

October 19, 2011

Information Officer
Office of Freedom of Information and Security Review
Directorate for Executive Services and Communications
FOIA/Privacy Branch
1155 Defense Pentagon, Room 2C757
Washington, D.C. 20301-1155

HQ USSOCOM ATTN: SOCS-SJS-I/FOIA Requester Service Center 7701 Tampa Point Blvd MacDill AFB, FL 33621-5323

FOIA/PA Mail Referral Unit Department of Justice Room 115 LOC Building Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Carmen L. Mallon Chief of Staff Office of Information Policy Department of Justice Suite 11050 1425 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Elizabeth Farris, Supervisory Paralegal Office of Legal Counsel Department of Justice Room 5515, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Tracy Schmaler Director, Office of Public Affairs Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

NATIONAL OFFICE
125 BROAD STREET, 18TH FL.
NEW YORK, NY 10004-2400
T/212.549.2500
WWW.ACLU.ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

SUSAN N. HERMAN PRESIDENT

ANTHONY D. ROMERO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Information and Privacy Coordinator **FOIA Office** Gate 5 1000 Colonial Farm Road McLean, VA 22101

Re: REQUEST UNDER FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT/ **Expedited Processing Requested**

To Whom it May Concern:

This letter constitutes a request ("Request") pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq., the Department of Defense implementing regulations, 32 C.F.R. § 286.1 et seq., the Department of Justice implementing regulations, 28 C.F.R. § 16.1 et seq., the Central Intelligence Agency implementing regulations, 32 C.F.R. § 1900.01 et seq., the President's Memorandum of January 21, 2009, 74 Fed. Reg. 4683 (Jan. 26, 2009) and the Attorney General's Memorandum of March 19, 2009, 74 Fed. Reg. 49,892 (Sept. 29, 2009). The Request is submitted by the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation and the American Civil Liberties Union (collectively, the "ACLU"). ¹

This Request seeks records pertaining to the legal authority and factual basis for the targeted killing of Anwar al-Awlaki² ("al-Awlaki") and two other U.S. citizens by the United States Government. According to news reports, al-Awlaki, a United States citizen, was killed in Yemen on or around September 30, 2011, by a missile or missiles fired from one or more unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs)—commonly referred to as "drones" operated by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and/or Joint Special Operations Command (JSOC). See, e.g., Mark Mazzetti, Eric Schmitt, & Robert F. Worth, C.I.A. Strike Kills U.S.-Born Militant in a Car in Yemen, N.Y. Times, Oct. 1, 2011, at A1, available at http://nyti.ms/rsjp7J; Greg Miller, Strike on Aulaai Demonstrates Collaboration between CIA and Military, Wash. Post, Sept. 30, 2011, http://wapo.st/nU0Ia0. Samir Khan

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

¹ The American Civil Liberties Union is a non-profit, 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(4) membership organization that educates the public about the civil liberties implications of pending and proposed state and federal legislation, provides analysis of pending and proposed legislation, directly lobbies legislators, and mobilizes its members to lobby their legislators. The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation is a separate 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3) organization that provides legal representation free of charge to individuals and organizations in civil rights and civil liberties cases, and educates the public about the civil liberties implications of pending and proposed state and federal legislation, provides analyses of pending and proposed legislation, directly lobbies legislators, and mobilizes its members to lobby their legislators.

² Al-Awlaki's name is sometimes spelled "al-Aulaqi." This Request seeks records referring to al-Awlaki using any spelling or transliteration of his name.

("Khan"), also a U.S. citizen, was killed in the same attack. See Tim Mak, U.S. Calls Kin of American Al Qaeda, Politico, Oct. 12, 2011, http://politi.co/pq0Nke; Robbie Brown & Kim Severson, Drone Victim Went From American Middle Class to Waging a Media War for Al Qaeda, N.Y. Times, Oct. 1, 2011, at A8, available at http://nyti.ms/pHZSGH. Press reports indicate that on or around October 14, 2011, a third U.S. citizen, Abdulrahman al-Awlaki, was killed in a drone strike in southern Yemen. Abdulrahman al-Awlaki, the son of Anwar al-Awlaki, was 16 years old at the time of his death. See Peter Finn & Greg Miller, Anwar al-Awlaki's Family Speaks Out Against His Son's Death in Airstrike, Wash. Post, Oct. 17, 2011, http://wapo.st/n9NuHP; Laura Kasinoff, Fatal Strikes Hit Yemen as Violence Escalates, N.Y. Times, Oct. 16, 2011, at A12, available at http://nyti.ms/pScBwi.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

