IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Newport News Division

GAVIN GRIMM,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civil No. 4:15-cv-00054-AWA-DEM
GLOUCESTER COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD,)))
Defendant.)))

DECLARATION OF DIANA BRUCE

I, Diana Bruce, declare as follows:

- I am the former Director of Health and Wellness for the District of Columbia Public Schools ("DCPS"). I held this position from October 2008 until January 2019. I am currently a consultant working in the Washington, D.C. area, focusing on gender inclusivity, diversity, and equity in schools and the workplace.
- I received my Bachelor of Arts in Journalism from the University of Texas at El Paso in 1994. In 1997, I received my Masters of Public Administration and Policy from the Columbia University School of International and Public Affairs.
- 3. DCPS educates approximately 48,100 students across 115 schools in Washington, D.C.
- 4. Consistent with the District of Columbia's non-discrimination laws, as they were amended to include gender identity and expression, DCPS has been providing transgender students with access to restroom and locker room facilities consistent with their gender identity since 2006. When DCPS initially implemented this procedure, it did not develop a formal

- policy or explicit guidelines regarding restroom or locker room use for transgender students.
- 5. During my time with DCPS, I regularly consulted with other school administrators around the country about DCPS's experience with inclusive policies for transgender students, and learned from other schools' experiences. Drawing in part from those resources, we determined that it would be appropriate for DCPS to adopt a formal set of guidelines regarding those policies to ensure that everyone was aware of and understood them.
- 6. In June 2015, I led the effort surrounding DCPS's adoption of formal guidance regarding transgender students' access to school facilities, which codified our practice of allowing those students to use restroom and locker room facilities consistent with their gender identity. In addition, the policy also addresses many related matters, such as the procedure to change a student's name in the school's records, resources for teachers of transitioning students, enforcement of dress code, and so forth. The official policy that DCPS adopted formalized and superseded the informal policy that had been in place since 2006 and generally expounded upon DCPS's existing non-discrimination policy.
- 7. According to the 2015 policy guidance, if one student expresses discomfort with sharing facilities with a transgender student, the school will make another restroom available to the first student. During my time with DCPS, there were a few occasions where a parent called my office to ask about our policy. But no school reported to me that any students ever asked to use a different restroom.
- 8. In my capacity with DCPS, my goal was to make sure that every young person was as present and engaged in their academic work as possible. We determined at a very early

- point that promoting a safe and welcoming environment in schools helped promote these positive tendencies among DCPS's students, and therefore helped us reach that goal.
- 9. When we created the 2015 policy, we consulted with school administrators from around the country. In particular, we drew upon the Los Angeles Unified School District's policy, which had been in place for many years with great success. Armed with this information, we formed a new committee consisting of approximately 20-30 community advocates, teachers, students, and parents, which helped steer the development of the new policy.
- 10. When we developed the 2015 DCPS policy, we also consulted with DCPS's own administrators, teachers, faculty, and students regarding their experiences with transgender students in our district. We discovered that when transgender students reported a concern about whether they could use the restroom that matches their gender identity, many said that they simply avoided school restrooms for the entire length of the school day.
- 11. That kind of distraction—and possible health risk—cannot possibly help students learn. As educators, we do not want students preoccupied with avoiding restrooms when they should be present in the classroom and focusing on learning. We decided that a clear set of guidelines regarding restroom and locker room facilities would help transgender students feel more like any other student in their school, rather than outsiders.
- 12. The 2015 DCPS policy that we created was not difficult to adopt, and it did not present any lingering issues in our schools. In my experience, students are comfortable with a policy that requires equal treatment among students. They can understand and empathize with someone who just wants to use the restroom. If anything, in our experience any minor disruption was due to staff members' inconsistency before implantation of the formal policy, rather than student activity. This was why we determined that clear, formalized

guidance regarding transgender students' use of locker room and restroom facilities in accordance with their gender identities was important and necessary for schools, as was training for teachers and administrators. By the time I left DCPS, we had trained thousands of DCPS personnel, including principals, school staff, faculty, and some parents.

- 13. Implementing the 2015 policy in DCPS's schools was a straight-forward process. In reality, all schools deal with a very wide variety of issues related to locker room and restroom use, including student behavioral issues, which are completely unrelated to a student's gender identity.
- 14. We heard some concerns about the 2015 policy at first, typically from adults rather than DCPS students. These concerns usually involved hypothetical issues of student safety or privacy in the school restrooms or locker rooms. During my time with DCPS, I am aware of only one incident involving a transgender student's use of these facilities, and in that case it was the transgender student who was confronted by other students who were unaware that DCPS policy permitted her to use the girls' restroom. Those students, once informed of the policy, caused no further problems. This was a blip on the radar that further indicated the need for clear written policies. Otherwise, no concerns materialized.
- 15. Similarly, when I conducted my regular trainings of DCPS staff, there were some occasions where staff members would ask hypothetical questions to understand the contours of DCPS's policy as it related to student privacy and safety. In my experience, the scenarios they suggested remained hypothetical and did not play out in reality.
- 16. Like other students, transgender students just want to use the restroom at school and be safe when they do it. And contrary to some concerns, transgender students are not interested in walking around restrooms or locker rooms exposing themselves and

examining other students' anatomy. This is based on a misconception of what it means to

be transgender. Like everyone else, transgender students' just want to learn and to get

through the school day safely.

17. The results of DCPS's 2015 formal policy guidelines were overwhelmingly positive, not

only for transgender students, but for all students, faculty, administrators, and the

community. As educators, we have an obligation to make sure every student feels valued,

included, and respected. By treating all students the same without regard to their gender

identity, the policy removed a tremendous source of distraction from DCPS's students,

helped foster a safe and welcoming learning environment among all DCPS's students,

promoted awareness of important student safety and privacy issues for all DCPS's students,

and even informed our decisions regarding new construction and renovation of restroom

and locker room facilities in DC public schools.

18. I make this declaration from my own knowledge of the facts and circumstances set forth

above. If necessary, I could and would testify to these facts and circumstances.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true

and correct.

DATE: March 18, 2019

Diana Bruce