

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**

SETI JOHNSON and MARIE  
BONHOMME-DICKS, on behalf of  
themselves and those similarly situated,  
and SHAREE SMOOT and NICHELLE  
YARBOROUGH, on behalf of  
themselves and those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TORRE JESSUP, in his official capacity  
as Commissioner of the North Carolina  
Division of Motor Vehicles,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00467

(CLASS ACTION)

**PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

COME NOW Plaintiffs Seti Johnson, Marie Bonhomme-Dicks, Sharee Smoot, and Nichelle Yarborough, and move the Court, pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(2), and (g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for certification of two classes. In support of this Motion, Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. Mr. Seti Johnson and Ms. Marie Bonhomme-Dicks move for certification of and seek to represent a class referred to as the **Future Revocation Class**, which is proposed to be defined as:

All individuals whose drivers' licenses will be revoked in the future by the DMV due to their failure to pay fines, penalties, or court costs assessed by a court for a traffic offense.

2. Ms. Sharee Smoot and Ms. Nichelle Yarborough move for certification of and seek to represent a class referred to as the **Revoked Class**, which is proposed to be defined as:

All individuals whose driver's licenses have been revoked by the DMV due to their failure to pay fines, penalties, or court costs assessed by a court for a traffic offense.

3. Certification is appropriate under Rule 23(a)(1) because joinder is impracticable. Each class represents hundreds of thousands of low-income individuals who are spread across the state and do not have the ability to litigate their claims individually.

4. Certification is appropriate under Rule 23(a)(2) because the claims of the classes are common. The legal and factual issues causing injury to both classes derive from the mandate of N.C.G.S. § 20-24.1, as well as the DMV's uniform practice of revoking driver's licenses for failure to pay a fine, penalty, or court costs without any meaningful notice, pre-deprivation hearing, or determination of ability to pay.

5. Certification is appropriate under Rule 23(a)(3) because Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the putative Class members. The statutes and challenged practices equally apply to the named Plaintiffs and all other putative members of both Classes.

6. Certification is appropriate under Rule 23(a)(4) and 23(g) because Plaintiffs can adequately represent the **Future Revocation Class** and the **Revoked Class** and are represented by competent counsel. Plaintiffs have no conflicts with the putative class

members, are willing and able to lead the litigation, and are represented by experienced counsel.

7. Certification is appropriate under Rule 23(b)(2) because the DMV has acted on grounds generally applicable to members of both Classes through the challenged practices and pursuant to Section 20-24.1. The ongoing violation of the constitutional rights of Plaintiffs and the putative members of both Classes can be resolved through class-wide declarations and class-wide injunctions. Specifically, Mr. Johnson and Ms. Bonhomme-Dicks seek an injunction on behalf of themselves and the **Future Revocation Class** that would enjoin the DMV's enforcement of and revocation of driver's licenses under Section 20-24.1(a)(2) and (b)(3)-(4). Ms. Smoot and Ms. Yarborough seek an injunction on behalf of the **Revoked Class** that would mandate that the DMV lift current license revocations entered pursuant to Section 20-24.1(a)(2), reinstate licenses without charging a reinstatement fee if there exists no reason other than nonpayment to continue the revocation, and provide notice to license-holders of this change. Plaintiffs also seek a declaration that both Section 20-24.1 and the DMV's enforcement of the statute are unconstitutional.

8. Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court grant this Motion based on the foregoing; the accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support; the Declarations of Mr. Johnson, Ms. Smoot, Ms. Bonhomme-Dicks, Ms. Yarborough, and Mr. Brooke and the Exhibits appended thereto; and any other matters presented to the Court.

Dated August 7, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kristi L. Graunke

Kristi L. Graunke

/s/ Samuel Brooke

Samuel Brooke

*On behalf of Counsel for Plaintiffs*

Christopher A. Brook (NC Bar No. 33838)  
Cristina Becker (NC Bar No. 46973)  
Sneha Shah\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
OF NORTH CAROLINA LEGAL  
FOUNDATION  
P.O. Box 28004  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611  
T: 919-834-3466  
E: cbrook@acluofnc.org  
E: cbecker@acluofnc.org  
E: sshah@acluofnc.org

Nusrat J. Choudhury\*  
R. Orion Danjuma\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
125 Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10004  
T: 212-519-7876  
T: 212-549-2563  
E: nchoudhury@aclu.org  
E: odanjuma@aclu.org

*\*Appearing by Special Appearance  
pursuant to L.R. 83.1(d)*

Kristi L. Graunke (NC Bar No. 51216)  
Emily C.R. Early\*  
SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER  
150 E. Ponce de Leon Ave., Ste. 340  
Decatur, Georgia 30030  
T: 404-221-4036  
E: kristi.graunke@splcenter.org  
E: emily.early@splcenter.org

Samuel Brooke\*  
Danielle Davis\*  
SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER  
400 Washington Avenue  
Montgomery, Alabama 36104  
T: 334-956-8200  
F: 334-956-8481  
E: samuel.brooke@splcenter.org  
E: danielle.davis@splcenter.org

Laura Holland (NC Bar No. 50781)  
SOUTHERN COALITION FOR SOCIAL  
JUSTICE  
1415 W. NC Hwy 54, Suite 101  
Durham, North Carolina 27707  
T: 919-323-3380 x.161  
F: 919-323-3942  
E: lauraholland@southerncoalition.org

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that arrangements have been made to this day deliver a true and correct copy of the foregoing by this Court's CM/ECF system to the following attorney(s) of record for Defendant:

Neil Dalton  
Kathryne E. Hathcock  
Ann W. Mathews  
Alexander Peters  
N.C. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602  
ndalton@ncdoj.gov  
khathcock@ncdoj.gov  
amathews@ncdoj.gov  
apeters@ncdoj.gov

DATED this August 7, 2018.

/s/ Samuel Brooke  
Samuel Brooke