We seek information about the legal basis in domestic, foreign, and international law for authorizing the targeted killing of al-Awlaki. Specifically, we request any memoranda produced by the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) analyzing the legal basis for killing al-Awlaki and authorizing the use of lethal force against him. We request information regarding the rules and standards used to determine when, where, and under what circumstances al-Awlaki could be killed, as well as what measures were required to avoid civilian casualties. We also request information about whether Samir Khan was specifically targeted for killing and what the legal basis was for killing him.

Beginning immediately after al-Awlaki was killed, the media began reporting the existence of a legal memorandum drafted by the OLC that provided legal justification for killing al-Awlaki (hereinafter "OLC memo"). The memorandum was reportedly completed around June 2010 and signed by David Barron. See Charlie Savage, Secret U.S. Memo Made Legal Case to Kill a Citizen, N.Y. Times, Oct. 9, 2011, at A1, available at http://nyti.ms/pScBwi; Peter Finn, Secret U.S. Memo Sanctioned Killing of Aulaqi, Wash. Post, Sept. 30, 2011, http://wapo.st/nKjZkJ. According to the New York Times, the OLC memo "concluded that Mr. Awlaki could be legally killed, if it was not feasible to capture him, because intelligence agencies said he was taking part in the war between the United States and Al Qaeda and posed a significant threat to Americans, as well as because Yemeni authorities were unable or unwilling to stop him." Savage, supra. We seek release of this memorandum, as well as any other memoranda describing the legal basis for killing al-Awlaki or any other U.S. citizen.

³ Abdulrahman al-Awlaki's first name is sometimes spelled "Abdelrahman" or "Abdul-Rahman" and his family name is sometimes spelled "al-Aulaqi." This Request seeks records referring to Abdulrahman al-Awlaki using any spelling or transliteration of his name.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

Since al-Awlaki was killed, there have been numerous calls for the release of the OLC memo and any other documents explaining the government's asserted legal basis for killing al-Awlaki. See, e.g., Arthur S. Brisbane, The Secrets of Government Killing, N.Y. Times, Oct. 9, 2011, http://nyti.ms/naggsE; Editorial, Administration Should Do More to Defend the Awlaki Strike, Wash. Post, Oct. 7, 2011, http://wapo.st/p1SEho; Peter Finn, Political, Legal Experts Want Release of Justice Dept. Memo Supporting Killing of Anwar al-Awlaki, Wash. Post, Oct. 7, 2011, http://wapo.st/n6l3vK ("A bipartisan chorus of political and legal voices is calling on the Obama administration to release a declassified version of the Justice Department memo that provided the legal analysis sanctioning the killing in Yemen last week of Anwar al-Awlaki, a U.S. citizen."); Benjamin Wittes, More on Releasing the Legal Rationale for the Al-Aulaqi Strike, Lawfare (Oct. 4, 2011, 3:07 PM), http://bit.ly/r42x0f; Jack Goldsmith, Release the al-Aulaqi OLC Opinion, or Its Reasoning, Lawfare (Oct. 3, 2011, 7:45 AM), http://bit.ly/mRUMg0; Editorial, Obama's Illegal Assassination?, Wash. Times, Oct. 3, 2011, http://bit.ly/q8y3a4 ("The Justice Department reportedly wrote an advisory memo on the legality of targeting an American citizen with lethal force absent a trial or other due process, but the administration has kept the memo classified. Keeping the legal rationale secret amplifies the voices that argue that Mr. Obama assassinated an American citizen."); Editorial, Anwar Awlaki: Targeted for Death, L.A. Times, Oct. 2, 2011, http://lat.ms/oh0G0w. The public has a vital interest in knowing the legal basis on which U.S. citizens may be designated for extrajudicial killing and then targeted with legal force.

Reports indicate that the OLC memo "does not independently analyze the quality of the evidence against [al-Awlaki]." Savage, *supra*. We therefore also seek information about the factual basis for authorizing the killing of al-Awlaki. Such information includes the basis for asserting that al-Awlaki was operationally involved in al Qaeda planning, and that he posed an imminent threat of harm to the United States, United States citizens, or others. We also seek information about the legal and factual bases for targeting Khan and Abdulrahman al-Awlaki.

