Ex. B

Judy Rabinovitz* Anand Balakrishnan* Dan Galindo (SBN 292854) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 T: (212) 549-2660 F: (212) 549-2654 Igelernt@aclu.org jrabinovitz@aclu.org abalakrishnan@aclu.org Attorneys for Petitioner-Plaintiff *Admitted Pro Hac Vice	ACLUDIEGO DIEGO IMPE P.O. B San D T: (61 F: (61 Stephe Spence AMEI UNIO IMMI 39 Dru San Fi T: (41 F: (41	s Vakili (SBN 247783) J FOUNDATION OF SAN O & RIAL COUNTIES Box 87131 iego, CA 92138-7131 9) 398-4485 9) 232-0036 i@aclusandiego.org en B. Kang (SBN 292280) er E. Amdur (SBN 320069) RICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES N FOUNDATION GRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT umm Street rancisco, CA 94111 15) 343-1198 15) 395-0950 ur@aclu.org
11		
12 SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
Ms. L., et al.,		Case No. 18-cv-00428-DMS-MDD
V.		Date Filed: June 6, 2019
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforceme ("ICE"), et al.,		Date Piled. Julie 0, 2019
		DECLARATION OF ERIKA
Respondents-Defendan	ets.	PINHEIRO
	Judy Rabinovitz* Anand Balakrishnan* Dan Galindo (SBN 292854) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 T: (212) 549-2660 F: (212) 549-2654 lgelernt@aclu.org jrabinovitz@aclu.org abalakrishnan@aclu.org Attorneys for Petitioner-Plaintiff *Admitted Pro Hac Vice UNITED STATES I SOUTHERN DISTRIC	Judy Rabinovitz* Anand Balakrishnan* DIEG Dan Galindo (SBN 292854) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT 125 Broad St., 18th Floor New York, NY 10004 T: (212) 549-2660 F: (212) 549-2654 Igelernt@aclu.org Jrabinovitz@aclu.org abalakrishnan@aclu.org Attorneys for Petitioner-Plaintiff *Admitted Pro Hac Vice San Fr SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF Ms. L., et al., Petitioners-Plaintiffs, V. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

rights violations, and to connect deportees, refugees, and other indigent immigrants with legal, mental health, medical, and social services.

- 6. Through its Border Rights Project, Al Otro Lado hosts legal orientation workshops in Tijuana, Mexico, and provides representation to detained asylum seekers in Southern California. We engage over 1,000 volunteers to provide legal orientation to asylum seekers and monitor for rights violations on the California border. Our Border Rights Project began documenting family separations at the San Ysidro Port of Entry in May of 2017, and has represented dozens of parents who were separated from their children.
- 7. Al Otro Lado is a transnational organization with established partnerships throughout Mexico and Central America, making us uniquely suited for the task of assisting parents who were separated from and deported without their children. I established Al Otro Lado's Family Reunification Project in August of 2018 to assist deported parents whose children remained in the United States by marshalling resources to provide them with legal, medical, mental health, and other services during the process of reunification.
- 8. Al Otro Lado began coordinating with the *Ms. L* Steering Committee to receive referrals of class members who had been deported without their children, and whose cases presented a protection concern. Al Otro Lado also received referrals from Federal Public Defender officers, nonprofit legal service organizations, and others. Between August and November of 2018, Al Otro Lado interviewed parents who had been separated from and deported without their children.
- 9. With the help of Justice in Motion, Al Otro Lado traveled to Honduras, Guatemala, and El Salvador to conduct in-person interviews with parents who expressed a desire to return to the United States to seek asylum and reunify with their children. We worked for months with on-the-ground partners to complete

declarations, gather supporting evidence, and obtain signatures for record requests and other legal documents.

