EXHIBIT 100

Date: 20020816	
TO: ALEC	
FROM:	
SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - SITREP ON ABU ZUBAYDAH AS OF] 16 AUGUST
TOR: 161757Z AUG 02 10631	
SECRET 161755Z AUG 02 STAFF	
CITE10631	
TO: IMMEDIATE ALEC INFO DIRECTOR,	
FOR: CTC INFO CHIEF/CTC, CTC CTC/LGL,	
OMS	
SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - SITREP ON ABU ZUBAYDAH AS	
REF: DIRECTOR 397057	
TEXT:	
1. ACTION REQUIRED: PLS SEE SEPARATE CABLE RE FULL DETAILS OF RESULTS OF 16 AUGUST CYCLE OF INTERROGATION SESSIONS.	
PLS SEE PARA 2 AND RELAY PERTINENT	
INFO TO	

Case 2:16-mc-00036-JLQ Document 77-1 Filed 03/22/17

UNCLASSIFIED // FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

DEFER TO HQS TO REVIEW FOR DISSEM.

ZUBAYDAH)) (SUBJECT) HAS CONTINUED TO SAY HE KNOWS OF NO THREATS TO THE UNITED STATES OTHER THAN THOSE HE HAS ALREADY MENTIONED. ON 16 AUGUST 02 DAY 13 OF THE AGGRESSIVE INTERROGATION PHASE TEAM WELCOMED NEWLY ARRIVED HQS TEAM COB DCOS ALEC CTC/LGL AND C/OTS TEAM DISCUSSED THE GENERAL OVERALL STRATEGY FOR THE CURRENT PHASE FACTORING IN HQS GUIDANCE. SUBSEQUENTLY, AND ALONG WITH IC SERE PSYCHOLOGISTS HELD AN INTENSE FOUR HOUR SESSION WITH SUBJECT DURING WHICH SUBJECT WAS VERY ENGAGED AND PROVIDED ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS POSED BY INTERROGATORS IN A STRAIGHT FORWARD MANNER. SUBJECT RESPONDED TO A VARIETY OF QUESTIONS WHICH DEALT WITH A RANGE OF TOPICS, MANY OF WHICH HAVE BEEN COVERED PREVIOUSLY. SUBJECT ADDED SOME ADDITIONAL DETAILS AS NOTED BELOW.

3. ATMOSPHERICS/BEHAVIORAL COMMENTS: DURING THIS PERIOD SUBJECT WAS COMPLIANT WITH ALL VERBAL AND NONVERBAL DIRECTIONS FOR MOVEMENT. DURING THE INTERROGATION SUBJECT WAS ATTENTIVE TO THE QUESTIONS POSED TO HIM AND APPEARED EARNEST IN HIS EFFORTS TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED. INITIALLY, HE APPEARED UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE INTRODUCTION OF A FEMALE INTERROGATOR, HOWEVER HE WAS RESPECTFUL AND SOON ADJUSTED TO HER PRESENCE. HE APPEARED TO TRY TO STAY ON TOPIC AND REQUESTED PERMISSION TO DIGRESS WHEN HE NEEDED TO PROVIDE BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO ADD CONTEXT TO HIS RESPONSES. THE SESSION LASTED APPROXIMATELY FOUR HOURS AND COVERED A WIDE RANGE OF TOPICS. SUBJECT WAS, HOWEVER, ABLE TO STAY FOCUSED WITH MINIMAL PROMPTING FROM THE INTERROGATORS.
4. THREAT UPDATE: NO NEW THREAT INFORMATION.
5. MEDICAL UPDATE: SUBJECT NUTRITION/HYDRATION ADEQUATE PAST TWENTY-FOUR HOURS. TWO SMALL AREAS OF WOUND BREAKDOWN PERSIST WITHOUT CHANGE.
6. ADMIN NOTE: WILL ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMIN OVERSIGHT AND BASE MANAGEMENT FOLLOWING DEPARTURE OF
7. FILE:
CABLETYPE:
END OF MESSAGE SECRET