Press reports have revealed that Executive Branch officials engage in a process of assessing the factual basis for determining whether an individual, including U.S. citizens, should be targeted for killing. See Mark Hosenball, Secret Panel Can Put Americans on "Kill List", Reuters, Oct. 5, 2011, http://reut.rs/odCH8s; James Kitfield, Wanted: Dead, Nat'l J., Jan. 8, 2010, http://bit.ly/qZ0Q4q ("Hidden behind walls of top-secret classification, senior U.S. government officials meet in what is essentially a star chamber to decide which enemies of the state to target for assassination."). However, the government has not revealed the factual basis for targeting al-Awlaki for killing, and press reports suggest that the evidence against him is subject to significant dispute. See Hosenball, supra

("[O]fficials acknowledged that some of the intelligence purporting to show Awlaki's hands-on role in plotting attacks was patchy."). The public also lacks information about the killings of Khan and Abdulrahman al-Awlaki, including whether they were intentionally targeted.

Without information about the legal and factual basis for the targeted killing of al-Awlaki and others, the public is unable to make an informed judgment about the policy of authorizing targeted killings of United States citizens. We make the following requests for information in hopes of filling that void.

I. Requested Records

- 1. All records created after September 11, 2001, pertaining to the <u>legal</u> basis in domestic, foreign and international law upon which U.S. citizens can be subjected to targeted killings, whether using unmanned aerial vehicles ("UAVs" or "drones") or by other means.
- 2. All records created after September 11, 2001, pertaining to the <u>process</u> by which U.S. citizens can be designated for targeted killing, including who is authorized to make such determinations and what evidence is needed to support them.
- 3. All memoranda, opinions, drafts, correspondence, and other records produced by the OLC after September 11, 2001, pertaining to the <u>legal basis in domestic</u>, foreign and international law upon which the targeted killing of Anwar al-Awlaki was authorized and upon which he was killed, including discussions of:
 - A. The reasons why domestic-law prohibitions on murder, assassination, and excessive use of force did not preclude the targeted killing of al-Awlaki;
 - B. The protections and requirements imposed by the Fifth Amendment Due Process Clause;
 - C. The reasons why international-law prohibitions on extrajudicial killing did not preclude the targeted killing of al-Awlaki;
 - D. The applicability (or non-applicability) of the Treason Clause to the decision whether to target al-Awlaki;
 - E. The legal basis authorizing the CIA, JSOC, or other U.S. Government entities to carry out the targeted killing of al-Awlaki;

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

- F. Any requirement for proving that al-Awlaki posed an imminent risk of harm to others, including an explanation of how to define imminence in this context; and
- G. Any requirement that the U.S. government first attempt to capture al-Awlaki before killing him.
- 4. All documents and records pertaining to the <u>factual basis for the targeted</u> killing of al-Awlaki, including:
 - A. Facts supporting a belief that al-Awlaki posed an imminent threat to the United States or United States interests;
 - B. Facts supporting a belief that al-Awlaki could not be captured or brought to justice using nonlethal means;
 - C. Facts indicating that there was a legal justification for killing persons other than al-Awlaki, including other U.S. citizens, while attempting to kill al-Awlaki himself;
 - D. Facts supporting the assertion that al-Awlaki was operationally involved in al Qaeda, rather than being involved merely in propaganda activities; and
 - E. Any other facts relevant to the decision to authorize and execute the targeted killing of al-Awlaki.
- 5. All documents and records pertaining to the <u>factual basis for the killing</u> of Samir Khan, including whether he was intentionally targeted, whether U.S. Government personnel were aware of his proximity to al-Awlaki at the time the missiles were launched at al-Awlaki's vehicle, whether the United States took measures to avoid Khan's death, and any other facts relevant to the decision to kill Khan or the failure to avoid causing his death.
- 6. All documents and records pertaining to the <u>factual basis for the killing</u> of Abdulrahman al-Awlaki, including whether he was intentionally targeted, whether U.S. Government personnel were aware of his presence when they launched a missile or missiles at his location, whether he was targeted on the basis of his kinship with Anwar al-Awlaki, whether the United States took measures to avoid his death, and any other factors relevant to the decision to kill him or the failure to avoid causing his death.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

II. Application for Expedited Processing

We request expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3); and 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c). There is a "compelling need" for these records because the information requested is urgently needed by an organization primarily engaged in disseminating information in order to inform the public about actual or alleged Federal Government activity. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v); see also 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c)(2). In addition, the records sought relate to a "breaking news story of general public interest." 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii)(A); see also 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(iv) (providing for expedited processing in relation to a "matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity which affect public confidence").