- 10. Al Otro Lado gathered information to determine the reasons parents traveled with their children to the United States, their situation post-deportation, and any other rights violations suffered while in government custody. Al Otro Lado analyzed each case to determine whether the parents had a potentially viable asylum claim, whether they had been unlawfully deprived of their right to seek asylum, and whether they waived reunification in the home country due to safety concerns for their children.
- 11. After further screening, the ACLU submitted applications from 43 parents represented by Al Otro Lado as cases warranting further relief from the government.
- 12. Although our 43 clients had been apprehended at diverse points along the US-Mexico border, they recounted similar facts regarding their separation and deportation.
- 13. Almost 90% of the deported parents we represent who applied for further relief never received a Credible Fear or Reasonable Fear Interview, even after telling CBP and ICE officials multiple times that they feared return to their countries. Clients processed at different Border Patrol stations and housed at different ICE detention facilities reported hearing identical responses from CBP and ICE officials when being denied their right to a Credible or Reasonable Fear interview. Officials told our clients that "the rules had changed," "there was no more asylum for Central Americans," and that their "children could stay" in the United States, but they would be deported.
- 14. Customs and Border Protection officers only referred five of our clients for a Credible/Reasonable fear interview, even though all expressed a fear of return.
- Two parents did not pass the interview due to the severe emotional trauma of separation from their children; neither had received any information about the

4 18cv0428

1 whereabouts of their child from the time of separation to the time of the interview. 2 The parents also report that unsanitary and violent conditions of detention affected 3 their ability to focus on their claims at their interviews. For example, one of the 4 fathers who did not pass his Reasonable Fear Interview witnessed a violent 5 exchange between a cellmate and an officer shortly before his interview. He 6 reports being verbally abused by officers, and seeing them drag and beat his 7 cellmate. He and many other parents report being verbally abused by CBP officers 8 and mocked for crying about being separated from their children. 9 15. Three of our clients passed their Credible/Reasonable Fear Interviews, but 10 were coerced into abandoning their claims due to the trauma of continued 11 separation. One separated mother was unable to communicate with her daughter 12 while detained, was denied bond, and received an individual hearing date almost a 13 year after she submitted her application for asylum. Another separated father 14 passed his Reasonable Fear Interview, but was told by ICE officials that he could 15 only talk to his son once per month while detained. He staged a hunger strike to 16 call attention to his situation and was punished with solitary confinement. 17 All of the deported parents reported being profoundly traumatized by their 18 separation from their children. All were separated from their minor children within 19 24 to 72 hours after turning themselves over to Border Patrol officials. Several 20 parents reported having their children physically ripped from their arms, including 21 a father of a six-year old boy who was threatened with violence and criminal 22 prosecution when he resisted CBP officers as they violently separated him from his 23 son. Almost all parents reported being mocked and threatened by CBP and ICE 24 officials when they asked about the whereabouts of their children. Others were told 25 that their children were being taken to change clothes or bathe, and then never saw 26 their children again. 27 Following their separation from their children, all of the parents report being

held in crowded, unsanitary conditions with little to no information about their

18cv0428

28

1 children. The deported parents described being held in freezing rooms or cages 2 with anywhere from 50 to 200 other migrants, being given very little food, and not 3 being able to bathe, brush their teeth, or lay in a bed for as long as two weeks. 4 Several parents report that they became ill due to being provided with rotten food. 5 Several report not being provided with any water, except for water from a sink 6 attached to a toilet being used by several dozen people. There were multiple 7 reports of substandard medical care, painful collective punishment, and sustained 8 verbal abuse from CBP and ICE officials. One mother reported slipping and falling 9 in a CBP facility and seriously injuring her shoulder, only to be deported days later 10 without her son and without having received any medical care. She continues to 11 require medical treatment for this injury to the present day. 12 18. Generally, the deported parents could not meaningfully communicate with 13 their children during their detention. Some reported speaking with their child once 14 or twice, while others had no communication with and no information regarding 15 their child the entire time they were detained by U.S. authorities. A few parents 16 were provided with the ORR hotline number or another ORR contact, but were 17 unable to obtain any information, if they were able to call from detention at all. 18 Several parents were separated from children with disabilities, including a father 19 separated from his deaf daughter. Several parents, in separate facilities and on 20 separate occasions, participated in or observed hunger strikes staged by parents 21 protesting the lack of information about their separated children. 22 In at least eight of the deported parents cases represented by AOL, the 23 parents who petitioned the government for return do not speak any Spanish and 24 were never provided with an interpreter during their time in U.S. detention. These 25 parents report being unable to understand the reasons offered for their separation 26 from their children, and unable to communicate with officials to obtain 27 information about their children. Many indigenous clients describe being 28 prosecuted for illegal entry and deported without ever having received an