Date: 20020817	
TO: ALEC	
FROM:	
SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - SITREP ON ABU ZUBAYDAH AS	17 AUGUST
TOR: 171612Z AUG 02 10633	
SECRET 171609Z AUG 02 STAFF	
CITE 10633	
TO: IMMEDIATE ALEC INFO DIRECTOR,	
FOR: CTC INFO CHIEF/CTC, CTC CTC/LGL,	
OMSOTS	
SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - SITREP ON ABU ZUBAYDAH AS 17 AUGUST 2002	
REF: A. DIRECTOR 397057	
TEXT:	
1. ACTION REQUIRED: PLS SEE PARA TWO AND ADVISE STATUS OF A PREVIOUS REQUEST	
THANKS.	

-- ALSO, PLS SEE SEPARATE CABLE RE FULL DETAILS OF RESULTS OF 17 AUGUST CYCLE OF INTERROGATION SESSIONS.

Case 2:16-mc-00036-JLQ Document 77-1 Filed 03/22/17

UNCLASSIFIED // FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

2. OVERALL STATE OF PLAY: DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD, ((ABU ZUBAYDAH)) (SUBJECT) HAS CONTINUED TO SAY HE KNOWS OF NO THREATS TO THE UNITED STATES OR AGAINST UNITED STATES INTERESTS BEYOND WHAT HE HAS ALREADY PROVIDED. ON 17 AUGUST 02 DAY 14 OF THE AGGRESSIVE INTERROGATION PHASE HELD A STRATEGY MEETING TO DECIDE ON THE TOPICS AND MODALITIES OF TODAY'S INTERROGATION SESSIONS FACTORING IN HQS GUIDANCE/REQUIREMENTS. SUBSEQUENTLY, THREE INTERROGATION SESSIONS WERE HELD WITH SUBJECT. THE FIRST SESSION WAS HELD BY COB AND IC SERE PSYCHOLOGISTS AND FOCUSED LARGELY ON THE QUESTION OF SUBJECT'S	<u>]</u>
3. ATMOSPHERICS/BEHAVIORAL COMMENTS: DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD SUBJECT WAS CONVERSANT AND COOPERATIVE. HE COMPLIED WITH ALL VERBAL AND NONVERBAL DEMANDS WITHOUT HESITATION OR RESISTANCE. AS THE INTERROGATION BEGAN, SUBJECT TOLD THE INTERROGATORS THAT HE HAD RECALLED REQUESTED IN THE PREVIOUS DAY AND PROVIDED THEM. SUBJECT SPOKE WITH INTERROGATORS FOR APPROXIMATELY 6 HOURS. HE SPENT MOST OF THE INTERROGATION PERIOD STANDING ON ONE FOOT BECAUSE OF WHAT HE DESCRIBED AS KNEE PAIN.	

DURING THIS TIME HE STAYED FOCUSED. HE REPEATEDLY ASSURED
INTERROGATORS THAT HE WOULD NOT LIE OR LEAVE OUT IMPORTANT FACTS.
SUBJECT DENIED KNOWING ANSWERS TO SEVERAL OF THE KEY QUESTIONS ASKED
BY THE INTERROGATORS. HE APPEARED SINCERE IN THESE DENIALS AND
APOLOGIZED FOR KNOW HAVING THE INFORMATION. WHEN THE INTERROGATORS
ASKED SUBJECT TO WRITE DOWN
FLOOR AND DILIGENTLY WORKED ON THE PROJECT. WHEN SECURITY PERSONNEL
REMOVED THE PAPER AND FELT PEN PRIOR TO PROJECT COMPLETION, SUBJECT
ASKED THE INTERROGATOR WHO HAD ENTERED THE CELL FOR A DIFFERENT
REASON IF HE COULD FINISH. SUBJECT DISPLAYED NO DIFFICULTY
INTERACTING WITH THE FEMALE INTERROGATOR.
INTERROGATOR,
4. THREAT UPDATE: AS NOTED ABOVE SUBJECT DID NOT DROWING AND
ANY
NEW THREAT INFORMATION CONCERNING OPERATIONS AGAINST THE UNITED
STATES OR UNITED STATES INTERESTS BEYOND WHAT HE HAS ALREADY
PROVIDED. SUBJECT DID HOWEVER PROVIDE INFO ABOUT POSSIBLE TERRORIST
OPERATIONS
BUT ABOUT WHICH SUBJECT NOTED HE DID NOT HAVE ANY
SPECIFICS IN TERMS OF WHO, WHAT, WHERE AND WHEN.
5. MEDICAL UPDATE: REDUCED AMOUNT OF YELLOWISH EXUDATE NOTED ON
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT.
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT.
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT.
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT. 6. ADMIN NOTE:
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT. 6. ADMIN NOTE:
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT. 6. ADMIN NOTE:
WOUND. BREAKDOWN OF EDGES PREVIOUSLY NOTED ARE RESOLVING. FLUID INTAKE/OUTPUT AND NUTRITIONAL INTAKE REMAIN CONSTANT. 6. ADMIN NOTE: 7. FILE:

Date: 20020828
TO: ALEC
FROM:
SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - STATUS OF THE INTERROGATIONS
TOR: 280820Z AUG 02
SECRET 280817Z AUG 02 STAFF
10700
TO: IMMEDIATE ALEC INFO IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR,
FOR: CTC INFO CHIEF/CTC, CTC CTC/LGL, OMS OTS
SUBJECT: EYES ONLY - STATUS OF THE INTERROGATIONS
REF: A. ALEC 185413
TEXT:

- 1. ACTION REQUIRED: PLEASE NOTE PARA 7 AND WELCOME COMMENTS.
- 2. APPRECIATE ALEC'S ASSESSMENT IN REF (A) THAT ABU ZUBAYDAH
 HAS NOT TOLD US ALL THAT HE KNOWS, EVEN ON THE REQUIREMENTS ALREADY
 COVERED. WE LOOK FORWARD TO RESULTS OF ALEC/HQS ANALYSIS OF THE TAKE
 SO FAR IN AN EFFORT TO IDENTIFY AREAS WHERE WE ARE CONFIDENT--BASED
 ON ACTUAL OTHER REPORTING--THAT HE IS LYING OR HOLDING BACK.
 - 3. DURING THE AGGRESSIVE PHASE OF THE INTERROGATION, COB AND

DOOR ALDO OUDGETOWN OUT TO SE
DCOS ALEC QUESTIONED SUBJECT AFTER HE HAD BEEN CONDITIONED AND DEEMED COMPLIANT AFTER 12 DAYS OF INTENSIVE WALLING AND WATERBOARDING, USE
OF SMALL BOX, AND OTHER AGGRESSIVE TECHNIQUES. WE HAVE CONTINUED TO
TEST THIS COMPLIANCE BY BROADENING THE SCOPE OF QUESTIONING AND
PRESSING HIM ON PREVIOUS UNANSWERED ISSUES.
4. WHILE WE HAVE NO PRETENSE THAT THIS IS THE SUM TOTAL OF WHAT
AZ KNOWS, BELIEVE WE ARE MAKING A DENT IN THAT KNOWLEDGE.
·
5. OF COURSE, BASED ON HIS DIRECT ACCESS TO THOSE PLANNERS, WE
CORRECTLY ASSUMED HE WOULD HAVE SOME KNOWLEDGE OF OPERATIONAL
PLANNING AND HE DOES, INDEED, HAVE THIS KNOWLEDGE. HE ADMITS THIS
EVEN FOR 9/11,
BUT HE STILL INSISTS THAT HE HAS NO
KNOWLEDGE OF THE BIG FOLLOW-ON ATTACK INTENDED FOR AFTER 9/11.
IS HE LYING OR WITHHOLDING? POSSIBLE, BUT WE BELIEVE UNLIKELY
GIVEN THE INTENSIVE PHSYICAL AND MENTAL PRESSURES USED.
IS HIS ANSWER PLAUSIBLE AND CONSISTENT WITH THE AVAILABLE
INTELLIGENCE? YES.
MOST IMPORTANTLY, ARE WE SATISFIED AT THAT WE HAVE

Case 2:16-mc-00036-JLQ Document 77-1 Filed 03/22/17

UNCLASSIFIED // FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

APPLIED THE TECHNIQUES AGGRESSIVELY AND CONDITIONED SUBJECT TO THE POINT THAT WE CAN ASSESS HE IS COMPLIANT? YES.