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

> The ACLU is "primarily engaged in disseminating information" within the meaning of the statute and regulations. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c)(2). Dissemination of information to the public is a critical and substantial component of the ACLU's mission and work. See ACLU v. Dep't of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (finding that a non-profit public interest group that "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience" to be "primarily engaged in disseminating information" (internal citation omitted)). Specifically, the ACLU publishes newsletters, news briefings, right-to-know documents, and other educational and informational materials that are broadly circulated to the public. Such material is widely available to everyone, including individuals, tax-exempt organizations, not-for-profit groups, law students and faculty, for no cost or for a nominal fee. The ACLU also disseminates information through its heavily visited website, www.aclu.org. The website addresses civil rights and civil liberties issues in depth, provides features on civil rights and civil liberties issues in the news, and contains many thousands of documents relating to the issues on which the ACLU is focused.

The ACLU website specifically includes features on information obtained through the FOIA. See, e.g., www.aclu.org/torturefoia; http://www.aclu.org/olcmemos/; http://www.aclu.org/nationalsecurity/predator-drone-foia;

http://www.aclu.org/safefree/torture/csrtfoia.html;

http://www.aclu.org/natsec/foia/search.html;

http://www.aclu.org/safefree/nsaspying/30022res20060207.html;

www.aclu.org/patriotfoia; www.aclu.org/spyfiles;

http://www.aclu.org/safefree/nationalsecurityletters/32140res20071011.html

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

; www.aclu.org/exclusion. For example, the ACLU's "Torture FOIA" webpage, www.aclu.org/torturefoia, contains commentary about the ACLU's FOIA request, press releases, analysis of the FOIA documents, and an advanced search engine permitting webpage visitors to search the documents obtained through the FOIA. The webpage also advises that the ACLU in collaboration with Columbia University Press has published a book about the documents obtained through the FOIA. See Jameel Jaffer & Amrit Singh, Administration of Torture: A Documentary Record from Washington to Abu Ghraib and Beyond (Columbia Univ. Press 2007). The ACLU also publishes an electronic newsletter, which is distributed to subscribers by e-mail. Finally, the ACLU has produced an in-depth television series on civil liberties, which has included analysis and explanation of information the ACLU has obtained through the FOIA. The ACLU plans to analyze and disseminate to the public the information gathered through this Request. The records requested are not sought for commercial use and the Requesters plan to disseminate the information disclosed as a result of this Request to the public at no cost.⁴

Furthermore, the records sought directly relate to a breaking news story of general public interest that concerns actual or alleged Federal Government activity; specifically, the records sought relate the U.S. Government's targeted killing of Anwar al-Awlaki, allegedly collateral killing of Samir Khan, and potential killing of other U.S. citizens in Yemen and elsewhere using unmanned aerial vehicles or other means. The records sought will help determine what the government's asserted legal basis for the targeted killing of al-Awlaki and others is, whether it complies with domestic and international law, whether the government seeks to avoid collateral killing of U.S. citizens not specifically targeted, and other matters that are essential in order for the public to make an informed judgment about the advisability of this tactic and the lawfulness of the government's conduct. For these reasons, the records sought relate to a "matter of widespread and exceptional media interest in which there exist possible questions about the government's integrity which affect public confidence." 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(iv).