1 explanation of the proceedings or deportation paperwork in their native language. 2 Two indigenous clients report being forcibly injected with medication at ICE 3 detention facilities without receiving an explanation in their native language as to 4 the nature of the medical treatment. At least six clients reported being unable to 5 read or write in any language, and not being provided an explanation of what they 6 were signing. 7 20. All of our clients were coerced, tricked, or forced into being removed from 8 the United States without their children. The few who willingly accepted 9 deportation were coerced into doing so because they were unable to effectively 10 communicate with their children while detained, or received no information about 11 their children while detained. Parents who were tricked into signing removal 12 documents were told that the United States was no longer giving asylum, or that 13 they were actually signing a document for their release. Some were threatened with 14 additional criminal prosecution and prison time if they refused to sign. At least 15 eight of our clients report being told that their child would be waiting for them on 16 the plane, or that their child would be removed with them the same day. Two 17 report having to be physically forced onto the plane by ICE officials once they 18 learned that their children would not be removed with them. 19 21. After they were deported without their children, several parents suffered 20 attempts on their lives; one father was shot and injured, another was shot at but not 21 hit, and several others were threatened with firearms or other weapons. Others 22 received direct death threats after being deported, and at least six of the parents 23 fled their home countries again almost immediately after being deported due to 24 imminent danger of persecution or death. 25 22. All of the deported parents reported that their children were deeply 26 traumatized by their separation. Several of the children suffered severe trauma-27 related mental harm, including two children who were hospitalized for behavioral 28 health issues and one child who made multiple attempts on her life. The parents

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

also reported experiencing severe trauma-related mental illness. Almost all of the parents we interviewed reported suffering symptoms such as memory loss, nightmares, anxiety, depression, and intrusive memories of their traumatic separation from their children. Several fathers told me on separate occasions, "I will never be the same again" due to the trauma of separation. Of Al Otro Lado's clients, twenty-eight parents returned to the United States 23. on March 2, 2019 by reporting to the Calexico Port of Entry. Eleven of the returning parents were issued notices to appear, released by CBP within a week and reunified with their children shortly thereafter. 25. Seventeen of the parents were detained at the Imperial Regional Detention Facility for forty two days. Between March 2 and March 28, 2019, I sent well over fifty messages to ICE ERO and the Arlington Asylum Office in an attempt to schedule the Credible Fear Interviews so that my clients could be reunified with their children. On March 28, 2019, I was informed that the Asylum Office Headquarters had instructed them not to schedule the Credible Fear Interviews for these separated parents. After intervention from a member of Congress, the interviews finally moved forward during the first week of April 2019. 26. All of the parents passed their Credible Fear Interviews, and all were released on April 12, 2019. All of the deported parents who have returned to the United States have been 27. reunified with their children, except for one. One mother, an indigenous language speaker, was separated from her son in late 2017 and deported. Her son, also an indigenous language speaker with extremely limited Spanish competency, aged out of ORR custody in 2018 and was transferred to adult detention, after which his mother was unable to speak with him. She knew nothing about his whereabouts for five months. She submitted a petition for return and reunification through the Ms. L settlement on December 15, 2018, while our organization attempted to locate

and make contact with her son. We were finally able to contact him in early

1 February 2019, at which time we discovered that he had requested voluntary 2 departure through an attorney. Al Otro Lado timely submitted an appeal, but our 3 client's son was removed to Guatemala. Our client is now in the United States and 4 we are assisting her son with a Motion to Reopen his case. 5 28. The rest of the parents who returned to the United States on March 2, 2019 6 have since reunified with their children. Based on my experience in representing 7 reunified families, I have observed that the longer children are separated from their 8 parents, the more traumatized they become, which makes reunification a complex 9 process. Parents who have recently reunified with their children consistently report 10 that their children display a host of behavioral issues, including frequent anger, 11 sadness, or an inability to concentrate. Younger children are terrified to leave their 12 parents' side, often refusing to go to school or engage in other outside activities. 13 Older children often express resentment toward their parents for "abandoning" 14 them in a detention system that was, at times, cruel and dehumanizing. Our clients 15 have expressed that they and their children have a critical need for mental health 16 services. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

9 18cv0428

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, based on my personal knowledge. Executed in Tijuana, Baja California, Mexico on June 4, 2019. Brika Pinheiro