National Headquarters Los Angeles Regional Office 634 S. Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90014 Tel: 213.629.2512 Fax: 213.629.0266 June 27, 2012

Re: Section 2(B)

Chicago Regional Office

11 East Adams Suite 700 Chicago, IL 60603 Tel: 312.427.0701 Fax: 312.427.0691 To: All Counsel for Defendants in Friendly House v. Whiting:

I write to remind Defendants and Intervenor-Defendants (collectively, "Defendants") that Section 2(B) of SB 1070 remains enjoined unless and until the District Court or the Ninth Circuit dissolves the injunction currently in place.

The Supreme Court's decision in Arizona v. United States did not

San Antonio Regional Office

110 Broadway Suite 300 San Antonio, TX 78205 Tel: 210.224.5476 Fax: 210.224.5382 lift the injunction as to Section 2(B). Instead, it stated that the Court would remand the case for further proceedings. And as the Supreme Court stated in a June 25, 2012 letter to the Ninth Circuit that Defendants have also received, "The judgment or mandate of this Court will not issue for at least twenty-five days pursuant to Rule 45." Attached as Ex. 1. After the Supreme Court mandate is issued, the proceedings on remand can follow, and only if the Section 2(B) injunction is actually lifted can that provision lawfully be implemented.

Washington, D.C. Regional Office

1016 16th Street, NW Suite 100 Washington, DC 20036 Tel: 202.293.2828 Fax: 202.293.2849

We are aware that some Defendants have stated that Section 2(B) is now in effect. We ask you to correct your clients regarding this fact and correct any public statements indicating that Section 2(B) is currently operative. Please notify Plaintiffs' Counsel immediately if you disagree with Plaintiffs' position and if you intend to implement Section 2(B) before the federal courts rule that the injunction is dissolved. Please provide any authority for your position, if you do take a contrary position to Plaintiffs. You can reach me at (213) 629-2512 ex. 133.

Sacramento Policy Office

1512 14th Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: 916.444.3031 Fax: 916.444.7207

Regards.

Victor Viramontes Plaintiffs' Counsel

Ex. 1

Case: 10-16645 06/26/2012 ID: 8229098 DktEntry: 207 Page: 1 of 1

Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001

June 25, 2012

William K. Suter Clerk of the Court (202) 479-3011

Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103-1526

> Re: Arizona, et al. v. United States No. 11-182 (Your No. 10-16645)

Dear Clerk:

The opinion of this Court was announced today in the above stated case. A copy of the opinion is available on the Court's website at www.supremecourt.gov.

The judgment or mandate of this Court will not issue for at least twenty-five days pursuant to Rule 45. Should a petition for rehearing be filed timely, the judgment or mandate will be further stayed pending this Court's action on the petition for rehearing.

Sincerely,

William K. Suter, Clerk

by

Cynthia Rapp Deputy Clerk (202) 479-3031