There have been numerous news reports about targeted killings using drones in Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen and elsewhere. More particularly, there has been extensive media coverage of the killing of al-Awlaki and Khan. *See, e.g.*, Tim Mak, *U.S. Calls Kin of American Al Qaeda*, Politico, Oct. 12, 2011, http://politi.co/pq0Nke; Scott Shane & Thom Shanker, *Yemen*

⁴ In addition to the national ACLU offices, there are 53 ACLU affiliate and national chapter offices located throughout the United States and Puerto Rico. These offices further disseminate ACLU material to local residents, schools, and organizations through a variety of means, including their own websites, publications, and newsletters. Further, the ACLU makes archived material available at the American Civil Liberties Union Archives at Princeton University Library.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

Strike Reflects U.S. Shift To Drones as Cheaper War Tool, N.Y. Times, Oct. 2, 2011, at A1, available at http://nyti.ms/ogznLt; Mark Mazzetti, Eric Schmitt, & Robert F. Worth, C.I.A. Strike Kills U.S.-Born Militant In A Car In Yemen, N.Y. Times, Oct. 1, 2011, at A1, available at http://nyti.ms/rsjp7J; Robbie Brown & Kim Severson, Drone Victim Went From American Middle Class to Waging a Media War for Al Qaeda, N.Y. Times, Oct. 1, 2011, at A8, available at http://nyti.ms/pHZSGH; Greg Miller, Strike on Aulagi Demonstrates Collaboration Between CIA and Military, Wash. Post, Sept. 30, 2011, http://wapo.st/nU0Ia0. There has also been widespread reporting of the killing of Abdulrahman al-Awlaki. See. e.g., Peter Finn & Greg Miller, Anwar al-Awlaki's Family Speaks out Against His Son's Death in Airstrike, Wash. Post, Oct. 17, 2011, http://wapo.st/n9NuHP; Laura Kasinoff, Fatal Strikes Hit Yemen as Violence Escalates, N.Y. Times, Oct. 16, 2011, at A12, available at http://nyti.ms/pScBwi; Brian Bennett, U.S. Drone Strikes Kill Al Qaeda Operative in Yemen, L.A. Times, Oct. 16, 2011, http://lat.ms/mWffAn; Hamza Hendawi, Yemen: U.S. Strike Kills 9 al-Qaeda Militants, Associated Press, Oct. 15, 2011, http://abcn.ws/p3HqbA.

The Obama Administration's refusal to release the OLC memo or other documents describing the legal basis for killing al-Awlaki has also been the subject of intense media coverage. See, e.g., Charlie Savage, Secret U.S. Memo Made Legal Case to Kill a Citizen, N.Y. Times, Oct. 9, 2011, at A1, available at http://nyti.ms/pScBwi; Arthur S. Brisbane, The Secrets of Government Killing, N.Y. Times, Oct. 9, 2011, http://nyti.ms/naggsE; Editorial, Administration Should Do More to Defend the Awlaki Strike, Wash. Post, Oct. 7, 2011, http://wapo.st/p1SEho; Peter Finn, Political, Legal Experts Want Release of Justice Dept. Memo Supporting Killing of Anwar al-Awlaki, Wash. Post, Oct. 7, 2007, http://wapo.st/n6l3vK; Editorial, Obama's Illegal Assassination?, Wash. Times, Oct. 3, 2011, http://bit.ly/q8y3a4; Editorial, Anwar Awlaki: Targeted for Death, L.A. Times, Oct. 2, 2011, http://lat.ms/oh0G0w; Peter Finn, Secret U.S. Memo Sanctioned Killing of Aulagi, Wash. Post, Sept. 30, 2011, http://wapo.st/nKjZkJ. There is also significant interest in the details of the process by which the government authorized the killing of al-Awlaki. See, e.g., Bruce Ackerman, Obama's Death Panel, Foreign Policy, Oct. 7, 2011, http://bit.ly/qZ0Q4q; Mark Hosenball, Secret Panel Can Put Americans on "Kill List", Reuters, Oct. 5, 2011, http://reut.rs/odCH8s.

Significant and pressing questions about the basis for the targeted killing of al-Awlaki and other U.S. citizens remain unanswered. Therefore, the subject of this Request will remain a matter of widespread and exceptional media interest. The public has an urgent need for information about the subject of this Request.

III. Application for Waiver or Limitation of Fees

We request a waiver of search, review, and duplication fees on the grounds that disclosure of the requested records is in the public interest because it "is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); see also 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(k)(1); 32 C.F.R. § 286.28(d); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.13(b)(2).