- --OUR BOTTOM LINE IS THAT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WE DON'T KNOW. WE CAN ONLY OPERATE ON WHAT WE DO KNOW, AND THAT INTELLIGENCE SO FAR IS CONSISTENT WITH AZ'S STORY.
- 6. AT THIS POINT, WE SHARE THE GOAL OF BOTH ALEC AND HQS TO IDENTIFY SOMETHING CONCRETE THAT WE CAN USE TO CONFRONT HIM IN A LIE OR A SIGNIFICANT OMISSION. IF WE SUCCEED, BASE WILL RETURN TO THE AGGRESSIVE PHASE TOOLS AND USE EVERY AVAILABLE TECHNIQUE ALLOWED US. ABSENT THAT SCENARIO, HOWEVER, WE PLAN TO CONTINUE THE STRATEGY AS LAID OUT IN REF (B) TO SYSTEMATICALLY DRAIN HIM DRY OF ANY USEFUL INTELLIGENCE. FOR THAT, WE WILL CAREFULLY CONTINUE TO OBSERVE HIM TO ENSURE HE REMAINS "COMPLIANT" AND IC PSYCHOLOGISTS WILL STAND BY TO "TUNE HIM UP" AS REQUIRED. IT IS OUR ASSESSMENT THAT IF WE PROCEED AGAIN TO THE WATERBOARD, ON A GENERAL THREAT QUESTION WITH NOTHING CONCRETE TO FOCUS ON, WE WILL RISK LOSING EVEN MINIMAL COOPERATION AFTERWARDS. IF AZ BELIEVES HE CANNOT AVOID THE WATERBOARD NO MATTER WHAT HE SAYS, PSYCOLOGISTS BELIEVE IT LIKELY HE MAY SIMPLY MOVE INTO A STATE WHERE WE COME CLOSE TO THE THRESHHOLDS ESTABLISHED BY CTC/LGL. OF COURSE, IF WE DO DEVELOP INFORMATION THAT HE IS LYING, THEN WE BELIEVE IT'S WELL WORTH THE RISK TO PRESS HIM HARD TO GET THAT ONE NUGGET:

7. SHOULD OUR REVIEW OF AZ'S REPORTING TURN UP INDICATIONS OF
SUBSTANTIVE LIES AND/OR WITHHOLDING,
IF BASE AND HQS
COLLECTIVELY ASSESS THAT WE HAVE NOT YET GOT THE BOTTOM LINE ON AZ'S
THREAT INFO, AND WE REMAIN CONVINCED THE WATERBOARD APPLIED ONLY TO
THREAT INFO, AND WE REMAIN CONVINCED THE WATERBOARD APPLIED ONLY TO AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW,
·
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW,
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR ASSESSMENT REMAINS THAT IF AZ DOES HAVE THAT NUGGET, HE'LL TAKE IT
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR ASSESSMENT REMAINS THAT IF AZ DOES HAVE THAT NUGGET, HE'LL TAKE IT WITH HIM IF ONLY FACED WITH THE WATERBOARD.
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR ASSESSMENT REMAINS THAT IF AZ DOES HAVE THAT NUGGET, HE'LL TAKE IT WITH HIM IF ONLY FACED WITH THE WATERBOARD.
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR ASSESSMENT REMAINS THAT IF AZ DOES HAVE THAT NUGGET, HE'LL TAKE IT WITH HIM IF ONLY FACED WITH THE WATERBOARD. 8. FILE:
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR ASSESSMENT REMAINS THAT IF AZ DOES HAVE THAT NUGGET, HE'LL TAKE IT WITH HIM IF ONLY FACED WITH THE WATERBOARD.
AZ IS UNLIKELY TO PRODUCE RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM WHAT WE HAVE NOW, AT PRESENT, OUR ASSESSMENT REMAINS THAT IF AZ DOES HAVE THAT NUGGET, HE'LL TAKE IT WITH HIM IF ONLY FACED WITH THE WATERBOARD. 8. FILE: