Griffith, RPR

U.S. District Courthouse

Room 6507

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 354-3247

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which Mr. Swinton raised?

MS. KIM: We don't believe it is necessary, Your Honor. But we do rely upon it as an alternative argument in case the other ones do fail. THE COURT: Mr. Swinton, in the notice and comment, are you conceding that these are legislative ruling, this 0-6 and the service in place? You invoke exceptions to the notice and comment. But you didn't argue the legislative versus interpretive rule dichotomy. MR. SWINTON: Right, Your Honor. And I think the interpreter rule would align well with our internal management rule, which is an internal personnel rule. correct, we did not make that argument. THE COURT: Super. All right. As I said, unless I get convinced by the plaintiff, I will tentatively rule that on the class cert, that I will grant it. But I guess I have to exclude Mr. Kotab from the reservists, I believe. So I'll have to look at it more carefully. Do you agree that he doesn't belong in the class? MS. ZAFAR: Yes, Your Honor, to the extent that he (unintelligible) N-426, he would be precluded. THE COURT: All right. MS. KIM: Your Honor, would it be okay if I responded very quickly just to two points in rebuttal related to the relationship between Kirwa and this case