As discussed above, numerous news accounts reflect the considerable public interest in the records we seek. Given the ongoing and widespread media attention to this issue, the records sought in the instant Request will contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations and activities of the Departments of Defense, Justice, and the Central Intelligence Agency with regard to the targeted killings of Anwar al-Awlaki and other U.S. citizens. See 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(k)(1)(i); 32 C.F.R. § 286.28(d)(i); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.13(b)(2). Moreover, disclosure is not in the ACLU's commercial interest. Any information disclosed by the ACLU as a result of this Request will be available to the public at no cost. Thus, a fee waiver would fulfill Congress's legislative intent in amending FOIA. See Judicial Watch Inc. v. Rossotti, 326 F.3d 1309, 1312 (D.C. Cir. 2003) ("Congress amended FOIA to ensure that it be 'liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters." (citation omitted)); OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, 121 Stat. 2524, § 2 (Dec. 31, 2007) (finding that "disclosure, not secrecy, is the dominant objective of the Act," but that "in practice, the Freedom of Information Act has not always lived up to the ideals of that Act").

We also request a waiver of search and review fees on the grounds that the ACLU qualifies as a "representative of the news media" and the records are not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). Accordingly, fees associated with the processing of the Request should be "limited to reasonable standard charges for document duplication." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II); see also 32 C.F.R. § 286.28(e)(7); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.13(i)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(d) (search and review fees shall not be charged to "representatives of the news media").

The ACLU meets the statutory and regulatory definitions of a "representative of the news media" because it is an "entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); see also Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dep't of Def., 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989); cf. ACLU v. Dep't of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (finding non-profit public interest group to be "primarily engaged in disseminating information"). The ACLU is a "representative of the news media" for the same reasons it is

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

"primarily engaged in the dissemination of information." See Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep't of Def., 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 10-15 (D.D.C. 2003) (finding non-profit public interest group that disseminated an electronic newsletter and published books was a "representative of the news media" for purposes of FOIA); see supra, section II.⁵

* * *

Pursuant to applicable statute and regulations, we expect a determination regarding expedited processing within 10 calendar days. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(ii)(I); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(4); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.21(d).

Please be advised that because we are requesting expedited processing under the Department of Justice implementing regulations section 16.5(d)(1)(ii) and section 16.5(d)(1)(iv), we are sending a copy of this letter to DOJ's Office of Public Affairs. Notwithstanding Ms. Schmaler's determination, we look forward to your reply within 20 business days, as the statute requires under section 552(a)(6)(A)(I).

If the Request is denied in whole or in part, we ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specific exemptions to FOIA. We expect the release of all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material. We reserve the right to appeal a decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees.

UNION FOUNDATION

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

⁵ On account of these factors, fees associated with responding to FOIA requests are regularly waived for the ACLU. For example, in August 2011 the Department of Justice granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with respect to a request for information related to the proxy detention of detainees of U.S. naval vessels. In June 2011, the National Security Division of the Department of Justice granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with respect to a request for documents relating to the interpretation and implementation of a section of the PATRIOT Act. In October 2010, the Department of the Navy granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with respect to a request for documents regarding the deaths of detainees in U.S. custody. In January 2009, the CIA granted a fee waiver with respect to the same request. In January 2010, the State Department, Department of Defense, and Department of Justice all granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to a FOIA request submitted in April 2009 for information relating to the Bagram Theater Internment Facility in Afghanistan. In March 2009, the State Department granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to a FOIA request submitted in December 2008. The Department of Justice granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to the same FOIA request. In November 2006, the Department of Health and Human Services granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to a FOIA request submitted in November of 2006. In addition, the Department of Defense did not charge the ACLU fees associated with FOIA requests submitted by the ACLU in April 2007, June 2006, February 2006, and October 2003. The Department of Justice did not charge the ACLU fees associated with FOIA requests submitted by the ACLU in November 2007, December 2005, and December 2004. Three separate agencies—the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Office of Intelligence Policy and Review, and the Office of Information and Privacy in the Department of Justice—did not charge the ACLU fees associated with a FOIA request submitted by the ACLU in August 2002.

We also request that you provide an estimated date on which you will complete processing of this request. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(7)(B).

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please furnish all applicable records to:

Nathan Freed Wessler National Security Project American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, NY 10004

I affirm that the information provided supporting the request for expedited processing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi).

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

Sincerely,

Nathan Freed Wessler

American Civil Liberties Union Foundation

125 Broad Street, 18th Floor

not Food West ?

New York, NY 10004

Tel: (212) 519-7847 Fax: (212) 549-2654